M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2766-67 Press / Mis.

15-17

Dated 1 11 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 15. Read the following letter from the Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 4th October 1871, No. 429:—

I HAVE the honour to submit letters from the Agent of the Madras Railway Company requesting the sanction of Government to an expenditure of Rupees 200 incurred on works on the Bangalore branch being charged against Capital.

No. 550, 19th September 1871.
No. 581, 30th September 1871, with enclosure.

2. An estimate of Rupees 30,782 was sanctioned on the 24th October 1868 for "protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch." The work was finished in 1870, and a balance of Rupees 483-0-4 remained unexpended. Since then, however, an action has been brought against the Company by a contractor on account of the above work, and the Court has awarded Rupees 200 to the plaintiff, which sum the Agent now requests may be charged to Capital under "Reserve to meet unforeseen requirements" in the Budget Estimate for 1871-72.

G. O., No. 3,362, 24th October 1868.

3. The Government of India* have ruled that no outlay shall be passed against Capital on lines that have been opened for more than two years if less than Rupees 5,000; but, under these exceptional circumstances, I recommend that the sanction of the Government be accorded to the expenditure of Rupees 200 being charged to the Budget allotment for unforeseen requirements, Rupees 85,000.

* G. O., No. 595, dated 1st March 1871.

From the Acting Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to the Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 19th September 1871, No. 550.

I HAVE the honour to state that in a suit, "Unooma Reddy *versus* the Madras Railway Company," recently tried at Colar, the Company was ordered to pay a sum of Rupees 229-6-9, of which Rupees 200, the Chief Engineer informs† me, is chargeable to an estimate‡ for "protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch" which was sanctioned on the 27th October 1868.

† No. 502, 12th September 1871.
‡ Rupees 30,782.

2. As, however, these works have not been provided for in the Budget Estimate for 1871-72, I request that you will be so good as to communicate sanction to the amount of Rupees 200 being charged to "unforeseen requirements," thus:—

—	Unforeseen requirements.	Already charged hereto.	Proposed expenditure.	Balance available.
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.
Additional expenses on account of protective works to bridges, Bangalore branch	85,000	64,504 1 6	200	20,295 14 6

3. The balance of "unforeseen requirements" was in my letter No. 509 of the 31st ultimo, given as Rupees 70,495-14-6, and I have to explain that a sum of Rupees 50,000 transferred to

that head from the Locomotive Department has been re-transferred to the Locomotive Department, in accordance with the ruling of the Government of India, as communicated in your letter No. 519 of the 22nd ultimo.

From the Acting Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to the Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 30th September 1871, No. 581.

With reference to your letter of the 26th instant, No. 595, I have the honour to enclose you copy of a letter* from the Acting Chief Engineer, in which he explains the circumstances under which the sum of Rupees 200 is chargeable to the estimate for protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch.

* No. 534, 29th September.

From the Acting Chief Engineer, to the Acting Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, dated Madras, 29th September 1871, No. 534.

Consulting Engineer's letter No. 595 of 26th instant.

Unooma Reddy, Contractor, undertook the execution of certain protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch in June 1869, an estimate for which, Rupees 30,782, was submitted and sanctioned on 27th October 1868. He failed to carry out the work to the satisfaction of the Resident Engineer, both with respect to the time agreed upon and the quality of the work performed; his contract was, therefore, annulled, and he was paid a certain amount for the work done and materials delivered by him.

2. He, however, made a claim for a further sum of Rupees 1,815, which we considered invalid and refused to admit. He thereupon filed an action against the Company in the Judicial Assistant's Court at Colar road, which case you are aware was defended by our Vakeel at Bangalore. The court was of opinion that the contractor was only entitled to the sum of Rupees 200 out of the Rupees 1,815 claimed by him, and accordingly decreed that the Company should pay Unooma Reddy Rupees 200 with proportionate costs amounting to Rupees 29-6-9. The sum of Rupees 229-6-9 has been paid, and the law charges, Rupees 29-6-9, brought to account under the proper heading in the Revenue Accounts. The Rupees 200 paid for work done thus forms a legitimate charge to the sanctioned estimate for protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch.

No. 16. Order thereon, 1st November 1871, No. 2,766.

RAILWAY, No. 3.

Under the circumstances explained the Government authorize the sum of Rupees 200 referred to in this letter being charged to "Capital" as a special case.

2. A copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded for the information of the Government of India, with advertence to paragraph 6 of their Resolution of the 6th February 1871, No. 49-A.R.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. CARPENDALE, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 1st November 1871.

No. 17. (Docket No. 2,767, dated 1st November 1871.)

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Railway Department.

No. 429.

From Major H. L. Prendergast R.E.
Consulting Engineer for Railways,

To Colonel F. Carpendale R.E.
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to sub-

No. 550 - 19th Oct/71.
" 561 - 30th " with enclosure } mit letters from the Agent of the Madras Railway Company requesting the sanction of Government to an expenditure of Rs. 200, incurred on works on the Bangalore Branch, being charged against Capital.

2. An estimate of Rs 30,782 was

G.O. 3362 - 24th October 1868. sanctioned on the 24th October 1868 for protective works to bridges on the Bangalore branch. The work was finished in 1870 and a balance of Rs 483. 0. 4 remained unexpended

unexpended. Since then, however, an action has been brought against the Company by a Contractor on account of the above work, and the Court has awarded Rs 200 to the plaintiff which sum the Agent now requests may be charged to Capital, under "Reserve to meet unforeseen requirements" in the Budget estimate for 1871-72.

3. The Government of India* have ruled that no outlay shall be incurred against Capital on lines that have been opened for more than two years if less than Rs 5000; but under these exceptional circumstances I recommend that the sanction of the Government be accorded to the expenditure of Rs 200 being charged to the Budget allotment for unforeseen requirements, Rs 85,000.

* G.O. 595 dated 1st March 1871

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Madras
4th October
1871

Alex Brendegast Major R.E.
Ag. Consg. Engr. for Railways

Branch being charged against Capital.

GO 24 Rets 18-544-545
& 12 Tel Cable 7-3-4

No. 1571 Dated 8th September 1871.
Engineer for Rlys.

RAILWAY DEPARTMENT.

No. 4759.

From

The Consulting Engineer
for Railways,

To

The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,
Fort St. George.

Dated 11th September 1871.
Received 11

No. 1129-
Cable: 8-

Submitting letter from the Agent of the M. R. Co. requesting the sanction of Govt to an expenditure of Rs 200 incurred on account of Protective works to Bridges on Rangalore Branch.

No. 18

Entered in Cable Book 21

No. 530.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE

Madras, 19th September 1871

From

Percival Anderson Esqre
Acting Agent and Manager. M. R. Co.

To

Major H. D. Prendergast R.E.
Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that in a suit "Hanmoonia Reddy versus the Madras Railway Company" recently tried at Kolar, the Company was ordered to pay a sum of Rs. 229. 6. 9 of which Rs 200 the Chief Engineer informs me is chargeable to the Estimate for "Protective works to Bridges on the Rangalore Branch" which was sanctioned on the 27th October 1868.

Ep 502 - 12th September 1871.

Rs. 30,782

2. As however these works have not been provided for in the Budget Estimate for 1871-72, I request that you will be so good as to communicate sanction to the amount of Rs 200 being charged to "Unforeseen requirements," thus

	Unforeseen Requirements already charged hereto	Proposed Expenditure	Balance available
Additional expenses on account of Protective works to Bridges Rangalore Branch.	64504-1-6	200	2029-5-14
	85,000		

3. The Balance of "Unforeseen requirements" was in my letter ep 509 of the 31st ultimo given as Rs. 70,495. 14. 6, and I have to explain

that a sum of Rs. 50,000 transferred to that head from the Locomotive Department has been re-transferred to the Locomotive Department in accordance with the ruling of the Government of India as communicated in your letter No. 579 of the 22nd ultimo.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

J. N. Mellis.

for the Ag. Agent & Manager.

No. 551.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE.

Madras, 20th September 1871.

From

Alexander Anderson Esqre
Acting Agent and Manager of the M. Rly.

To

Major H. A. Prendergast, R.E.
Acting Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for your information and submission to Government, a letter from the Acting Collector of Cuddapah, dated the 5th instant, with its enclosures, on the subject of the claim preferred by the Contractors for the Bridges on the Penner River.

2. I have also the honour to request that you will move Government to sanction the payment of Rupees 200 on account of the extra charges incurred by the Contractors in the execution of the Bridges, as detailed in the Collector's letter.

3. I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Alexander Anderson
Agent and Manager.

21607

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From

The Agent and Manager.

To

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways.

P. W. 4959/71

Dated 19th September 1871.
Recd. 20th "

No. 550.

Requesting the sanction of Government to a sum of Rs 200 being charged to Unforeseen Requirements under the circumstances stated.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From

The Agent and Manager.

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Dated 30th Sept 1871.
Read 2nd October.
No. 587.

Query, explanatory to the enclosure under which the sum of Rs 200 is charged for Protective works on the Bangalore Branch.

Chas. Hutchinson
Agent

Madras Railway Company
Chief Engineer's Office
Madras 29th Septr 1871.

No. 534.

The Acting Agent & Manager,

Dear Sir,

Consulting Engineer's letter No 595 of 26th instant.

Unooma Reddy, Contractor, undertook the execution of certain protective works to Bridges on the Bangalore Branch in June 1869, an Estimate for which (Rs. 30,782) was submitted and sanctioned on 27th October 1868. He failed to carry out the work to the satisfaction of the Resident Engineer both with respect to the time agreed upon and the quality of the work performed, his contract was therefore annulled and he was paid a certain amount for the work done and materials delivered by him.

2. He however made a claim for a further sum of Rs. 1,815 which was considered invalid and refused to admit. He thereupon filed an action against the Company in the Judicial Assistants Court at Colar Road which case your own servant was defended by our Vakeel at Bangalore. The court was of opinion that the Contractor was only entitled to the sum of Rs. 200 out of the Rs. 1815 claimed by him and accordingly decreed that the Company should pay Unooma Reddy Rs. 200 with proportionate costs amounting to Rs. 29. 6. 9. The sum of Rs. 229. 6. 9 has been paid and the Law Charges Rs 29. 6. 9 brought to account under the proper heading in the Revenue accounts. The Rs 200

21,640

paid for work done thus forms a legitimate charge to the sanctioned estimate for Protective works to Bridges on the Bangalore Branch.

Yours faithfully
Sd/ J. H. Young
Acting Chief Engineer.

True Copy//
Brassfield Anderson
Acting Agent & Manager.

Comptroller

P. D. No. 49 of 1871
Recd. 2/11/71

No. 27/66 P. D.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following

From

The Consg Engineer
for Railways } (H. E. Lr. No. 429 & 4 dated 1/1/71

Under the circumstances explained the Government authorize the sum of Rs 200 referred to in this letter being charged to Capital as a special case.

A copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded for the information of the Govt. of India with advertance to paragraph 6 of their Resolution of the 6th February 1871 No. 49 R. P.

H. E.

No.

The Consg Engineer
for Railways

Recd. 2/11/71

H. E. No 276 dated

Copy of the above
is forwarded to the
Govt of India

W.F.

12 Nov

Dear Sir 2/11/71

Calcutta 9 Oct 1871 Notes

c- No - 4759 -

Govt. of {1871} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Jr Consulting Engr for Railways

And

To The Secretary to the Govt of India

Dated

Rcd. Encl. 3

G. O. 1st Nov 1871. No. 2766-2767

Nos. 15-16 917

Bangalore branch Railway

Authority granted for Charging to Capital Grant on account of Expenditure incurred on the Construction of the Bangalore branch -

Dated / Forwarding the Pro- of para. granting authority to charge to Capital Account the expenditure incurred on the works on the Bangalore branch Railway

6 Act 19 Oct 71 Notes