

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 690	Press Mis.
199-200	
Dated 8. 3	1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Handwritten initials

121

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
8th March 1871.*

N. 199. Read the following Endorsement of the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department :—

Forwarding for disposal a petition from Mr. G. Clements for batta allowance for service on "detached duty" as a Mechanical Engineer in the Madras Presidency. (Here enter No. 180-E. G. of 31st January 1871.)

No. 200. ORDER THEREON, 8th March 1871, No. 690.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 20.

In this application Mr. Clements submits a claim to batta money for having served, as he designates it, on detached duty during the period of his employment as a third-class Mechanical Engineer in this Presidency between May 1859 and March 1863. The Government observe that a similar claim was made by him in 1865 and was negatived in Proceedings, No. 799, dated 22nd March of that year. Mr. Clements having been exclusively employed on duties connected with his profession in the Public Works Department, cannot be regarded as having been on detached duty, and his claim to batta is therefore inadmissible.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

The Humble Petition of Geo. Clements.
To His Excellency the Viceroy &
Governor General of India.
The Earl of Mayo.

Sheweth,

That your humble petitioner
signed a Covenant in England to serve
in the Indian Navy as Engineer in 1858,
and that your humble petitioner was
sent out in company with two other
Engineers accompanied. Three pair of
Engines Boilers, and three Steam Vessels,
in sequence to Madras, and on your
petitioners arrival at Madras. Your
petitioner was ordered to erect one
pair of Engines in the shop of the
Abercrombie Battery Madras, which
your petitioner done to the satisfaction
of the Authorities were, and then your
pett^r was ordered by printed order to
(act) as Junior Sup^t of the Pumping Engines
then joined Fort Saint George Madras
during the repairs of the fortifications.
After this your pett^r was put in charge
of the Steam dredging of the Chum River etc.

and after working some months it was
found impracticable to clear the River
therefore it ceased, and your petitioner
was then ordered to the Pambela Channel
with workmen to repair the steam Dredge
there stationed. Your pettr. had full
charge of the work, and completed the
work. Your pettr. was sent to do, after
14 months your pettr. was obliged to come
on sick Certificate to Madras. after a
short time at Madras your petitioner
sufficiently recovered to resume duty.
Your petitioner was then appointed
Supt. Engineer, in charge of Works
Yard & Stores at Kellore, Gazetted Station where
your pettr. had full charge
of all work Stores and Cash,
connected, and before your petitioner
took charge the Store Yard of Kellore
was in debt and in a short time
after your pettr. took charge the whole
of the demands on the Yard was liquidated
and ultimately a large profit returned to Govt.,
and your pettr. received Credit from the whole
connected with the works at Kellore, and
also from the Post Office Collectors,
and others at Kellore.

Now your petitioner wishes to
show that your petitioner signed 3rd
Commiss to serve in the Indian Navy
but was detained on detached duty in the
Department of Public Work at Madras
consequently your pettr. lost the whole
of his promotion in the Indian Navy
having signed as third Engineer & after
five years service discharged as such
namely from 1858 to 1863, served in
the above named Capacity in the
Department of Public Work at Madras.

Now your petitioner wishes to show
that there is a rule laid down in the
late Indian Navy Code. That Engineer
serving on detached duty, & receiving
no extra table money, are entitled
to table money Two Rupees per diem
Your petitioner here begs to state that
he only received the amount of
Rupees 22 - some pice per month,
as a compensation for his station
being allowed with Bombay to Engineers
of the Indian Navy and that your
petitioner received no Extra allowance
whatsoever, the table money of the Indian Navy

Your humble petitioner will be
 indebted to you for
 George Eschmuntz
 Chief Engineer

P.H.
 107 Bond St
 Calcutta.
 Theroeth has to enclose one letter
 from the same ⁸⁰⁵ Indian office.

16. Jan'y 1871

run for Batta under the
War Navy Code Rules.

passed in Proceedings

Entered in Proceedings
of the Council

1780 E. 11

Transmitted to the Secretary, Government in the
the original.

By order

W. P. Thompson

Col. Smith
Major
The 31 Dec 1871

Shander sent to the Secretary

No 199

28 Feb 11 2nd March

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

TRANSFERRED, for dispatch to the Secretary to the Government of India in the
Department.

By Order, &c.,

SIRIA,

The 11 January 1870.

O. J. B. B. B. Major,
Private Secretary to the Viceroy.

SECRETARY

1871. No. 1
Prof. Govt. for Minc. H.
No. 672

From.

The Government of
India

Valid 31 January } 1871
Recd: 14 February }

No. 180 E. G.

Forwarding
a petition from M.
H. Clements for Batta
allowance for service
on "detached duty" as
a Mechanical Engineer
in the Madras Pre-
sidency -

No. 690 - 8 March / 71

Public Works Department
No. 690.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Dated the 8 March / 71

Read the following

From The Government of India / H. G. No. 180 E. G. / 31 January
1871

N.

In this application
Mr. Clements submits a
claim to Batta money
for having served, as he
designates it, on detached
duty during the period
of his employment as a
3rd class Mechanical Eng-
-ineer in this Presidency
between May 1859 and
March 1863. The Govt.
observe that a similar
claim was made by
him in 1865 and was
negatived in Proceedings

No. 799 dated 22nd March
 of that year. Mr. Clement
 having been exclusively
 employed on duties con-
 nected with his profes-
 sion in the Public Works
 Department, cannot be
 regarded as having been
 on detached duty, and
 his claim to Batte is
 therefore inadmissible

[Signature]

To L. Clement with
 one right hand

Recd 9/3/77

628 1/4/77 R. 2017 maul

1864 7th 117-118
 " 25 - 118-119
 " 22 March 1865 - 119-120
 " 6 June 1865 - 120-121

No. 799 dated 22nd March
of that year. Mr. Clement
having been exclusively
employed on duties con-
nected with his profes-
sion in the Public Works
Department, cannot be
regarded as having been
on detached duty, and
his claim to Batta is
therefore inadmissible.

W.B.

628 14/11/02 20th March

Op 72

Gobt. of 1871 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To Mr. G. Clement

11.5

Date:

Recd.

Enc. 1

G. O. 8th March 1870

No. 149-716

Mr. Mechanic Sgt. Clement.

Intimates that Mr. Clement's
claim to Batta for service
on detached duty in the
Madras Presidency is
inadmissible.