M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public work DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3157 Press / Mis.

247-48

Dated 14-12 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 247. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Cuddapah, 6th December 1871, No. 1,695 :—

With reference to G. O. No. 537, dated 24th February 1871, I have the honour to forward, for orders of Government, the accompanying copy of an extract of Diary (to be returned), received from the Civil Court at Cuddapah, in connection with a suit instituted by Contractor Sooriah against the officer in charge of the Cuddapah Range.

2. I beg to point out that there is a mistake in the printing in paragraph 5 of the above Government Order which should be 1869 in lieu of 1870.

3. I beg to draw your attention to paragraph 3 of my letter No. 2,326, dated 11th February 1871, and as the man first agreed and then backed out, I cannot see why his claim should be now settled.

No. 248. Order thereon, 14th December 1871, No. 3,150.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 10.

G. O. No. 537, dated 21st February 1871.

The Government observe from the order of the Civil Court of Cuddapah on the suit instituted by Contractor Sooriah against the officer in charge of the Cuddapah Range, for the recovery of the value of materials supplied in connection with the Pulivendla Talook Cutcherry, that, while the statement filed in reply to the plaint gives the total number of good bricks as 5,000, and the Government Vakeel does not admit more than 3,000, the present Range Officer, Mr. Assistant Engineer Hunter, acknowledges, after personal examination, that he found 47,000 bricks of fair quality.

2. It is further observed that the departmental books produced in Court show that the talook rates, about the time that the arrangement for the supply of materials was made, were Rupees 7 to 7½ per thousand for bricks and Annas 10 per parah for chunam, while the correspondence laid before Government, with the Superintending Engineer's letter No. 2,326, dated 11th February 1871, induced the belief that the prevailing rates were Rupees 4½ in the former and 5½ Annas in the latter case.

3. In now submitting the order of the Court for the information of Government the Superintending Engineer has not endeavoured to explain these discrepancies.

4. Colonel Moberly is in favour of the case being pushed on to a decree; but in the opinion of His Excellency in Council it would be preferable and more equitable to come to a compromise on the terms suggested by the Civil Judge.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. CARPENDALE, Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division.

" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

No. 1695

Superintending Engineer's Office.
3rd Division.
Camp Wantimitta, Sidhout Talook
Coimbatore District.
The 6th December 1871.

From,

The Superintending Engineer
3rd Division.

To,

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort Saint George.

Enclosures. /.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. No. 537 dated 24th February 1871 I have the honor to forward for orders of Government the accompanying [x] copy of an extract of diary received from the Civil Court at Coimbatore in connection with a suit instituted by Contractor Samiah against the Officer in charge of the Coimbatore Range.

2 I beg to point out that there is a mistake in the printing in para 5 of the above Government order which should be 1869 in lieu of 1870.

3. I beg to draw your attention to para 3 of my letter No. 7326 dated 11th February 1871, and as the man first argued and then backed out, I cannot see why his claim should be now settled.

I have &c—

Lieut. Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer 3rd Div.

[x] Not returned

Rec 24-February/71 No 253
1871.

Public Works 18 71 Dept.
From, Chief Engr for Elec
No 5855
The Superintending Engineer
3rd Division.

To,
The Secretary to Government.
Public Works Department.
Fort Saint George.

No. 1695
Dated 6th December 1871.
Received 9-12-71.

Encloses 1 Returned Rec'd Ch
Standards Jobbundy
Government Copy of
the accompanying Ex
tract of Decree passed
from the Civil Court
at Cuddapah in Comp
-laint of a Suit instituted by
a Contractor Sowcar against
the Officer in charge of the
Cuddapah Range, for the
recovery of the value of
materials supplied with
connection with the Kallivai-

In Collg Collectory, Madras
with the Statement filed
in

No 247
Rec 11th Dec/71 Qr. 13.

in reply to the Plaint gives the total number of good bricks as 5000 and the Government Vakeel does not admit more than 3000, the present Ranye Officer, Mr Asst Engineer Hunter acknowledges, after personal examination, that he found 47,000 bricks of fair quality.

It is further observed that the departmental books produced in Court show that the Taluq rates about the time that the arrangement for the supply of materials was made were Pr. 7 to 7 1/2 per thousand for bricks and Annas 10 per parah for chunam, while the correspondence laid

laid before Government with the Supt Engineers' letter No 2326 dated 11th February 1871 induced the belief that the prevailing rates were Rupees 4 1/2 in the former and 5 1/2 Annas in the latter case.

In now submitting the order of the Court for the information of Govt the Supt Engineer has not endeavoured to explain these discrepancies

Colonel Moberly is in favor of the case being pushed on to a decree, but in the opinion of His Excellency in Council it would be preferable

preferable and more equitable to come to a
compromise on the terms
suggested by the Civil
Judge.

H. H. Dr.

4
(2)

To the Supdg Surgn 3. Div.
Comptroller P. H. A
by 3. dispatches to the Supdg
P-C

C. H. Dr. 71. Dr. 13.

...d more equitable

Gen. 5-855

Govt of 1871 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS,

To the Superintending Engr
3rd Division

Nos. 247/1748

Received the Petition herewith
Petitioners asking for the return
from the Collectorate Nos 3rd
Nos respectively the Title Deed
by Instrument Granted against
for a Piece of Ground Situate
Sadhasiah Range ~~in the~~ for the
~~Division into No~~ for the
Reasons & Value of Property
specified in connection with the
Petition and Petitioners the Petitioners
Petitioners

...D. 13"