

(1) Number of pages

10

(2) Date of repair

26-5-13

(3) Initials of the Referencer

## MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

84

Press  
Mis.

Dated

10

1

1868

Not to be folded or roughly handled

19

7

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
10th January 1868.*

---

No. 162. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Tanjore, 27th December 1867, No. 1,436:—

1. I have the honor to inform you that I have been engaged for some days in making a personal inquiry into complaints brought against Overseer Puthmanaba Naidoo by contractors and others, and also with regard to irregularities in his accounts, &c., the result has been far from satisfactory, so much so that I have been obliged to dismiss him, as there is no other method of punishing him, suspension as a punishment being prohibited in paragraph 23 of Chapter 3 of the Code.

2. Puthmanaba Naidoo is quite a young man, and I am loath to destroy his prospects in life by dismissing him, and I think suspension for a year would answer the ends of justice, and would, as a special case, recommend that I may be permitted to suspend him for a year; this I am induced to do more on account of his late father Achooda Naidoo than on his own account, for there is no doubt that the Overseer has taken advantage of the laxness of supervision exercised by Mr. Supervisor Kinsella, after Captain Hasted's departure to Europe, to commit irregularities of all sorts, and his excuses are frivolous and inadmissible. His proceedings, however, should have been checked by Mr. Kinsella, who has showed himself quite unfit for the charge of a Range, as reported on the 18th instant.

No. 1,391.

3. Any sums which may not be properly accounted for by the Overseer will be recovered from the amount paid by him as security; but I believe that the payments\* made by him, for which proper receipts were not forthcoming, and of which entries were not made in his Cash books, were *bonâ fide*, and will be acknowledged by the parties to whom the sums are said to have been paid.

\* Rupees 100.

No. 163. ORDER THEREON, 10th January 1868, No. 84.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 15.

As it appears from this letter that the irregularities with which Overseer Puthmanaba Naidoo is charged, consist chiefly of his neglect to enter in his Cash books payments alleged to have been made, which, in the opinion of the Superintending Engineer, were *bonâ fide*, although proper receipts are not forthcoming, and as, in previous communications, the conduct of the Overseer was favorably reported upon, the Government consider it sufficient, as a mark of their displeasure, to direct Puthmanaba Naidoo's suspension for a period of six months.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.  
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

(240) I is quite

No. 1136

Supp. Genl. Office to C. Secy  
January 27<sup>th</sup> December 1867

Sir,

Captain R. T. Cates R.C.

Superintending Engineer to C. Secy

To.

Colonel C. A. Carr R.C.

Secretary to Government

D.F.W.

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that I have been engaged for some days in making a personal enquiry into complaints brought against Quersun Puthamahaidur Ling Contractors & others, and also with regard to irregularities in his accounts &c., the result has been far from satisfactory so much so that I have been obliged to dismiss him as there is no other method of punishing him, suspension as a punishment being prohibited in para 2.3 of Chap: 3 of the Code.

Puthamahaidur is quite a young

young man, and I am loath to destroy  
his prospects in life by dismissing  
him, and I think suspension for a  
year would answer the ends of justice,  
and would as a special case recom-  
mend that I may be permitted to  
suspend him for a year; this I am  
induced to do more on account  
of his late Father's conduct than  
on his own account, for  
there is no doubt that the Querser  
has taken advantage of the laxness  
of supervision exercised by Mr. Super-  
visor Russell, after Captain Hasted's  
departure to Europe, to commit irregu-  
larities of all sorts, & his excuses  
are frivolous & inadmissible. His  
proceedings however should have  
been checked by Mr. Russell who  
has shown himself quite unfit for  
the charge of a Range as reported on  
the 18<sup>th</sup> Inst.

Any sums which may not be  
properly accounted for by the Querser  
will be recovered from the amount

No 1591

2100  
paid by him as security, but I believe  
that the payments made by him for  
which proper receipts were not forth-  
coming, & of which entries were not  
made in his Cash Book were bona fide  
& will be acknowledged by the Parties to  
whom the sums are said to have been  
paid.

I have the honor to be.

Sir

Your most Obedt<sup>l</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>

A. F. Bates Esq. R.

Staff Surgeon to the 1<sup>st</sup> Dr.

Public Works Department.

84

1887

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 10<sup>th</sup> Jan'y 1888-

Read the following

From the S Engr 6<sup>th</sup> Dec.

(Here enter No. 1486 dated 27 Decr/87)

As it appears from this letter that the irregularities with which Overseer Puthimaratha Naidoo is charged consist chiefly of his neglect to enter in his cash books, payments alleged to have been made which, in the opinion of the Supy: Engineer, were bona fide, although proper receipts are not forthcoming, and as, in previous communications, the conduct of the Overseer was favorably reported upon, the

Corr.

P. M. 1887. 8<sup>th</sup> Decr.  
Sd/- (Sd/-) Sd/-  
To the S Engr 6<sup>th</sup> Decr.  
P. M. 1887. 8<sup>th</sup> Decr.

Dated 27<sup>th</sup> Decr 1887  
No 3102 Sd/- 1887

No 1436

27<sup>th</sup> Decr 1887

My dear Sir,  
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10<sup>th</sup> inst. regarding the above mentioned matter. I am sorry to hear that the Overseer is not forthcoming with the receipts. I shall be glad to hear from you again when you have further news.

No 1436

Government considers it  
sufficient, as a mark  
of their displeasure, to  
direct Putnamaba Sai-  
do's suspension for a  
period of 6 months.

P. W. 1868. Department.

Pr. of Govt. for January  
No. 84

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT: of Madras

Dated 10<sup>th</sup> January 1868

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

To the Suptg. Insp. 6<sup>th</sup> Div. ✓  
" Contg. ✓

Recd 17/1/68  
3

*[Signature]*

29/10<sup>th</sup> January 1868

Considering the  
Suspension of P. Parthasar.  
= the Naidoo Overseer & Mr  
for six months a sufficient  
punishment.

*No 162-163*