

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	523	Press Mis.
^{511-13.} Dated	25-2-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th February 1868.*

Read the following:—

No. 511. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th June 1867, No. 4,020.
Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 27th May 1867, No. 280.

1. With reference to Board's Proceedings No. 1,756, dated 4th September 1851, I have the honor to request sanction for the refund of Rupees 81-9-11 to the heir of the Inamdar. This sum, the receipts from the Inam lands under attachment from Fuslies 1258 to 1260, was inadvertently carried to credit in the accounts, instead of being held in deposit.

2. The Inam in question has since been settled by the Inam Commissioner.

1. Before submitting the foregoing letter to Government, the Board desire some further information regarding the Inam.

2. It appears from Board's Proceedings, dated 4th September 1851, No. 1,756, that the Inam had been mortgaged to certain parties, that the then Collector had denied the right or power of the Inamdar to part with the land and had sequestrated it.

3. This decision was reversed by the Board, and the land returned to the parties from whom it had been taken.

4. The heir of the original Inamdar then memorialized Government, praying that, as the person to whom the land had been mortgaged was dead, the land might be given up to him. He also denied that the land had been legally mortgaged.

5. In their Proceedings, dated 7th March 1853, in answer to a reference from Government, the Board stated that the Memorialist was at liberty to institute a suit in the Civil Court for the recovery of the land.

6. What the Board now desire to know is whether this suit was instituted, to whom the land was finally adjudged, and which of the parties then claiming it is represented by the present heirs.

No. 512. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th February 1868, No. 1,062.

Read again letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, dated 27th May 1867, No. 280, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 28th June 1867, No. 4,020.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1867, No. 687.

1. With reference to Board's Proceedings No. 4,020, dated 28th June 1867, I have the honor to inform you that no suit has been instituted by the heir of the original Inamdar in any Court for the recovery of the Inam in question; a Title Deed was granted by the Inam Commissioner to the father of petitioner, who is the grandson of the original Inamdar.

2. The heirs of the mortgagee have no objection to pay Rupees 81-9-11, a portion of the receipts from the Inam, from Fuslies 1257 to 1260, to the heir of the original Inamdar, who is entitled to that sum according to the terms of the mortgage bond; the Inam is still in the enjoyment of the heirs of the mortgagee.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th February 1868.*

1. Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the refund in question of Rupees 81-9-11 be sanctioned.
2. It appears that the Inam referred to was mortgaged by the original Inamdar in 1848 to certain parties, and that the then Collector had denied the right or power of the Inamdar so to act, and sequestered the land.
3. This decision was reversed by the Board, and the land was returned to the mortgagee.
4. The heir of the original Inamdar then memorialized Government, praying that, as the mortgagee was dead, the land might be given up to him. He also denied that the land had been legally mortgaged.
5. In their Proceedings, dated 7th March 1853, in answer to a reference from Government, the Board stated that the Memorialist was at liberty to institute a suit in the Civil Court for the recovery of the land.
6. No further record of the case appears until the receipt of the first of the letters recorded at the head of these Proceedings. In this the Collector states that the receipts from the lands during the period they were under attachment, amounting to Rupees 81-9-11, had by mistake been carried to credit, instead of being held in deposit, and he requested sanction to refund the amount to the heir of the Inamdar.
7. The Board in their Proceedings, dated 28th June 1867, No. 4,020, requested the Collector to state whether the suit above referred to had been instituted; to whom, in such case, the land had been finally adjudged; and which of the parties then claiming it was represented by the present heir; to whom the refund was to be made.
8. The second letter recorded above is the Collector's reply, from which it will be observed that no suit has been brought, but that the heirs of the mortgagee have no objection to the amount claimed being refunded to the petitioner, who is the heir of the Inamdar.

No. 513.

ORDER THEREON, 25th February 1868, No. 523.

Miscellaneous.

The refund of Rupees 81-9-11 is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. BRANDT,

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant General.

Exd. F. R. Bauleman.

W-523

Refund of Rs 81. 9. 11.
is sanctioned.

7B.

14 To Mr. B. P. Pinner - 25th July / 68
6 - Book given