

(1) Number of pages 1(2) Date of repair 28.6.48

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1246	Press
124-425	Mis.
Dated 23-4-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

my

I

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd April 1868.*

No. 424. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Account,) to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Fort William, 9th April 1868, No. 277A:—

1. I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 913, dated 20th March 1868, intimating that as certain salt works are connected with the Eastern Coast Canal, it has been found necessary to give the officer in charge of the canal a general supervision over them, but that the outlay so incurred is under the control of the Revenue Department, and does not appear in the Public Works accounts.

2. In reply I am to state that, on reference to the accounts rendered to the Accountant General's Office, it would appear that the expenditure in question during the months noted in the margin* has been incurred directly by officers of the Public Works Department, and has been charged in their accounts. But it has been treated not as a charge to the Public Works Budget grant, but under remittances to the Civil Department. This course was not contemplated in the Orders† permitting expenditure on Public Works to be charged to the Civil Budget Estimate.

It was applicable only in certain cases of outlay incurred by Civil officers, and it is a fundamental rule that all such expenditure on Public Works by officers of the Public Works Department should be debited to the Public Works Budget grant, and provided for accordingly. I am, therefore, to request that an explanation may be furnished of the circumstances under which this exceptional course has been pursued.

No. 425. ORDER THEREON, 23rd April 1868, No. 1,246.

ACCOUNT, No. 38.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer of the 4th Division, who will call upon Mr. Fraser to report whether the money shown to have been expended on salt works in the Madras District was obtained direct from the Collector, or upon "letters of credit" in the usual way. If by the former means, Mr. Fraser will explain how it was that, in contravention of the orders of Government, the outlay was included in the accounts of expenditure rendered to the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 4th Division.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

277
Government of India
Public Works Department
Account.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Fort William 9 April 1868.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of
your letter No. 913 dated 20th March 1868, intimat-
ing that as certain Salt works are connected with
the Eastern Coast Canal it has been found neces-
sary to give the Officer in charge of the Canal
a general supervision over them, but that the
outlay so incurred is under the control of the
Revenue Department, and does not appear
in the Public Works Accounts.

In reply I am to state that con-
reference

ference to the accounts rendered to the
Accountant General's Office it would appear
that the expenditure in question during Sept/67. ^{Rs.} 11.16 4
the months noted in the margin has been Oct/67 3.06 7
incurred directly by Officers of the Public
Works Department and has been charged
in their accounts. But it has been treated
not as a charge to the Public Works budget
grant but under Remittances to the Civil
Department. This course was not contem-
plated in the orders permitting expenditure Circular Nos 1 of 1866
on Public Works to be charged to the Civil
Budget estimate. It was applicable only
in certain cases of outlay incurred by Civil
Officers, and it is a fundamental rule that
all such expenditure on Public Works by
Officers of the Public Works Department
should be debited to the Public Works budget
grant and provided for accordingly. I am
therefore

therefore to request that an explanation may
be furnished of the circumstances under which
this exceptional course has been pursued.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. C. C. R. A.

Secretary to the Government of India

No 1246 3
 Comm'd. to the Supy.
 Ruler of the L. & Co., who will
 call upon Mr. Fraser to report
 whether the money shown
 to have been expended in
 salt ^{in the Madras or} was detained direct
 from the Collector, or upon letters
 of credit in the usual way. If
 by the former means, Mr. Fra-
 ser will explain how it was
 that, in contravention of the
 order of Govt., the outlay
 was included in the Account.

For the sum of expenditure rendered to
 23rd April 1868 the Controller of P.W. Accounts.
 To SECy Dn. Dept. in No. 234/68-18
 Recd. Dept. with Secy No. 234/68-18
 W.B.

Mr. Fraser
 Govt. of Madras
 1449.

Mr.
 No. 1246-233-2 April 18
 Calling for the
 explanation as to the cir-
 cumstances under
 which funds provided
 for salt works in the
 Civil Budget & the
 Revenue & the P.W.
 Dept.

Mr. Fraser
 Govt. of Madras
 1449.

No 1246-233-2 April 18
 Calling for the
 explanation as to the cir-
 cumstances under
 which funds provided
 for salt works in the
 Civil Budget & the
 Revenue & the P.W.
 Dept.

No 1246-233-2