

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair 4-4-64

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Public Works* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. <u>457</u>	Press ~~Mis.~~
<u>315-16</u>	
Dated <u>14-2-</u>	<u>1871</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1125

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
14th February 1871.*

No. 315. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 8th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Palamcottah, 25th January 1871, No 2,758 —

On the 17th of last November, Mr. Grant, Assistant Engineer, on special duty, Tinnevely District, applied to me for eight days' casual leave. Owing to emergent duties Mr. Grant was unable to avail himself either of the Christmas holidays of 1869, or those of Easter, 1870.

2 I sanctioned the eight days' leave under paragraph 18 of Standing Circular No. LXX. of 7th January 1862.

3 The Controller in Memorandum No. 415, of 12th December, informs me that the salary passed to Mr. Grant from the 22nd to 29th November 1870 "will be retrenched in his abstract for December 1870," because, according to paragraph 476, page 87, of the *Uncovenanted*

* In the edition of the *Vade Mecum* in this Office, paragraph 476 alludes to quite a different subject, neither can the paragraph to which I am referred be traced of casual leave is restricted to members of office establishments and other employees not holding gazetted appointments.

4. In my letter to the Controller of the 19th December 1870, I informed him that I sanctioned the leave under paragraph 18 of Standing Circular No. LXX. of 7th January 1862, and could not understand why Mr. Grant's pay had been retrenched by him.

5 The Controller in his letter, No 248, of 10th instant, states that the leave thus authorized applies solely to members of office establishments, and quotes an order† of two years antecedent date.

† G O, No 56, of 7th January 1860

6 It is not clear how an order of 1860 can cancel an order of 1862; but if so, surely this paragraph 18 of Standing Circular No. LXX, dated 7th January 1862, should be struck out as inapplicable to members of the department, who certainly in this division are under the impression that they can obtain leave under the paragraph in question.

7. I have the honour to request that Government may authorize the disbursement to Mr. Grant of the pay retrenched by the Controller if the eight days' leave were sanctioned under a misapprehension of the rules, and that officers of this division may be clearly made to understand (if the Controller is right on the point) that the indulgence of "casual leave" is only permissible to members of office establishments

No. 316. ORDER THEREON, 14th February 1871, No. 451.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 26

As casual leave for eight days in November 1870 appears to have been granted to Mr. Assistant Engineer Grant under a misapprehension of the rules, the Government authorize the Controller

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
14th February 1871.

of Public Works Accounts to relieve that officer from the retrenchment to which he has become liable by having availed himself of such leave.

2. With reference to the enquiry in paragraph 7, the Superintending Engineer will be furnished with a copy of G. O No. 61 of 1871.

(True Extract)

Colonel, R E.,

Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 8th Division
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts

No. 2758

Palamcottah

25th January 1871

From

Major J. C. Payne

To

Sq. Superint. Engineer 8th Div.

Colonel A. P. C. E.

Secretary to Government B. P. C.

Sir,

On the 17th of last November Mr Grant Assistant Engineer on Special Duty Tinnevely District applied to me for 8 days casual leave owing to emergent duties. Mr Grant was unable to avail himself either of the Christmas holidays of 1869 or those of Easter 1870.

2. I sanctioned the 8 days leave under para 18 of Standing Circular LXX of 7th January 1862.

3. The Controller in Memo No 215 of 12th December informs me that the salary passed to Mr Grant from the 22nd to 29th November 1870 "will be retrenched in his abstract for December 1870" because according to para 146 page 87 of the Unconquered 146 alludes to quite servants' leave. The indulgence of at different subject: casual leave is restricted to members of neither can they Office Establishments and other employees not para to which I holding gazetted appointments am referred to traced.

4. In my letter to the Controller of the 19th December 1870 I inform him that I sanctioned the leave under para 18 of Standing Circular LXX of 7th January 1862, and could not understand why Mr Grant's pay had been retrenched by him.

5. The Controller in his letter No 248 of 10th instant states that the leave thus authorized

authorized applies solely to members of Office by O. N. 56 of
Establishments and quotes an order of two 7th January 1860
years antecedent date.

6 It is not clear how an order of 1860
can cancel an order of 1862, but if so, surely
this para 18 of Standing Circular N. L. XX
dated 7th January 1862 should be struck out
as inapplicable to members of the Depart-
ment, who certainly in this Division are
under the impression that they can obtain
leave under the para in question.

7 I have the honor to request that
Government may authorize the disbursement
to Mr. Grant of the pay retrenched by the
Controller, if the 8 days leave were sanctioned
under a misapprehension of the rules, and
that Officers of this Division may be clearly
made to understand / if the Controller is
right on the point / that the indulgence of
"casual leave" is only permissible to members
of Office Establishments

I have &c

P. M. Payne Major
Adj. Superintg. Engineer 8th Div.

Public Works Department
 P. W. No. 451
 Proceedings of the Madras Government
 Under date the 14th Febry/71
 Read the following

From the Asst Supg Engineer } H.E. No. 2768 of 25th January 1871
 8th Dec

1871/1872
 No. of Govt. No. 420
 From the Asst Supg Engineer
 To the Secretary to Govt
 P.W.D.

Dated 25 Jan'y 1871
 Received 30

Requests that for the reasons assigned Govt may authorize the disbursement to Mr Grant of the 8 days pay retrenched by the Controller of Public Accounts in November 1870.

No. 451-14 Febry/71

No. 315

61st Febry/71 O.R. 3rd

As casual leave for 8 days in November 1870 appears to have been granted to Mr Assistant Engineer Grant under a misapprehension of the rules, the Government authorizing the Controller of Public Works Accounts to relieve that Officer from the retrenchment to which he has become liable by having availed himself of such leave

With reference to the
 enquiry in para 7, the
 Ag: Sup: Jmz will
 be furnished with a
 copy of P. O. No 61
 of 1871 -

Wb

125
 3

6/8 Engr 8th Dec 1871
 5 mtr bks! Dec 15/71

6th Feb/71 Pa. Jm

Dec 7th January Stock 115-116
 2 10 ——— 121 — 123-124

Op 420

Govt of 1871 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Superintending Engr.
8th Division

Dated

Recd

Recd

G. O. 14th February 71, No. 451

Nos. 315 & 316

Mr. Stephens & Grant. Passing
orders in the letter from the
Superintending Engr. requesting
that orders may be issued for
the disbursement of Mr. Grant
the eight days pay arrears
by the order of Mr. Actg.
in No. 70.