

(1) Number of pages 24

(2) Date of repair 21-2-1930

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1930	Press ~~Mis.~~
201-02	
Dated 13. 8	1820.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th August 1870.*

No. 201. Read the following Petition from N. VEERARAGAVOOLOO IYER,
late Talook Overseer, Bellary District:—

Praying, for reasons assigned, to be permitted to
be re-employed as a Talook Overseer in the } (Here enter dated 27th July 1870.)
Department Public Works.

No. 202. ORDER THEREON, 13th August 1870, No. 1,930.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 23.

TAKING into consideration the youth,
and the favourable testimonials which
the petitioner has produced of his
conduct while employed under the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company subse-
quent to his removal from the service of Government in the Public Works Depart-
ment, and, moreover, giving full weight to the circumstance of that removal having
been ordered on grounds of suspicion only, His Excellency the Governor in
Council is unwilling to deprive the petitioner of an opportunity of retrieving his
position, and will not object to his re-employment as a Talook Overseer on the
nomination of any Superintending Engineer.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Controller of Public Works Accounts. •
To N. Veeraragavooloo Iyer.

582
3rd
15/2/68
I am much obliged to you for the
information you have supplied me with
Colonel C. B. O. R. C.

D. P. W. Secretary to Government
Madras.

Respected Sir

I beg leave most respectfully to bring to your notice that I was lately employed as Overseer of the Adony Taluq, in the District of Bellary, and that I have been thrown out of employ under the circumstances hereunder detailed.

2. I was charged by Mr. S. Claridge, the Supervisor in charge of N. II Range, with having forged a receipt for Rs 249-8 in the name of a Contractor Agraharam Lingadu, due for Cart hire and was

582
3rd
15/2/68
To the Honble Secretary to Government
Colonel C. B. O. R. C.

D. P. W.
Madras.

Respected Sir

I beg leave most respectfully to bring to your notice that I was lately employed as Overseer of the Adony Talug, in the District of Bellary, and that I have been thrown out of employ under the circumstances hereunder detailed.

2. I was charged by Mr. S. Claridge, the Supervisor in charge of N^o II Range, with having forged a receipt for Rs 24.9-8 in the name of a Contractor Agraharam Lingadu, due for Cart-hire and

was

After the case was dismissed, I applied to Major Hooper for my reinstatement. This gentleman directed my immediate dismissal from the service, as will be seen from the enclosure marked A. I thereupon, appeared to the Sessions Court and the Proceedings of that Court are herewith submitted, marked B from which it will be seen that the Sub-Magistrate should have committed my case to that Court for trial. The Proceedings further nullify that portion of

of the Sub Magistrates imicalled: for
remark to which I fell a victim. 3/
On the receipt of the Court
Proceedings I applied to Major Moberly
for my reemployment. His reply is
marked C, is herewith submitted. In
this memorandum it is stated that
"Before directing W. S. Claridge to lay
the case before the Auluc Sub Magistrate
the undersigned was satisfied that
Teeragaravalli Iyer had not acted
properly and that there was moral
suspicion of his guilt. The undersigned
transferred the case to the Magistrate
in order that a more adequate punish-
ment for the offence than mere dis-
missal should be inflicted.
I am really at a loss to under-
stand on what grounds Major Moberly
came to the above conclusion; for neither
he nor any one else of the Department held

1941

any enquiry into the case. I further beg to point out the disagreement existing between the Dockets marked A and C. In the first one, my dismissal was based on the vague and uncalled for remarks of the Sub Magistrate, and on this being upset by the Session Judge, it was shifted, in the second, to a different ground of which no mention had been made in the first one.

I further beg to take this opportunity to bring to the notice of Government that I am a native of Bellary, spent 9 years in acquiring English education, then entered the Civil Engineering College and passed out for Assistant Engineers grade in April 1866. In the month of July following I was appointed as Taluk Overseer and posted to the Bellary Taluk, where I did duty for two months. I was afterwards transferred to the Aulur and Adoni Taluks, from which I was removed on the 25th August 1867. Thus it will be seen that I was nearly 13 months in the service under the

Order

orders of Mr. St. Clair, the Range Officer.

8. I am conscious of having done nothing to bring about my dismissal with an unfounded stain on my character. The Sub Magistrate thought it proper to display his abilities in the way he did in my case, which the Session Judge remarks should not have found a place in his remarks especially in a case over which he has no final jurisdiction. It is possible that had the case been committed and then occurred put on his trial, he might have left the Court without a stain on his character. The Judge adds by saying that remarks of this nature are not only objectionable in themselves

and calculated to do mischief, but
are not warranted by the rules of
Procedure.

9. In para. 5 of the Order of
Government in the Public Depart-
ment published in page 98 of Mr. Grant's
D. P. W. Circular orders, it is ruled
that persons appointed to her most
important situations in our Department
shall not be dismissed for frauds
and dishonesty, continued
and wilful negligence - offences
involving moral disgrace and proved
incompetency.

10. I beg to submit that any
case, which is most unfortunate
and singular one, does not fall under
any of the clauses above detailed.

11. I am young yet, being only
22 years old and just embarked
upon my worldly career and if
my prospects in life are so soon
shut

shut out by the intrigues of Mr. S.
Claridge, who was all along trying
to bring me into trouble, by the un-
founded remark of the Sub Magis-
trate and by a mere suspicion cre-
ated in the Superintending Engi-
neer, I know not how to get on
in this world for the remaining
greater portion of my life.

12. Under the circumstances above
detailed, I trust that Government
will be pleased to see that I have
not been fairly dealt with and that
they will also be pleased to order my
re-employment in ~~that~~ any other
District or Division.

I beg leave to remain
respected sir
Your most obedient
and humble servant.

Madras } N. Levaragaru Jem
February }
1868 }

P. S. I am now residing at

Not warranted by the rules of
Procedure.
In para. 5 of the Order of
Government in the Public Depart.
ment published in page 98 of Sir Spratt's
Circular Order, it is pointed out
that persons appointed to Her Majesty's
service shall not be dismissed for reasons
of fraud and dishonesty, continued
and wilful negligence - offences
involving moral disgrace and proved
incompetency.
I beg to submit that my
case, which is most unfortunate
and singular one, does not fall under
any of the clauses above detailed.
I am young yet, being only
22 years old, and just embarked
upon my worldly career, and if
my prospects in life are so soon
shut

shut out by the intrigues of Mr. S.
Claridge, who was all along trying
to bring me into trouble, by the un-
founded remark of the Sub Magis-
trate and by a mere suspicion cre-
ated in the Superintending Engi-
neer, I know not how to get on
in this world for the remaining
greater portion of my life.

12. Under the circumstances above
detailed, I trust that Government
will be pleased to see that I have
not been fairly dealt with and that
they will also be pleased to order my
re-employment in ~~that~~ any other
District or Division.


I beg leave to remain
respected sir
Your most obedient
and humble servant.

Madras } N. Perumagariyer
February }
1868 }

P. S. I am now residing at

Madras and my address is
 N. Venkataragavulu Superintending
 Mr. C. Hoopes & Co. B.A. & B.L.
 India Office
 Madras.

2



to the
 paper
 ref. Co.
 we send to
 son to build
 Hithon
 without
 South.

Madras, and my address is
 N. Venkataswami Iyer, Secy. of
 M. C. Hoofpooranasamy, Sathuli
 B. A. & B. L.

to April 1870.

1870, April
 No. 2995

Submits herewith for
 of his testimonials for

and

and making full allowance

for irregularity in the remarks

made by the District

and making full allowance

for irregularity in the remarks

made by the District

and making full allowance

for irregularity in the remarks

made by the District

and making full allowance

for irregularity in the remarks

made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

✓

Ordered that the
 following endorsement be passed
 on Petition No. 382 of 1868.

After a careful con-

sideration of the papers above presented
 and making full allowance
 for irregularity in the remarks
 made by the District

the Government see no reason to doubt

that the services of the Petitioner

in the Public Works Department

were very properly dispensed with.

W.D.

Fort Saint George
 23rd March 1868

Copy to the Sup^r Engr^r & Secy^r 24th
 and to the Pet^r who Pet^r

W.D.

Am't by Engr's Office 3.00

Billings, M. H. 1888

No 3376

6

Head, Engineer
3rd Division

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Dept
Fort Snelling

Dep. with Billings
Engr. A. B. C. will be paid

In returning the accompanying
petition from L. Perazogova a
copy transmitted to one Mr. [unclear]
[unclear] the [unclear] to inform you
that in the [unclear] 1887, a [unclear]
[unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
[unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
[unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
that money and that the receipt
produced was a forgery, the signature
or mark there attached and which
was.

at hand

at hand

6.249.8.

was said to be his, not having been
written by him or with his know-
ledge or permission.

2. This Complaint was forwarded
to Mr. Verrazagarale Esq. in a letter
No 211 of 27 July 1867, for immediate
Explanation. On the 9 August 1867
Verrazagarale Esq. came into British
to give a verbal explanation,
which however Mr. Haridge told
him must be given in writing.
A few hours afterwards Mr. Verr-
azagarale Esq. gave in a letter
dated Adony, 8 August 1867, which
had really been written in Mr.
Haridge's Office on the 9, after he
had informed him in writing:-
in this letter Verrazagarale
stated that the report
made by the Complainant had
been made under a mistake, as
the greater portion of the money
had been paid to the Complainant's
partner, and he afterwards
forwarded a Memorandum marked b.
in which Verrazagarale admitted
he

he had received the money
in two instalments - the first
installment is dated 13 August
1867, which was some time after
he had been called upon for an
Explanation.

3. Mr. Haridge brought this
circumstance to my notice
on the evening of the 9 of August
as we were riding together near
to my 8th Marchall, he having
only received Verrazagarale's
letter just as he was going to mount
his horse.

4. On the 21 August I went
to Allam having sent instructions
to have all the people present.
Verrazagarale had not arrived
on that date so I had made the
inquiry without him.

5. From what I learnt then,
I considered there was sufficient
evidence to show that the pay-
ment of Rs 9, 3. 0 said to
have

have been made on the 11th of June, was not really made on that date, and that the receipt had not on that date been given by Kinga-doo and so directed Mr. Flannigan to lay the case before the Taluk Sub Magistrate - Veenanagavalinlikar also mentions that the "greater portion" of the money was given to the Complainant's partner (before the Sub Magistrate it was said by Veenanagavalin witness to have been only Rupees 50) but he does not say what was done with the other portion - The Complainant also stated that he received Rs 199.8.0 on or about the 3rd day after he had complained to the Supervisor -

6. As directed Mr. Faridgo
laid the case before the Taluk
Sub. Magistrate on the 26 of August,
a copy of whose decision was
forwarded to you with my letter
of the 27th.

No. 1303 of 3^d October 1867.
 7. Having upon the 11th been
 informed that one of my got off
 by some accident, although
 really guilty. Ordered his
 dismissal from his appoint-
 ment from 1st October 1867.

I have the honor to be
Yours most Obedt Servt

Alfred Leach
Supt. Engineer 3rd Br.

Copy to Mr. P. W. D.

No 1930 P. W. D.
Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 15 August

Read the following

Petition from

N. Venkagavoolas Ayyer
late Palay Engineer,
Bellary District.

H. E. No — 327 July 18

present to the Commission

the Commission

and a further Report

the Commission

Taking into consideration the merits and

the favorable testimony

which the Petitioner has

duced of his conduct while

played under the Madras

rigation and Canal Compa

Subsequent to his removal

from the Service of Govt.

the Public Works Dept. and

moreover, giving full weight

to the circumstances that

moral damage has been

done to the Petitioner

the Commission

the Commission

N.

Order that the following
of the Public Works Dept.

After a careful perusal of

the Report of the Commission

and the petition of the

Engineer of the Bellary District

the Commission has decided

in favor of the Petitioner

and has ordered that the

Commissioner of the Bellary District

Madras, 15th August 1868.

Respectfully submitted
N. Venkagavoolas Ayyer
late Engineer,
Bellary District.

Copy to Mr. P. W. D.

15th August 1868.

This man's conduct
 appears to be a hard
 one, more so, but must be
 his principal, he did re-
 collect duty in Mexico
 as he says, more has
 shown like under the
 Brigation Company, I hope
 the Gov. will take the wisest
 course suggested in this
 report. Comd. H. M.

Paid
 Oct 1867. 68. Debt

of Mrs.

Frederick George
 Gibbs

The Secy to Governor

P. to. D.

\$11.2995/10

No. 3376

Mrs. George Gibbs

St. 74 March 1868

Recd.

The Secy to Governor

Nebraska State

State Treasurer

Depends the circum-
 stances under which,
 and otherwise.

Paid on 9th March 1868.

Government the Jefferson City, Mo. 1868.

Order that the following

~~After a careful review of
 the report above made, the Government
 in view to the justice of the order of
 the Secretary of the Interior and
 the Secretary of the Interior from the
 report of the Secretary of the Interior
 of the Secretary of the Interior~~

1930 P. W. D.
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 13 August 1870

Petition from

H. Venkatarao Rao
late Palay Oversee,
Bellary District.

Read the following.

H. E. No — 327 July 1870

(K. V. Venkatarao Rao)
as a Public Officer
in the Bellary District

N.

Taking into con-
sideration the youth and
the favorable testimonials
which the Petitioner has pro-
duced of his conduct while em-
ployed under the Madras Ir-
rigation and Canal Company
Subsequent to his removal
from the service of Govt. in
the Public Works Dept. and,
moreover, giving full weight
to the circumstance of that re-
moval having been ordered
on grounds of suspicion only,
His Excellency the Governor

Council is unwilling to de-
prive the Petitioner of an
opportunity of retrieving
his position, and will not
object to his reemployment
as a Taluk Overseer on the
nomination of any Taluk
Engineer.

Wd

To Taluk Engineers. ✓
Comdr. Mr. A. S. ✓
Mr. Venkatarao Rao S. ✓

Dec 10/5/50.

Op 2995 B
Govt. of 1870 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

The Superintendent Engr.

100
100
G. O. B. 13th Aug. 1930

100-211-212

S. Venkataswamy Sagar is
terminating that there is no ob-
jection to the employment
of S. Venkataswamy Sagar
in the P.W. Dept. as a Valuer.
I reserve on the nomination
of a Sub-Engineer.