

(1) Number of pages 161
(2) Date of repair 24-6-55
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2864	Press ~~Mis.~~
507-08	
Dated 28. 11	1870.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Ar

12

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th November 1870.*

No. 507. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Cuddapah, the 4th November 1870, No. 1,596:—

I HAVE the honour, with reference to G. O. No. 1,890, dated 8th August 1870, to request the further orders of Government for the guidance of this office.

2. In the above order, Government made it absolute upon this office to make all future office arrangements in strict accordance with the ruling of the Government of India in the Financial Department, thereby wishing it to be understood that the order of the same Government, published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette* (page 729 of 1869) for the guidance of all Departments, was *not* to be followed.

3. As, however, there was no direct ruling cancelling the order of May, and with a view to something definite being prescribed, I addressed the Controller of Public Works Accounts on the subject—
No. 1,227, dated 26th August 1870, to the Controller of Public Works Accounts.
No. 8, dated 1st September 1870, in reply to above.
Vide copy of communication attached, and that Officer's reply.

4. From this reply you will observe that, while admitting his inability to quote a case to which the order of May would fail to apply, the Controller reiterates the tenor of the order of January 1869, which provides for deputation or acting allowance being drawn upon a percentage against the moiety the absentee forfeits.

5. I have, therefore, good reason to believe that the Government of India Order (No. 308, of 1st May 1869,) in the Financial Department is intended to be ignored altogether by the Public Works Audit office, and, if this is to be the case, I beg respectfully to suggest that steps be taken for the cancelment of this order, which probably serves to mislead offices generally.

6. In conclusion, I beg to add that my own office as well as some of the Range Offices are *numerically* weak, and the rigid observance of the order for allowing only a percentage, when such cases can be met by passing moiety salaries, would work very hard. Further, the bringing in of an outsider (who most probably would be new to his work) on the half salary, or 50 per cent. of the pay forfeited by the absentee, would in all probability keep out deserving junior hands in the office from the trifling increases they obtain for acting in the next higher grade.

No. 508. ORDER THEREON, 28th November 1870, No. 2,864.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 54.

THE Government fail to see how Lieutenant-Colonel Moberly could construe G. O. of the 8th August last, No. 1,870, in which the necessity for his adhering in future to the rules of 6th January 1869 regarding acting allowances to Uncovenanted Servants was pointed out as implying that a subsequent order of the Government of India, dated 1st May 1869, on the subject was not to be followed.

2. The latter order, which is clear and explicit, so far from being opposed to the former, or calculated to mislead, as the Superintending Engineer states, simply

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
28th November 1870.

explains that the ruling of January 1869 was to be held to supersede all previous rulings, and that the grant of acting allowances should be regulated by it in all cases in which the appointment of a substitute for an absentee might be found necessary.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division.
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.

Copy of order No. 1227 of the 26th August 1870 from
the Superintending Engineer 3rd Division to the Con-
troller Public Works Accounts, Madras.

G. O. No. 1890 dated 8th Instant.

In the guidance of this Office request to
quote a case in point under which the
ruling of the Government of India in the
Financial Department, No. 308 of 1st May
1869, published at page 729 of the
Gazette would have effect on the
inform if this order is to be completely set
aside in that the cancelled

Sanpudak
4 November 1870.

W. H. H.
Superintending Engineer 3rd Division

Copy of Order of the 1st September 1870
 from the Controller Mr. Anson to the Supg.
 Engineer 3rd Div.

Requests to state that he is unable
 to advance a case of an Officer Establish-
 ment to which the rules quoted in
 the above Order would fail to apply,
 i.e. a vacancy in which the 20 per-
 cent deputation allowance rule would
 not be followed in making temporary
 promotions. The allowance to an officer
 if introduced will be 50 percent of
 the appointment in which he Offi-
 ciates, always provided that the total
 amount to be paid under the 20 &
 50 percent deputation allowance
 rules does not exceed that forfeited
 by the absentee.

W. A. C. M. M. M.
 Supg. Engineer 3rd Div.
 Camp Maddurah
 4th November 1870

1870-71

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

Mr. J. C. C. C.

1870-71
From
The Supg Engineer
3rd Division
To
The Secy to Govt
P. W. Dept
Fort St George

Pt 4545/79
Copy of Controller's
Docket No 8 of
1 September 1870

Enclosures.
2.

No. 1596

Superintending Engineer's Office.
3rd Division.

Camp ~~Suddapah~~ ~~Suddapah~~ Talook
~~Suddapah~~ District.
The 4th November 1870.

From,
The Superintending Engineer
3rd Division.

To,
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort St George.

Sir,

I have the honor to refer
to G.O. No 1890 dated 8th August 1870
to request the further orders of Govt
for the guidance of this Office.
In the above order Government
made it absolute upon this Office to
make all future Office arrangements
in strict accordance with the ruling of
the Government of India in the Finan-
cial Department, thereby wishing it
to be understood that the order of the
same Government published in
the Fort St George Gazette (page 729
of 1869) for the guidance of all Depart-
ments was not to be followed.

3. As however there was no direct ruling cancelling the order of May and no view to something definite being presented, I addressed the Controller Mr. Accounts in the subject. Vide Copy of Communication attached and that Officer's reply.

N. 12270
August 1.
the Controller
Mr. Accounts
1870, in reply
above.

4. From this reply you will observe that while admitting his inability to quote a case to which the order of May would fail to apply, the Controller reiterates the tenor of the order of January 1869, which provides for deputation or acting allowance being drawn upon a percentage against the money the absentee forfeits.

5. I have therefore good reason to believe that the Government of India order (N. 308 of 10 May 1869) in the Financial Department is intended to be ignored altogether by the P.W. Audit Office, and if this is to be the case, I respectfully suggest that steps be taken for the cancellation of this order which probably serves to mislead Officers generally.

6. In conclusion, I beg to add that my own Office as well as some of the Range Offices are numerically weak and the rigid observance of the order for allowing only a percentage, when such cases can be met by paying

monthly salaries, would work very hard. Further, the bringing in of an outsider (who must probably, would be new to his work) on the half salary, or 50 per cent. of the pay forfeited by the absentee, would in all probability keep out deserving junior hands in the Office from the trifling increase they obtain for acting in the next higher grade.

Yours faithfully,
J. H. B. Esq.
Superintendent
P. W. Audit Office

Public Works Department
 No. 2864, 1st Nov. 1877
 Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 28 Nov 77
 Read the following

From The Supg Engineer 3rd Div. H.E. No. 1596 of 4th November 77

The Government is
 glad to see how quickly
 Colonel Robert, who
 constructed the
 gauging post No. 1870
 in which the necessity
 for providing a rapid
 future to the rules of
 6th January 1869 is
 regarding, being allow-
 ances to inconvenient
 servants was pointed
 out as implying
 that a subsequent
 order of the Govt of
 India dated 1st May

1877
 From The Supg Engineer
 3rd Division.
 No. 1596.

To,
 The Secy to Govt
 Mr. C. J. P. (Mr. C. J. P.)

Dated 4th November 77.
 Received.

Enclosed 2
 Nos. 2864-2865
 containing copy of
 correspondence and
 subject of Deposition
 of evidence and
 of a statement
 requesting the further
 order of Government.

16th Dec 1877

1869 on the subject was
not to be followed

The latter order which
is clear and explicit,
so far from being
opposed to the former,
or calculated to mislead,
as the Superintending
Engineer states, simply
explains that the ruling
of January 1869, was to
be substituted for
all previous rulings, and
that the point of acting
allowances should be
regulated by it in all
cases in which the ap-
pointment of a substitute
for an absent engineer is
found necessary.

To The S. Eng 3^d Bu
" Contrs
Recd 12/16/70
16 Dec 1870

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the right page]

