M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works. **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2973. Press Mis.
432-33

Dated 24- 11- 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 432. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Dowlaishweram, 21st October 1871, No. 2,245:—

I HAVE the honour to forward, herewith, a comparative statement showing the cost of the original and revised estimates* for constructing the Subsidiary Jail at Narsipatam, together with copy of a letter received from the Supervisor in charge, No. 1 Range, Vizagapatam, explaining the circumstances under which the excess, namely, Rupees 450, has been caused on the original estimate, Rupees 3,630, which was sanctioned in G. O., No. 924, dated 20th March 1869.

1 Comparative Statement.
1 Copy of Mr. Laskey's letter, No. 462, of 3rd instant.

* Rupees 4,080
Original, ,, 3,630
Excess, Rupees 450

2. In the event of the excess being sanctioned by Government, I have to request that the necessary funds may be placed at my disposal by transfers from other divisions.

3. I do not consider the Range Officer to blame in the matter; but I beg to suggest that in future all cash transactions relating to works be shown in the progress reports and carried forward regularly. If any separation has to be made under different heads, this should be done in the Controller's Office and not in the Range Offices.

No. 433. ORDER THEREON, 24th November 1871, No. 2,973.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 25.

IN their Proceedings of the 11th March 1868, No. 3,507, the Government accorded their sanction to an estimate of Rupees 3,500 for the construction of a Subsidiary Jail at Narsipatam, in the Vizagapatam District, and at the same time directed that the building, including latrine, should be floored with asphalte. To supply this omission in the original estimate the Superintending Engineer reported in letter No. 222, dated 26th February 1869, that he had raised the sanctioned amount to Rupees 3,630, which was accordingly authorized in G. O., No. 924, dated 20th March following.

2. With his letter above recorded the Superintending Engineer submits a second revised estimate in the form of a comparative statement for the building in question. The amount of this estimate is Rupees 4,080, which, as compared with the sum sanctioned in G. O. of the 20th March 1869, shows an excess of Rupees 450, under the following heads:—

Rupees 4,080
,, 3,630
,, 450

		RS.	A.	P.
1.	Foundations	72	8	0
2.	Flooring	81	7	10
3.	Less realized on account of materials from old building	219	0	0

4. Dismantling old building and compound wall and removing rubbish	70	0	0
5. Sundries	11	1	6
	454	1	4
Deduct small savings...	4	1	4
Total excess...	450	0	0

3. The excess on the first item is attributed to the loose nature of the soil at the site (an old gravel quarry filled with earth) selected for the building, which necessitated an increase of 1½ feet in the depth of foundations. The second item of excess is reported to be due to the circumstance of flooring with asphalte being a new description of work in that part of the country and to its cost being underrated. As regards the third item, it appears that when the old building was dismantled the rafters and reepers were found on examination to be scarcely fit for use, and did not, therefore, realize as much as was anticipated. The only explanation given in respect to the excess on the fourth item is that the provision in the original estimate of Rupees 50 was found to be inadequate and that the expenditure of Rupees 120 was actually incurred. No remarks appear to be called for with reference to the fifth item of excess, or to the deduction of Rupees 4-1-4 on account of sundry small savings.

4. The Superintending Engineer does not consider that any blame rests on the Range Officer for the excesses noted above, and the Government accordingly sanction the estimate of Rupees 4,080.

	RS.	A.	P.
*	3,714	11	6
†	215	0	0
	3,929	11	6
	150	4	6
Estimate...	4,080	0	0

5. The actual outlay up to the 30th September* 1871 added to the grant† for 1871-72 being Rupees 3,929-11-6, the difference, Rupees 150-4-6, may be expended without a supplemental allotment under paragraph 90, Section 2 of the Manual.

6. Adverting to paragraph 3 of the Superintending Engineer's letter the Government are not disposed to admit as a reasonable excuse the explanation that the Range Officer lost sight of a payment made to the Revenue authorities, for it is clear that the Range Officer continued to work without instituting any comparison between the details of his estimate and the actual outlay.

* (True Extract.)

Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Superintending Engineer, Ist Division.
„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 1ST DIVISION.
DOWLAISHWARUM, 21st October 1871

No. 2245

From Major J. T. Fischer R. E.
Acting Superintending Engineer
1st Division

To Colonel J. Carpendale R. E.
Secretary to Government D. P. W.
MADRAS

Enclosures 2.
1. Comparative Statement
1. Copy of Mr Eastty's letter No 462 of 3d Instant

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith a comparative statement shewing the cost of the original and revised Estimates for constructing the Subsidiary Jail at Narsipatam, together with copy of a letter received from the Superviser in charge No 1 Range Vizagapatam explaining the circumstances under which the excess Viz: Rupees 450 has been caused on the Original Estimate, Rupees 3,630, which was sanctioned in G. O. No 924 dated 20th March 1869.

2. In the event of the excess being sanctioned by Government, I have to request that the necessary funds may be placed at my disposal by transfers from other Divisions.

3. I do not consider the Range Officer to blame in the matter, but I beg to suggest that

× Rs 4080
Original 3630
Excess Rs 450

that in future all cash transactions relating to works be shewn in the Progress Reports and carried forward regularly, if any separation has to be made has to be kept under different heads, this should be done in the Controllers' Office and not in the Range Offices.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obt. Servt
Worthington Major R.E.
Ag. Superintending Engineer &c &c

Public Works Department

No. 2973.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 24th November 1871.

Read the following

from the Supg Engr 1st Dn 1st D. No. 2245 of 21st Oct 1871.

On their Proceedings of the 11th March 1868 No. 350 the Govt accorded their sanction to an estimate of Rs 3,500 for the construction of a Subsidiary Jail at Surrapatam in the Vizagapatam District, and at the same time directed that the Building, including Latrine should be floored with Asphalte. To supply this omission in

N.

Rd 20 March 1869 Nos 573-574

From The Supdg Engr 1st Dn No. 5145.

The Superintending Engineer

1st Division

To

The Secretary to Govt

P. W. D.

Madras.

Dated 21 October 1871

Received 30" "

No 2245

Forwards Comparative Statement Showing the Cost of Original and Revised Estimates for Constructing the Subsidiary Jail at Surrapatam & requests funds may be allotted by transfer from other Divisions in the event of the expenditure during this year exceeding the Budget Grant.

Ch 432

Copied

No. 13.

in the original estimate the Supg Engineer reported in letter No. 222 dated 26th February 1869, that the local raised the sanctioned amount to Rs 3630, which was accordingly authorized in G. O. No 924 dated 20th March following. With his letter above recorded the Supg Engineer submits as second revised estimate, in the form of a comparative statement for the Building in question. The amount of this estimate is Rs 4080 which as compared, with the

Rs 4080
" 3.630
450

the sum sanctioned in G. O. of the 20th March 1869, shews an excess of Rs 450, under the following heads.

1.	Foundations	Rs 92-8-0
2.	Flooring	" 81-7-13
3.	Less realized on account of Materials from old Building	" 219-0-0
4.	Dismantling old building and compound wall and removing rubbish	" 70-0-0
5.	Sundries	" 11-1-6
		436-1-4
	Deduct small savings	" 22-5-4
	Total excess	Rs 450-0-0

The excess on the first item is attributed to the loose nature of the soil at the site, (an old gravel quarry filled with earth) selected for the building which

which necessitated an increase of 1½ foot in the depth of foundations. The second item of excess is reported to be due to the circumstance of flooring with asphalte being a new description of work in that part of the Country and to its cost being underrated. As J.O.J. regards the third item it appears that when the old building was dismantled the rafters and sleepers were found on examination to be scarcely fit for use, and did not therefore realize as much as was anticipated. The only

(b)

explanation given in respect to the excess on the fourth item, is that the provision in the original estimate of Rs 50 was found to be inadequate and that the expenditure of Rs 120 was actually incurred. No remarks appear to be called for with reference to the 5th item of excess or to the deduction of Rs 4-1-4 on account of sundry small savings.

The Dy Engineer does not consider that any blame rests on the Range officer for

for the excesses noted
above and the Govt
accordingly sanction
the estimate of Rs 4,080.

The actual outlay
up to the 30 Sept/1871
added to the grant for
1871/72 being Rs 3929-11-6,
the difference Rs 150-4-6,
may be expended without
a supplemental allot-
ment under para 30
Section 2 of the Manual.

1 - Adverting to para
3 of the Supt Engineers
letter the Govt are not
disposed to admit as
a reasonable excuse
the explanation that
the Range officer lost
sight

* Rs 3714-11-6
* Rs 215

Rs 3929-11-6
" 150-4-6
Estd Rs 4080-" -"

sight of a payment
made by the Revenue
authorities for it is clear
that the Range officer
continued to work with-
out instituting any
comparison between
the details of his estimate
and the actual outlay.

No. 3.

To The Supt Engineer 1st Div /
1 Controller 1st Account /

Dated 27/11/71.

5145.

(6)

Govt. of {1871} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Superintending Engr. Ptl. Circle

Dated

Rcvd. Encl. 2

G. O. 24 Nov 71, No. 2973.

Nos. 4328 433

Subsidiary Jail at Guv. epatam - Sanctioning with remarks Rs a revised estimate of Rs 14080 for the Construction of a Subsidiary Jail at Kurra patam in the Vizagapatam District.

6 Sep Jan 17 R. 130