

(1) Number of pages 12(2) Date of repair 27.11.1

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2446-47	Press ~~Mis.~~
623-25	
Dated 26 /	1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th July 1869.*

No. 623. Read the following letter from the Acting Deputy Consulting Engineer for Railways, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 8th July 1869, No. 208.—

ON receipt of G. O., No. 2,106, of 29th June 1869, the Consulting Engineer again communicated with the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company on the subject of the incidence of the cost of the improvements to the Perambore timber-sheds, and I have now the honour to enclose the reply received, together with a letter from the Acting Chief Engineer.

2. Major Shaw Stewart is now on tour; but he desired me to state that he adheres to the opinion expressed in his letter of 21st April, No. 134, that the difference between the cost of the original building and of the proposed improved one, should fall upon Capital.

3. At the same time he considers that the conclusions drawn by the Acting Chief Engineer in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the accompanying letter are incorrect, and that when once the building has been constructed with teak-trusses no further outlay on the Capital Account will be admissible.

From the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 6th July 1869, No. 352

* G O., No. 2,106, dated 29th June. I HAVE carefully considered the question raised by the Government* of India regarding the proper mode of dealing with the cost of renewing the roof of the timber-shed at Perambore, alluded to in your communication of the 1st instant, No. 291, and have now the honour to state that I am still of opinion that as the original roof was constructed of temporary materials, its renewal with more durable materials comes under the provision of Sir Charles Wood's Despatch as to the incidence of cost of "improvements"

2. The roof having now been renewed with substantial materials, any addition or repair would, as a matter of course, fall upon Revenue, so that the "limit to perpetual additions to Capital" has already been reached

† No. 276, dated 6th June 1869 3 I beg to solicit your attention to the accompanying copy of a letter† received to-day from the Acting Chief Engineer, from which you will see that Mr Pinson's views coincide entirely with those I have expressed.

From J A PINSON, Esq., Acting Chief Engineer, to the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, dated Madras, 6th July 1869, No. 276

CONSULTING ENGINEER's letter, No. 291, of the 1st instant, and accompanying Order of Government, No. 2,106, of the 29th June 1869

* Letter No. 141 of 14th April last

The views expressed by the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India do not in any way lead me to modify my opinion.*

2. Sir Charles Wood, in the Despatch quoted "as to the incidence of cost of improvements," lays down no limit as regards time, and the number of years a temporary building has lasted cannot, in my opinion, affect his ruling

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th July 1869.*

3 It is obvious that there must be a limit to perpetual additions to Capital, and that limit is, I think, certainly attained when for a perishable material we substitute iron or zinc

4 In the present instance we have not quite fulfilled these conditions inasmuch as the roof trusses are to be of teak in lieu of iron, but yet I imagine sufficiently so to warrant a renewal when it does take place being charged entirely to Revenue

No. 624.

ORDER THEREON, 26th July 1869, No. 2,446.

RAILWAY, No. 46.

ORDERED that these papers be forwarded to the Government of India:—

(Here enter No 2,447, dated 26th July 1869)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE, 26th July 1869.

No. 625.

No. 2,447.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

RAILWAY, No. 47.

With reference to your letter of the 12th June 1869, No. 710-R, in which the Government of India request that the incidence of the cost of renewing the roof of the timber-shed at Perambore should be reconsidered, I am directed herewith to forward a letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, with communications from the Agent and Acting Chief Engineer, in which those officers adhere to the opinion that the difference between the original cost and the present estimate for the renewal in question should form a charge against Capital.

2 As the original roof was confessedly formed of very perishable materials, its reconstruction in a permanent manner seems to His Excellency the Governor in Council properly such an improvement as, under the Secretary of State's Despatch No 17 of 1864, should be carried out at the cost of Capital. The building being now of a substantial character, the cost of the repairs or improvements which may hereafter be necessary will form a debit against Revenue

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

Exd F R Bantleman.

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS

To

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
INDIA

Date 1st July 1869

No.

G.O. No. 1 of 1869, No. 2,117

JULY.

No. 625

Timber shed at Perambore (Railway) —
I, the Consulting Engineer, adhering
to the opinion that the difference be-
tween the original cost and the estimate for
the renewal of the roof of the timber-shed
at Perambore, Madras Railway, forwarded
to the Government of India with the op-
inion of the Madras Government thereon.

Railway Dept^l

Consulting Engineer's Office

No 208-

From

Captain J. G. Lindley R.E.

Acting Dy Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

To,

Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.

Secretary to Government

Public Works Dept^l

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

On receipt of G.O. No 2406
of 29th June/69, the Consulting Engineer
again communicated with the Agent
and Managers of the Madras Railway
Company on the subject of the in-
cidence of the cost of the improve-
ments to the Perambore Timber
shed, and I have now the honour

No. 252 of 6th July/69 to enclose the reply received,

together

7.

129628

including a
letter from the school-
board in favor of the
N. Lang, on the subject
of dealing with the
recruiting the road of the
school, has also a
copy of a report of the
advisory committee of 1899-
1900.

The Secretary & Genl.
 of the War. Wash.
 D.C.

heads as
8th July/69 }

276.

Madrass Railway Company
Chief Engineer's Office
Madrass 6th July 1869.

Dear Sir,

Gov. Engineer's letter of 29th of the 1st instant
and accompanying order of Government of 21st of
the 29th June 1869

Let. no 141
of 14th April
last.

The views expressed by the Officer
Secretary to the Government of India do not
in any way lead me to modify my opinion.

2. Sir Charles Wood in the despatch
quoted as to the incidence of cost of improve-
ments lays down no limit as regards time
and the number of years a temporary building
has lasted cannot in my opinion affect
his ruling

3. It is obvious that there must be a
limit to perpetual additions to capital and
that limit is I think certainly attained when
for a perishable material we substitute
iron or zinc.

4. In the present instance we have
not quite fulfilled these conditions inas-
much as the roof trusses are made of Teak
in lieu of Iron, but yet I imagine
sufficiently so to warrant a renewal when
it does take place being charged entirely
to Revenue.

Yours faithfully,
J. John W. Pearson,
The Agent & Engineer, Chief Engineer

True Copy
J. John W. Pearson
Agent & Engineer

Purpose only

No 352.

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE

Madras, 11th July 1869.

From

A. B. Clew in Esq.
Agent and Manager. of the M.R.

To

Major J. H. M. Stewart Esq.
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

SIR

GO 242106
dated 29th June.

I have carefully considered the question raised by the Government of India regarding the proper mode of dealing with the cost of renewing the roof of the Bridge shed at Ponnambale, alluded to in your communication of the 1st instant at p 591, and have now the honor to state that I am still of opinion that as the original roof was constructed of temporary materials, its renewal with more durable materials, comes under the provision of Sir Charles Wood's dispatch as to the maintenance of cost of "improvements".

2 The roof having now been renewed with substantial materials, any addition or repair would as a matter of course fall upon Revenue so that the "fund for perpetual additions to Capital" has already been reached.

* 296 - dated
Feb 1889

3
I beg to direct your attention
to the accompanying copy of a letter from
today of the Major (Chief Engineer)
from which you will see that the
Indian Census Comdte entirely with
has been approved.

I have the honor to
ack
Yours most obedt servant
Wm. H. Murray
(Agent and Manager)

20172

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From

The Agent and Manager

To

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways

P.O. 2048/69

Dated

6th July 1869

Recd

7th

No 352

Replying with reference to
the question raised by the
Govt of India, regarding
the papers made of
dealing with the cost
of renewing the roof of
the timber shed at
Perambore -

Per. 26 July 1869 L. 623

Public Works Department

20172

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 25th July 1869

Read the following

from the Com. Engrs for Mys. (H. E. No 200 d/8 July 1869)

Ordered that these
papers be forwarded to the
Government of India.

To. 20172

The Secretary to the
Government of India

Sir

With reference to
your letter of the 12th June
1869. No. 710 P. in which
the Government of India
request that the incidence
of the cost of renewing the
roof of the timber shed at
Perambore should be

reconsidered

Cons Engineer for
Railways
Dated 27th July 1869.

W.D.

A.A.

reconsidered, I am directed
herewith to forward a letter
from the Consulting
Engineer for Railways,
with communications
from the Agent and
Acting Chief Engineer,
in which those Officers
adhere to the opinion that
the difference between the
original cost and the pre-
sent estimate for the
renewal in question should
form a charge against
Capital.

2. As the original
roof was confessedly formed
of very perishable materials,
its reconstruction in a
permanent manner
seems to His Excellency
the Governor-in-Council
properly

properly such an improve-
ment as, under the
Secretary of State's Dec-
-ree No. 17 of 1864, should
be carried out at the cost
of Capital. The building
being now of a substan-
-tial character, the cost
of the repairs or improve-
-ments which may
hereafter be necessary
will form a debit
against Revenue.

Leat Sant George
26th July 1869

15
12

Done with J. A. 24th 7, on the 27th July 1869

W. B.

22/7/69

19

Govt. of {1869} Madras.

7. The Consulting Engrs.
for Railways

Proof

In 2

G O ~~25th~~ July 1869, No 244602447

Nos. 623-624 & 625

Paying orders on the letter from
the City Eng^s adhering to the opi-
-nion that the difference between
the original cost and the estimate
for the removal of the roof of the
timber shed at Perambone, Madras
Co., should form a charge against
Capital.

Limber shed at Pembroke (N.Y.) ² Letter
from the Army Eng. - ashering out
opinion that the difference between
the Original Cost & the ^{rental} ~~retention~~ for the

Renewal of the roof of the Tank
used at Framingham, Mass.,
forwarded to the pub. of instructions
the opinion of the Mass. Inst.
thereon.

1 June 1872 (B) 22m