M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2029-30 Press -Mis.-

Dated 3-8- 1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 3rd August 1871.

No. 42. Read the following Endorsement of the Controller of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 21st July 1871, No. 1,121 :—

From the Superintending Engineer, 5th Division, to the Controller of Public Works Accounts, dated Camp at Vanankudikadu, 12th July 1871, No. 112.

In continuation of my letter No. 82, of the 17th June 1871, I have the honour to state that the Executive Engineer of the Salem Range reports that the increase of Rupees 587-10-11 over the sanctioned estimate, for restoring the Seerakally tank in the Namkal Talook, is owing partly to the construction of a wall of stone-in-chunam sanctioned by Colonel Foord in his letter No. 323, dated 15th September 1869, to divert the surplus of the east calingulah in order to protect the tank bank. This item was not provided for in the original estimate, which was prepared during the time of Supervisor Appavoo Moodeliar, and partly to an increase of earthwork, the cause of which appears to have been the inaccuracy of the original estimate.

Submitted for the information of Government, with reference to paragraph 1 of G. O., No. 106, of the 17th May 1871, and in continuation of endorsement from this office, No. 701, of the 13th June 1871, paragraph 2.

(Signed) S. BROCK,
Controller of Public Works Accounts.

No. 43. Order thereon, 3rd August 1871, No. 2,029.

ACCOUNT, No. 6.

In his statement of Objectionable Items in the 5th Division for the month of February 1871, the Controller of Public Works Accounts reported that the estimate for the Seerakally ruined tank in the Salem District had been exceeded by more than 5 per cent., and he now submits a letter from the Superintending Engineer explaining the causes of the excesses, which are stated to be due to the construction, under the orders of the Superintending Engineer, of a wall in stone and chunam for the protection of the tank bank, and to earthwork omitted from the original estimate.

2. The prescribed* limitation has been exceeded by Rupees 13, and, under the explanations now afforded, the Controller will be authorized to pass the charge.

* 5 per cent. beyond estimate.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. deC. SCOTT, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secretary to Government.

No. 44. (Docket No. 2,030, dated 3rd August 1871.)

Copy of the above forwarded to the Government of India in continuation of docket No. 1,698, dated 23rd June 1871.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. deC. SCOTT, Captain, R.E.,
Under Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 112 Superintg Engr's office, 5th Divn,
Camp Vandinkudiekadu, 12th July 1871.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
5th Division.

To,

The Controller of
Public Works Accounts.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 82 of the 17th June 1871, I have the honor to state, that the Executive Engineer of the Salem Range, reports that the increase of Rupees 587-10-11 over the sanctioned Estimate for Restoring the Serukalay Tank in the Namkal Talook is owing partly to the construction of a wall of stone in chunam sanctioned by Colonel Foord in his letter No. 323 dated 15th September 1869, to divert the surplus

surplus of the East Calingulah in order to protect the Tank bank. This item was not provided for in the original estimate which was prepared during the time of Supervisor Appavoo Moodaliar and partly to an increase of earth work, the cause of which appears to have been the inaccuracy of the original estimate.—

I have, &c.,

(Signed) A. Boileau Col. R.E.
Superintg. Engineer, 5th Dn.

No. 1121

Submitted for the information of Government with reference to para 1 of G.O. No. 106, of the 17th May 1871, and in continuation of Endorsement from this Office No. 701, of the 13th June 1871, para 2.—

Office of the Controller of Public Works Accounts, Madras, 21st July 1871.

S. Thoff
Controller of P.W. Accounts.

To,
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort Saint George.

Read

P. W. Department.

No. 2029

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 3rd Augt 71

Read the following

From the Controller of P. W. Accounts

No. 1121 of 21st July 1871

Submitting for the information of Government a letter from the Superintending Engineer, 5th Division, explaining the cause of excess outlay over the Estimate for the Restoration of the Seven Kalay Tanks in Salem District.

Ordered that the Superintending Engineer 5th Division be informed that the Accounts Department has been directed to submit the detailed Estimate for the above Tanks for the sanction of Government.

Ordered that the Estimate when received be submitted to the Board of Revenue for report.

Ordered that the Board of Revenue be requested to report whether the Estimate is not excessive, and whether the Tanks are not of such a character as to require annual repairs

construction, under the orders of the Supt Engineer of a wall in stone and chunam for the protection of the tank bank, and to earth work omitted from the original estimate.

The prescribed limitation* has been exceeded by Rs 13, and, under the explanations now afforded, the Controller will be authorized to pass the charge.

14. E. Dc. 2030 dated 3rd July

Copy of the above forwarded to the Govt of India in continuation of Docket No. 1698 dated 23rd June 1871.

28th July

*5 per cent beyond estimate

No. 1255
C

To The Controller of P. W. Accts
" Supt Engr. 5th Div.

Desp. 4/8/71.

No. 21
C

Desp. 4/8/71.

C- No. 3509.

Govt. of [1871] Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Controller of Public Works Accounts and To the Secretary to the Govt. of India

Dated

Read.

G O 3 Aug 1871, No. 2029-2030.

Nos. 42-43 144

Forwarding G- O authorizing the Controller of P- W Accounts to pass the excess expenditure of Rs 13 on the Seerakally ruined Tank- Salem-

Seerakally ruined Tank- Salem- Controller of P- W accounts authorized to pass the excess expenditure of Rs 13-