

(1) Number of pages 10(2) Date of repair 18-4-62

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.****REVENUE DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. <u>35</u>	Press Mis.
Dated <u>6-7-1871</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

18

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th January 1871.*

No. 72. Read the following letter from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 14th December 1870, No. 1,337 :—

I HAVE the honour to point out, with reference to the letter from this Office upon Mr. Bliss's application for privilege leave, dated 29th December, in which gentleman was declared entitled to two months' leave, that a doubt has arisen as to whether he could be rightly considered entitled to more than one month, on account of his having overstayed his previous leave by one day, for which he was retrenched in his allowances, and may be held to have lost the title to accumulated leave which he had earned previously.

2. Mr. Bliss did not take more than twenty-seven days leave, so that no rules have been broken in his case, but the question is referred, in order that all doubt as to his future title to leave may be done away with.

3. Mr. Bliss was entitled to three months' leave when he obtained the leave for two months, and he was held to have overstayed his leave merely because he took charge in the after instead of the forenoon. It seems, therefore, hard and a stretching of the rule to declare that by this delay of a few hours he has lost all title to leave fairly earned; yet without the sanction of Government, this Office does not seem capable of coming to any other decision. It is, therefore, submitted for consideration whether such taking charge should be viewed as a break in continuous service disentitling an officer to count his leave earned; and if so, whether Mr. Bliss may not be gazetted as having leave in 1869 for two months and one day, whereby all difficulty would be avoided, and he would be entitled to claim the balance of his three months' leave.

4. It is true that privilege leave may not be given retrospectively, but it is questionable whether this order can be held to apply in a case of this sort when an officer had actually earned more leave than he took, and whether it is not applicable solely to cases in which officers ask for leave from some date prior to that of application.

No. 73. ORDER THEREON, 6th January 1871, No. 35.

Miscellaneous.

THE Government do not consider that the circumstance of Mr. Bliss having resumed charge in the afternoon instead of the forenoon should be held to constitute such a break in continuous service as to deprive him of the benefit of previously accumulated leave.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Audit
RESOURCE DEPARTMENT.

Treasury.

No. 1337

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
14th December 1870.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

TO THE *Secretary to Government*
Revenue Department

SIR,

Have the honor to point out with reference to the report from this Office upon Mr. Bliss' application for privilege leave dated 23 July last, in which that Gentleman was declared entitled to two months' leave, that a doubt has arisen as to whether he could be rightly considered entitled to more than 1 month on account of his having overstayed his previous leave by 1 day, for which he was retrenched his allowances, and may be held to have lost the title to accumulated leave which he had earned previously.

2. Mr. Bliss did not take more than 27 days leave, so that no rules have been broken in his case but the question is

ref

Govt. of 1871 Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Ac., Sec., &c.

Dated January 7th

Recd.

Recd.

G. O., 7th January 1871, No. 35.

JANUARY.

Nos. 72-73.

Mr. Bliss's privilege leave.—Letter from the Accountant-General on this subject, with orders thereon.

referred in order that all doubt as to his future title to leave may be done away with.

3. Mr Bliss was entitled to 3 months leave when he obtained the leave for 2 months, and he was held to have overstayed his leave merely because he took charge in the afternoon instead of the forenoon. It seems therefore hard and a stretching of the rule to declare that by this delay of a few hours he has lost all title to leave fairly earned, yet without the sanction of Government this Office does not seem capable of coming to any other decision. It is therefore submitted for consideration whether such taking charge should be viewed as a break in continuous service disentitling an officer to count his leave earned &c &c, whether Mr Bliss may not be gazetted as having leave in 1869 for 2 months and 1 day whereby all difficulty would be avoided, and he would be entitled to claim the balance of his 3 months' leave.

4. It is true that privilege leave may not be given retrospectively, but it is questionable whether this order can be held to apply in a case of this sort when

52
where an Officer had actually earned more leave than he took, and whether it is not applicable really to cases in which Officers ask for leave from some date prior to that of application.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant

H. A. M. H. M.
Accountant General.

Rev. Sept. 28

N^o 35

Pros of the Madras Govt

Under date the 7th January 1870

Read the following letter from the Accountant General.

H.E. 14th Decr 1870 N^o 133

Soliciting orders in the case of Mr. H.W. Bliss of the first service with reference to his title to Privilege Leave.

A. V. P.
L. D. S.

The Govt do not consider that the circumstances of Mr. Bliss having resumed charge in the afternoon instead of the forenoon should be

Recd 29 July 1870 1878
- 14 Aug - 17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

N^o 3894.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVT. OF MADRAS.

To

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept.

Dated

14

December 1870

Recd.

16th

No. 1337.

Enclosures.

Solicits orders in the case of Mr. H.W. Bliss of the Civil Service with reference to his title to Privilege Leave.

C. Secy 36. 6 Jan 1871

No 72

10.50.22.5.1228

be held to constitute
such a break in con-
tinuous service as to
deprive him of the
benefit of previously
accumulated leave

L.D.

6 Little Acker Lane Des Moines
14 — Dr. Paul A

Sgt. H. K.
L.D.

*Mr. Bliss' Claim to
Privilege Leave*

*Ch
Des*