

(1) Number of pages.....

(2) Date of repair.....

(3) Initials of the Referencer.....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3931

Press
~~Mis.~~

396-97

Dated 16-12-1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
16th December 1868.*

No. 396. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the
Judicial Department :—

Communicating letter from the Advocate Gen-
eral, reporting the result of a case prosecuted
by him at the last High Court Sessions, con-
nected with the Post Office, and calling the
attention of all Heads of Offices at the Presi-
dency to the order in 1856, laying down the
course to be observed by Government officials
in instituting criminal prosecutions. } (Here enter 30th November 1868, No. 1,817.)

No. 397. ORDER THEREON, 16th December 1868, No. 3,931.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 42.

Communicated to the undermen-
tioned Officers for information and guid-
ance :—

The Superintending Engineer of the 4th Division.

„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

„ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

„ Do. for Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.

„ Officer in charge of Public Works Stores and Workshops.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division.

„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

„ Consulting Engineer for Railways.

„ Do. Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.

„ Officer in charge of Public Works Stores and Workshops.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Govt. of 1868 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

4th Division.

Secy. Secy. Secy.

Dated December, 1868.

Recd.

Ind.

G. O. 1643 December 1868, No. 3,931.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 396-397

Criminal prosecutions.—Proceedings
Judicial Department, drawing attention to
the orders of 1856 laying down the course
to be pursued by Government officials for
instituting criminal prosecutions, commu-
nicated to the Officers concerned.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department.

30th November 1868.

No. 269. Read the following letter from the Advocate General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 4th November 1868.

I have the honor to report, for the information of His Excellency the Governor in Council, that I yesterday appeared to prosecute the prisoners charged with breaking open a letter, with intent to appropriate its contents, under Section 48, and with carelessness, endangering the said letter, under Section 47 of the Post Office Act XIV of 1866.

2. The first prisoner, Danasoo Chetty, was the Registrar of registered letters. It was his duty to register letters, and enter their registry in his book. The second, Kamoo Chetty, and the third, his Assistant Bauboo Row, then, the one or the other, received such letters from the first prisoner for despatch, giving him a receipt for the same. This was the course of duty.

3. The first prisoner, on the 17th September 1868, received a letter for registry from the Madras Bank, addressed to the Commanding Officer of the 36th N. I., Nagpore, and containing orders for 5,000 Rupees. Having duly registered it, his account was that he took it to the second prisoner, who, being busy, directed him to give it to the third. This he did, but neglected to get his book initialed in token of having parted with the letter.

4. On the following morning, when the Inland letter box was opened, the third prisoner, who was present, in the ordinary course of his duty, brought the letter to the head clerk, saying he had found it among the letters in the Inland letter box. It had then been tampered with. It had evidently been opened and closed again. Its contents were safe. Had they been Bank Notes, instead of unavailable orders, it is not too much to surmise that a different fate would have awaited the envelope.

5. On these facts the Post Office authorities instituted a prosecution before the Magistrate, without any previous communication with the Government Solicitor or myself; and it was not until the 5th of October, when the whole case had been gone into, that Mr. Brockman received a letter from the Head of the Post Office, advising him of the steps taken, and requesting him to attend at the Police, as the prisoners had engaged the assistance of Attorneys.

6. I submit, for the consideration of His Excellency, whether Government officials ought to take upon themselves the institution of criminal prosecutions without, in the first instance, communicating with, and consulting the Law Officers of Government. Several inconveniences may arise. In the first place the law may not have been infringed, though the Head of a department may think otherwise; then it is nearly certain that the investigation before the Magistrate will not be so searching and complete as if it had been conducted by the Government Solicitor; and, lastly, the Law Officers may have, as in the present instance, to appear in support of a case, which, in their opinion, must necessarily fail at the trial. It would produce a very bad effect if Government did not appear to support a prosecution brought by a Head of a department against his subordinates; while, on the other hand, I have a very strong opinion against the expediency of the Government appearing in Court in any case in which there is not a *prima facie* probability of success.

Recd. 17/12/68

7. All these inconveniences would be avoided by the very simple measure I have suggested, of a reference to the Government Solicitor before criminal prosecutions are commenced.

8. The presiding Judge, Sir Adam Bittleston, expressed, while I was opening the case for the prosecution, and subsequently ruled, that Section 4 of the Act applied only to the conveyance and delivery of letters *after* they had left the Post Office. I think his view is quite sound; so does the Public Prosecutor, Mr. Mayne.

9. He also held that there was no evidence against the second prisoner—nothing to show that the letter had ever reached his hands, and directed a verdict of not guilty to be returned as against him.

10. He left the case of the other two prisoners, on the 48th Section, as to the breaking, to the Jury, who at once returned a verdict of not guilty.

11. If, as I believe, the first prisoner after registering the letter, and so charging himself with it, delivered it to the third, it is pretty clear *he* could not have broken it open. His error consisted in not taking a receipt for his own security. There is more suspicion against the third prisoner. The letter was last in his possession; he brought it to notice next morning, at which time it had been tampered with; but there was nothing beyond mere suspicion even in his case.

12. All the prisoners had served for many years in the Post Office, and had up to the present charge borne good characters.

No. 270. ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1868, No. 1817.

Communicated to the Postmaster General.

2. With reference to the Advocate General's remarks in paragraphs 6 and 7 of his letter, as to the inconveniences arising from the practice of Government officials instituting criminal prosecutions without, in the first instance, communicating with, and consulting the Law Officers of Government, the Governor in

Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 14th May 1856,
No. 509.

Council observes that an order on this subject was issued from this Department in 1856. In that order it was directed that "Heads of Departments at the Presidency, before bringing before a Police Magistrate any case connected with malversation in their offices, will lay the whole matter before the Honorable Company's (now the Government) Solicitor, and, should he concur in the propriety of the prosecution, will leave the direction of the business in his hands."

3. The Governor in Council resolves, therefore, to call the attention of all Heads of Offices at the Presidency to the above order, with the view of enforcing a more general observance of its requirements for the future.

(True Extract).

R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

To the Postmaster General.

" Advocate General.

" Heads of Offices at the Presidency.

Ed. J. Tullo.

*Public Works Department for information and
for communication to Officers subordinate
to that Department.*

Ed. J. Tullo

103931

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 16 Dec 1883
Read the following

Proc of Madras Govt
Public Works Dept.

(Here enter No. 103931 dated 16 Dec 1883)

Communicated to
the undersigned Officers
for information and guidance

- The Engineer of the 4th Divn
- " Controller, P.W. Accts.
- " Com. Engr. for Railways
- " do M. S. & C. Comptroller
- " Officer in charge of
P.W. Stores & Workshops

To Engr. 4th Divn
" Cont. P.W. Accts.
" Com Engr. for Railways
" do M. S. & C. Comptroller
" Officer in charge of
P.W. Stores & Workshops.
Recd 21/12/83

W.B.

2.11.1883
Govt. of Madras
Judicial

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL.

Dated November, 1883.

And. O. 1883

G. O. 3060 November 1883, A. 1317.

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 269-270.

Communicating letter from the Advocate General, reporting the result of a case prosecuted by him at the last High Court Session, connects with the Post Office, and calling the attention of all Heads of Offices at the Presidency to the order in 1866, laying down the course to be observed by Government officials in instituting criminal prosecutions.

103931

103931-16-Dec-83

Dec 4775.

CONFIDENTIAL

FLYING WINGS

To
The Superintendent Brig.
4th Division

Rev. _____

1390

1215

0010 Dec 21, 1970

Nos. 396 & 397

criminal proceedings. One such
order drawing attention to the
order of 1856 laying down the
course to be pursued by Sub-
Officials for visit to the Cri-
-minal proceedings. And
the other concerning