M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 1 5 0

(2) Date of repair 5 / 1 / 3

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2106 Press ~~Mis.~~

638-39

Dated 29 - 6 - 1869.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 29th June 1869.

No. 638. Read the following letter from the Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Railway,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, (Railway,) dated Simla, 12th June 1869, No. 710-R. :—

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1,567, of the 12th May 1869, expressing the opinion that the difference between the original cost and present estimate for the renewal of the roof of the timber shed at Perambore should be charged to Capital, under the terms of the Despatch from the Secretary of State No. 17 of 1864.

2. In reply, I am to state that the original roof having lasted for so long a period, though of confessedly temporary materials, its renewal with more durable materials can scarcely be regarded as coming under the provision of Sir Charles Wood's Despatch, No. 17 of 1864, as to the incidence of cost of "improvements." It is obvious that the new roof may, hereafter, require renewal, and a still more durable material might be made use of, but it is equally obvious that there must be some limit to such perpetual additions to Capital as the application of the ruling in question to all such cases and throughout all time would involve. The Government of India adopts the principle that, so far as possible, all additions to Capital should be prevented in the interests of the Government and of the shareholders alike, and would rather see the whole cost of this and similar renewals met from Revenue than carried to Capital with a perpetual charge for interest.

3. I am accordingly to request that the Government of Madras will be so good as to reconsider the incidence of the cost of this renewal in the light of the foregoing remarks.

No. 639. ORDER THEREON, 29th June 1869, No. 2,106.

RAILWAY, No. 48.

Vide G. O., No. 1,566, dated 12th May 1869.

COMMUNICATED to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, who will reconsider the opinion expressed in paragraph 2 of his letter of the 21st April 1869, No. 134, with reference to the remarks of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 710 R

Government of India
Public Works Department
Railway

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
Public Works Depart. (Railway)

Simla, 12th June 1869

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1567 of the 12th May 1869 expressing the opinion that the difference between the original cost and present estimate for the renewal of the roof of the Timber shed at Perambore should be charged to Capital under the terms of the Despatch from the Secretary of State No. 17 of 1864.

2. In reply I am to state that the original roof having lasted for so long a period, though of confessedly temporary materials, its renewal with more durable materials can scarcely be regarded as coming under the provision of Sir

Charles Wood's Despatch No 17 of 1864 as to the incidence of cost of "improvements". It is obvious that the new roof may, hereafter, require renewal and a still more durable material might be made use of - but it is equally obvious that there must be some limit to such perpetual additions to Capital as the application of the ruling in question to all such cases and throughout all time would involve. The Govt of India adopts the principle that so far as possible all additions to Capital should be prevented in the interests of the Govt and of the shareholders alike, and would rather see the whole cost of this and similar renewals met from revenue than carried to Capital with a perpetual charge for interest -

3 I am accordingly to request that the Government of Madras will be so good as to reconsider the incidence of the cost of this renewal in the light of the foregoing remarks - -

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt servt
H Thuillier Col R.E

For Secy

Offg Secy to the Govt of India

Railways.
Desp 30/6/65

Rec. 1869 Dept
Govt. of India
No 2606

From the Govt. of India

Dy 12th June 1869
No 23 — in 69

No 710 R

Intimating that the cost of the renewal of the roof of the Timber shed at Perambore should be charged to "Revenue".

No 2106 29 June 69.

No 638

Vide G.O. No 1566 of 12 May 1869.

Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India

For delta

Public Works Department

No 2106

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 29 June 1869

Read the following

From The Govt. of India. / M. E. No 710 R. 3/12 th June 1869

Communicated to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, who will reconsider the opinion expressed in para 2 of his letter of the 21st April 1869 No 134, with reference to the remarks of the Government of India.

To The Consulting Engr for Railways.
Desp 30/6/69

Enc 2606

Govt. of {1869} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Consulting Engineer for Railways

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G. O. 29 June 1869, No. 2106

Nos. 638-639

Timber shed at Perambur (Ry)

Letter from the Supt of Works stating for the reasons given, that the cost of the renewal of the roof of the Timber shed at Perambur, Madras Railway, should be met from Revenue. Cond to the Offr concerned.