

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of report _____

(3) Initials of the Referee _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	118	Press
		Mis.
Dated		18

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
8th May 1872.*

No. 164. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Officiating Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 24th April 1872, No. 340 :—

With reference to G. O., No. 167, dated 19th March 1872, regarding the advance made to stone cutters, I have the honour to forward herewith, for the information of Government, copy of a letter* received from the Executive Engineer in charge No. 2 Range, Vizagapatam, from which it will be seen that the advance in question has been recovered in February 1872, and there does not appear to be any thing objectionable in the transaction.

From the Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam, to the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, dated Waltair, 4th April 1872, No. 3.

In reply to your memorandum No. 3,933 of 22nd ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that what the Controller objected to was that I allowed the advance (within Rupees 100 sanctioned in your memorandum No. 1,581 of 17th August 1871,) to remain outstanding during the course of the work, instead of recovering it once for all on the occasion of the first payments for work done.

2. But if I had recovered it at once, the stone cutters, who had all come from a distance, would have at once deserted me, as they looked on the advance as a kind of security that they would be allowed to finish the work.

3. There was at no time more than Rupees 90 outstanding at the close of a month, and the work was completed and the balance recovered in February.

No. 165. ORDER THEREON, 8th May 1872, No. 1,187.

ACCOUNT, No. 17.

THE attention of the Superintending Engineer of the 1st Division will be called to the rules sanctioned in G. O.,

No. 3,909, dated 15th December 1868, for the regulation of Miscellaneous Advances, from which he will observe that a duplicate copy of the authority sanctioning any advance above Rupees 50 should be sent by the Superintending Engineer to the Controller by the same post as conveys the original to the Range Officer. This does not appear to have been done in the case under reference, and the advance, which in itself seems unobjectionable, having appeared in the Range Officer's accounts unsupported by the requisite authority, was brought forward in the statement of Objectionable Items for the orders of Government.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,

Officiating Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.

„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

No. 340
FromLieut. Col. J. P. Fisher M.E.
Acting Superintending Engineer

1st Division

To

Colonel J. M. Walker M.E.
Acting Secretary to Government D. P. W.

MADRAS

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 107 dated 19th March 1872, regarding the advance made to Shree Cuttars, I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of Government a copy of a letter received from the Executive Engineer in Charge North Range Vizagapatnam from which it will be seen that the advance in question has been received in February 1872, and there does not appear to be any thing objectionable in the transaction.

I have the honor to be

 Sir,
 Your most obedt. servant
 W. J. Fisher M.E.
 Acting Engineer 1st Division

Wm. T. Hiebert Secy. C. P. R.
Ag. Sup. & Engineer's Division

4 ways objectionable

From the top of the
1852

12. 12. 1844 (p. 30, 1/2 p. 31)

The attention of the
Suptg. Engineer of the
1st Division will be
called to the rules
sanctioned in G. O. No.
3909 dated 16th December
1868 for the regulation
of ~~miscellaneous~~ Advances
from which he will
observe that a duplicate
copy of the authority
sanctioning any advance
above Rs 50 should be
sent by the Suptg.
Engineer to the Contr. Genl.

Welcomed to a Cellar No 340
dated 24th March 1872 from
the City of London and
to the door to the prison.

Oct. 21 54/92.

Jan 5. May 1922 in field

by the same post as con-
vey the original to the
Range Officer. This does
not appear to have
been done in the case
under reference, and
the advance, which
in itself seems unob-
jectionable, having ap-
peared in the Range
Officers accounts un-
supported by the requisite
authority, was brought
forward in the Statement
of Objectionable Items for
the orders of Government.

Wm

Jan 10-5-72

To
The S. E. 1st Div
Contr. P. W. A.

C/22154

COM. OF 1872 BUILDING
PUBLIC WORKS.

The Asst. Superintending Engr.
P. H. (Division)

8th May 1877

No. 1648/65

Accounts. Vapour orders on letter
from the Superintending Engr.
pursuing explanation rela-
tive to the objectionable items
in the accounts of the Dist.
for Dec/71.