

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Public work* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1275	Press
154-55	Mis.
Dated 9/5	1871.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th May 1871.*

No. 154. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 26th April 1871, No 80 —

With reference to G. O , No. 1,116, of 20th instant, I have the honour to observe that that order virtually cancels the ruling of the Accountant General, Public Works Department, that an officer may draw travelling allowance while halting at head-quarters for four days inclusive of Sunday, for, as I endeavoured to point out in my letter No. 1,109, Mr. O'Shaughnessy's claim could not have been gainsaid had he proceeded to the Lower Anicut on the 22nd, instead of on the 21st, unless the order adverted to above is cancelled.

2. The Controller, I observe, submits my letter to Government with reference to G. O , No. 482, of 17th February, an order passed on a case differing materially from that of Mr. O'Shaughnessy ; for while Mr. O'Shaughnessy broke a halt of four days by a run out to the anicut, Mr. Hannan appears to have halted from the 22nd to the 24th, to have run out 12 miles on the 25th, to have returned to head-quarters on the 26th, and to have then halted till the 30th November, thus making one march out and one into head-quarters in nine days.

3. Under the existing method of passing travelling allowances, according to the spirit of the rules, there must necessarily be considerable diversity of opinion relative to the claims of officers for those allowances between the eight Superintending Engineers and the Controller, and as these opinions would probably be brought more into accord with those of Government, to whom references on the subject are now constantly made, if, in each case, Government pointed out under which rule or G. O. each claim was disallowed, I would suggest the desirability of their doing so.

No. 155 ORDER THEREON, 9th May 1871, No. 1,275.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 15.

On a consideration of this letter the Government see no reason for modifying their Orders of the 20th April 1871, No. 1,116.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Quarters on the 26th and to have then halted till

For 800 miles from the Capital to the Office of the
General Secretary to Government, 26 April 1871
From the General Secretary to Government to the
Colonel, C. I. O. P. E.
Colonel, C. I. O. P. E.
Secretary to Government, P. M. D.
Sir, I have the honor to observe that that
order virtually cancels the ruling of the Accountant
General P. M. D. that an
Officer may draw Travelling allowance
while halting at Head Quarters

No 880 of 21 August 1868
Circular, 22 September 1868
Controller

for 4 days inclusive of Sunday, for, as I en-
deavored to point out in my letter 1109.
Mr O'Shaughnessy's claim could not have
been granted. Had he proceeded to the
Lower Anicut on the 22nd instead of on the 21st
unless the order adverted to above is cancelled.

The Controller I observe submits my
letter to Government with reference to G. O.
No 482 of 17 February, an order passed on
a case differing materially from that of
Mr O'Shaughnessy; for, while Mr O'Shaughnessy
broke a halt of 4 days by a run out to the
Anicut, Mr Hannan appears to have
halted from the 22nd to the 24th to have run out
12 miles on the 25th to have returned to head
Quarters on the 26th and to have then halted
till

to be 30 November thus making one month
out of 2 months into 3 months in 9 days.

Under the existing method of passing
Travelling allowances according to the spirit
of the Rules there must necessarily be
considerable diversity of opinion relative
to the claims of Officers for these allowances
between the Superintending Engineers and the
Controller and as these opinions would
probably be brought more into accord
with those of Government to whom reference
on the subject are now constantly made if in
each case Government pointed out under
which rule of G.O. each claim was dis-
allowed I would suggest the desirability
of their doing so.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Obedt Servt
J. V. A. R. Captn
Supt Engineer to Govt

P 11 Dept
 1293

Proceedings of the Madras Government.
 Under date the 9th May 1871
 Read the following

in the Superintending Engineer's Office No 80 of 26 April 1871.

On a consideration
 of this letter the Govt.
 see no reason for
 modifying their orders
 of the 20th April 1871
 No 1116.

[Signature]

24
 3

The Superintending Engineer
 to the Controller
 Desps 10/5/71

Des 17/12/70
 20 April 1871

To
 The Secretary to Govt.
 Mr. J. J. P. Engineer
 6/2/71

Dated 26th April 1871
 No 2803

No 80.

Letter that G.O. No 1116 of the 20th
 August last, cancelled the
 ruling of the Accountant General
 No 2223 that travelling allowance
 may be drawn while travelling at
 head quarters for four days in
 a week of Sunday and on a
 the desirability of Govt, in having
 orders on such cases referred to
 them & pointing out the rule of
 G.O. under which such claims
 may be allowed.

Des 10/4

Des 17/12/70

Des 12/5/71

GOVT. 1871

PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Superintending Engineer
N. E. Division

Date

Re

G. O. 9 May 1871, No. 1295.

[Handwritten signature]

No. 1248/5

Transmission of Plans

to the Engineer of the
N. E. Division
for the purpose of
the same.



63 May 1871