

(1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3604	Press —Mis—
357-ES	
Dated 18-11-	18/8.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
6th November 1868.*

No. 52. Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Coimbatore, 16th October 1868, No. 88.

I have the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 9th October 1868 No 2100

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
18th November 1868.*

No. 354 Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Judicial Department —

Passing orders on a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, reporting on the hamlets situated on the south of Pothanoor Railway Station } (Here enter 6th November 1868, No. 1,702.)

No. 355. ORDER THEREON, 18th November 1868, No. 3,604.

Ordered to be recorded.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 49.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department,
Collector of Coimbatore.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
6th November 1868.*

No. 52. Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Coimbatore, 16th October 1868, No 88.

I have the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 9th October 1868, No 3196, containing a letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways on the filthy state of the hamlet at Pothanoor on the south of the station.

2. Two latrines were erected in June last, but, as sanction for the expenditure of Rupees 16 per mensem applied for in my letter of 2nd June 1868, No 48, has not yet been received, toties could not be paid. A latrine without toties would be an exciting cause of disease, and it would be improper to enforce its use.

3. I consider that the location of a village in such close proximity to the station was a mistake. It dates from some eight years back, and was sanctioned by the late Collector, Mr. Thomas, as shown in my letter of 28th September 1868, No 79, and was apparently not objected to by the Railway Authorities. Sixty per cent. of the laboring inhabitants are connected with the Railway.

4. The alternative now is, either to remove the village, or to appoint a permanent staff of toties. I think the former course will be more satisfactory in the end.

No. 53. ORDER THEREON, 6th November 1868, No 1702
Communicated to the Public Works Department, in reference to the G. O. in that Department dated the 9th ultimo, No. 3196.

It is observed that the G. O. in the Public Works Department, dated the 9th ultimo, No. 3196, that the Collector of Coimbatore was authorized to remove the huts forming the hamlets situated on the south of the Pothanoor Railway Station.

(True Extract).

M. M. S.
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department,
Collector of Coimbatore.

127. 1808 & 1809
Sort of 1868 200 mms.

JUDICIAL.

To
THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
&c, &c, &c

Dated November, 6th

Recd 1/3 - a -

Encl. 0

G. O. 6th November 1868, No. 1702

NOVEMBER.
Nos. 52-53.

Passing orders on a letter from the Collect
of Coimbatore, reporting on the hamlets situate
on the south of Pollanoor Railway Station.

12362 - 18-1802/18

like an order

12

12362
Balance of the amount

12362
18-1802/18

To the
Public Works
Department

12362

12362 12/11/18

4402
Govt. of {1868} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To *The Consulting Engrs.
for Railways*

Dated

th

Recd.

En 1

G O. 18th Nov. 1866, No 3604

Nos. 354-355

Hamlet at Pothanur Cor. Sur.
Repd stating that the Collector
of Cumbure was already author-
-ized to remove the huts from
the hamlet at Pothanur - re-
-corded.

on the south of Pothanur Railway Station.

No 354-18-1800-188

Write an order

*No 3604
The undersd*

[Signature]

Recd. 12/11/66