M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-5-37.

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pub. Genl **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 6-99 Press/Mis.
216-17

Dated 9-3 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, *9th March* 1871.

No. 216. Read the following letter from the Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 25th February 1871, No. 89 :—

The Government, in their Order, No. 365, of the 7th instant, having sanctioned the disbursement of remuneration at £ 50 per mensem to Messrs Arbuthnot and Company for the conduct of the Agency of the Carnatic Railway Company since the 1st January 1870, a question arose whether the allowance of Rupees 200 hitherto paid should be held to be a part of the larger remuneration, or if the latter is to be additional.

2. Messrs. Arbuthnot and Company in their letter of the 21st instant (enclosed) point out that they applied for a personal remuneration beyond the existing grant for office expenses.

3. On consideration of the papers published in G. O., No. 2,898, of the 1st December 1870, in which sanction is given for a personal remuneration, I now think that as there has been no claim for reimbursement of expenses *bona fide* incurred in excess of the allowance of Rupees 200 monthly, (this claim having been admitted in G. O., No. 1,946, of the 15th August 1870,) the personal remuneration at £50 a month is intended to be given independent of the other office allowance.

4. I beg to be favoured with orders whether I am right in this view of the claim.

No. 217. ORDER THEREON, 9th March 1871, No. 699.

RAILWAY, No. 25.

THE Government concur with the Acting Consulting Engineer in the opinion that the personal remuneration of £50 per mensem sanctioned to be disbursed to Messrs. Arbuthnot and Company for the conduct of the Agency of the Carnatic Railway Company should be considered independent of the office allowance of Rupees 200 hitherto paid to them.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Railway Deptt

Cons: Engrs offi
30

No. 89.

From
Major H. Le Prendergast R.E.
Ag. Cons: Engineer for Ry.

To
Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Deptt
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

The Government in their Order No. 365 of the 7th instant having sanctioned the disbursement of remuneration at £ 50 per mensem, to Messrs Arbuthnot & Co., for the conduct of the Agency of the Carnatic Railway Company, since the 1st January 1870; a question arose whether the allowance of Rupees 200 hitherto paid should be held to be a part of the larger remuneration, or if the latter is to be additional.

2. Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co in their letter of the 21st instant (enclosed) point out that they applied for a personal remuneration, beyond the existing grant for office expenses.

On consideration of the papers published in G. O. No 2895 of the 1st December 1870, in which sanction is given for a personal remuneration, I now think, that as there has been no claim for reimbursement of expenses bonâ fide incurred in excess of the allowance of Rupees 200 monthly (this claim having been admitted in G. O. No 1946 of the 16th August 1870) the personal remuneration of Rs 60 a month is intended to be given

5A.

independent of the other office allowance.

I beg to be favoured with orders, whether I am right in this view of the claim.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Madras
25th February
1871

Alexr Prendergast Major R. E.
Ag. Cons: Engr for Railways

Public Works Department
No. 699
71
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 9th March /71
Read the following

From The Ag Consulting Engineer for Railways. } (H. E. No. 89 of 25th February /71)

N.

The Government concur with the Acting Consulting Engineer in the opinion that the personal remuneration of £50 per mensem sanctioned to be disbursed to Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co. for the conduct of the Agency of the Carnatic Railway Company should be considered independent of the office allowance of [illegible]

Recd. in Office [illegible] 1871 9th March
No. 871
The Ag Cons. Engr. for Railways
To The Secy to Government P. W. Deptt Fort Saint George

Dated 25th } February 1871
Recd 27th }

No. 59.
Encl: 1.

Requesting to be favoured with orders about the view taken by the Cons. Engineer regarding the claim of Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.

No. 699 - 9 March /71

Ch216
C 28 Feby/71 Dr. 2nd March

hitherto paid to them

To 15 August 1870 Rs 238 - 3 - 4
" 1st December —— 7 - 8
" 7 February /71 ——— 133 - 10 4

2/or

To 12o The Comr Surgr

For K Rulesay

Desp. 10/3/71 with 1 orig. enclosd

C 28 Feby/71 R. 2nd March

C/o 891

Govt. of {1871} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Consulting Engr for Railways

4 [illegible] 3

Dated

Recd. Encl.

— G. O. of March, No. 699.

Nos. 216-217

Messrs Arbuthnot & Co.

Concurring with the Consg Engr for Rys that the personal remuneration of £ 50 per mensem sanctioned [illegible] to be disbursed to Messrs Arbuthnot & Co for the conduct of the agency of the Carnatic Ry Co should be considered independent of the office allowance of Rs 200 hitherto paid to them.