M.R.O. 1—77,003—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2008 Press / Mis.

314

Dated 1 8 18 71.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 3. Read the following Endorsement of the Under-Secretary to Government (for the Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint-Secretary to Government,) dated Madras, 18th July 1871, No. 51 :—

From the Agent and Manager of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, to the Under-Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 14th July 1871, No. 304.

In reply to your letter No. 72, and with reference to G. O., No. 1,735, I have the honour to inform you that I was and am of opinion that as the cost of Lockinsula bungalow was properly charged to the works originally and has been passed in the accounts the application for sanction, which I submitted at the request of the Chief Engineer, was not necessary.

2. That officer (to whom I referred the questions raised in the G. O.) states, as a reason why the sanction of the Government was not obtained, that "Lockinsula bungalow is one of those built as an office for the construction of the works" (for which it is not usual to ask for sanction), and "which it is now proposed to make the residence of one of the Overseers of the Conservancy staff."

3. As this bungalow will be one of the Company's permanent bungalows, I propose that it shall be transferred to the category of permanent buildings at the price of Rupees 3,000, and that the difference between that amount and the cost of the building shall remain as a charge against the works of the division in which it is situated.

Submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to G. O., No. 1,735, of the 29th June 1871.

2. It appears from the information given to the Agent by the Chief Engineer that Lockinsula bungalow was built as an office for the construction of the works, and it is stated that the sanction of Government was not usually asked for expenditure of this kind, but though the cost of the construction of temporary offices might properly be debited to such a head as contingencies without specific sanction, it could hardly have been intended that bungalows of such a class as that at Lockinsula should be built without reference to Government.

3. The Agent proposes that it should be transferred to the category of permanent buildings at the price of Rupees 3,000, and that the difference between that amount and the cost (Rupees 4,192-3-1) should be charged against the works of the division. It has already been stated in a previous communication from this office that the proper cost should not have exceeded Rupees 3,750, and I should now recommend the following adjustment of expenditure :—

	Rs.	A.	P.
Transfer to permanent buildings...	3,000	0	0
Debit against the works of the division	750	0	0
Disallow (undue expenditure)	442	3	1
Total...	4,192	3	1

(Signed) A. deC. SCOTT, Captain, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt.
for Chief Engr. for Irrigation.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
1st August 1871.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, 1st August 1871, No. 2,008.

IRRIGATION, No. 1.

THE Government see no necessity for *transferring* the Lockinsula bungalow to a different head of account which would not have the effect that appears to be intended, of reducing the cost of constructing the Main Canal unless the Company had some means of reimbursing the Capital account in the amount at which it is proposed to take the edifice over as a permanent building, a charge which the Revenue account is quite unable to meet. There is, however, no objection to the bungalow being brought on the list of buildings to be permanently maintained at its estimated value of Rupees 3,000, in order to regulate the amount of rent to be hereafter charged for it which appears to be all that is practically necessary to be done.

(True Extract.)

Captain, R.E.,

Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, Agent and Manager of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.

Exd. E. C. Shanker.

No. 51.

From the Agent and Manager Madras Irrigation and Canal Company. No. 304 of the 14th July 1871.

Submitted for the orders of Government with reference to G.O. No. 1735 of the 29th June 1871.

It appears from the information given to the Agent by the Chief Engineer that Lockinsula Bungalow was built as an Office for the Construction of the works and it is stated that the sanction of Government was not usually asked for Expenditure of this kind but though the cost of the Construction of temporary Offices might properly be debited to such a head as contingencies without specific sanction, it could hardly have been intended that Bungalows of such a class as that at Lockinsula should be built without reference to Government.

The Agent proposes that it should be transferred to the Category of Permanent

buildings at the prices of Rupees 3000. and that the difference between that amount and the cost (Rs 4192-3.1) should be charged against the works of the Division. It has already been stated in a previous communication from this Office that the proper cost should not have exceeded Rs 3750 and I should now recommend the following adjustment of Expenditure.

	Rs
Transfer to Permanent buildings	3000-0-0
Debit against the works of the Division	750-0-0
Disallow (undue Expenditure)	442-3-1
Total Rupees.	4192-3-1.

Office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secretary to Government Madras 18th July 1871.

Cadd. Scott.

Capt. R.E.
Under Secy to Govt.
for Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Joint Secy to Govt.

To

The Secretary to Government

Public Works Department.

Pw 29 June /71 No 501-502

P. W. 1871 Dept
No. 51 Pro. of Govt for Augt
No 3510.

From
The Acting Chief Engineer
for Irrigation and
Joint Secretary to
Government.

To The Secretary to
Govt

Dated 20 } July 71.
Recd 22d }

Enclosure 1.

Submits with reference
to G.O. No 1735 - 29 June /71
No 304 of the 14th July 1871.
from the Agent and
Manager M. I. C. C
for the orders of
Government
No 2008 - 1 Augt /71

No 3

No 2008. P.W.D.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

Read the following

From,

The Ag Chief Engineer
for Irrigation } (H. E. No 51 of 20th July 1871)

The Government see no necessity for transferring the Lockinsula Bungalow to a different head of account which would not have the effect, that appears to be intended, of reducing the cost of constructing the main Canal unless the Company had some means of reimbursing the Capital account in the amount at which it is proposed to take the edifice over as a permanent building

a charge which the Revenue account is quite unable to meet. There is however no objection to the Bungalow being brought on the list of buildings to be permanently maintained at its estimated value of Rs 3000- in order to regulate the amount of rent to be hereafter charged for it which appears to be all that is practically necessary to be done.

[illegible] 27th July

To,
The Chief Engr. for Irrgn.
" Agent & Manager M. I. & Co.

Dispd. 2/8/71

C- No- 3510.

Govt of 1871 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Ag Chief Engr. for Irrigation

Dated

Recd. Ext 1

G. O., 1st Aug 1871, No. 2008.

Nos. 314

Lucknisula Bungalow-

Authority granted for the Bungalow being brought on the list of Buildings to be permanently maintained at its estimated value.