

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of receipt _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <i>a2840</i>	Press <i>Ma</i>
<i>325-337</i>	
Dated <i>13-1-1867</i>	<i>1867</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th September 1867.*

No. 336. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department:—

Sanctioning, with remarks, the Supplemental }
Local Fund Budget of the South Arcot District } (Here enter 9th September 1867, No. 2,110.)
for the current official year.

No. 337. ORDER THEREON, 13th September 1867, No. 2,840.

LOCAL FUNDS, No. 33.
Account.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer of the 5th Division, who will report on the subject of paragraph 2, with reference to the Orders marginally noted, and to his letter of the 21st ultimo, No. 98.

No. 2,363, 5th August 1867, paragraph 7.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 5th Division,
,, Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Ed. S. T. Augustin.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1867.*

No. 132. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th August 1867, No. 5,486.

Read the following letters :—

From the Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 6th June 1867, No. 145.

1. In reference to the Order of Government, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of 31st May last, No. 3,342, I have the honor to state that of the roads mentioned in the list received with the Order, No. 1 may properly be transferred to the Municipal Department, as the roads are within the Municipal limits. No. 2 is, likewise, within the Municipality, but it appears to me that its cost is not debatable to the Municipal Funds, as the work is of importance only to the port, and not to the Cuddalore public in general.

2. Nos. 3, 4, and 5 are purely Salt works, and none of them can, therefore, be transferred to Local Funds.

3. As regards the last item of "Special repairs to roads," I am unable to state whether any portion of the cost can be transferred to "Local Funds, &c.," as I have no means of ascertaining for what roads the special provision is intended.

From the same Officer, dated Cuddalore, 28th June 1867, No. 163.

1. In reference to the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin, I have the honor to submit the Supplemental Local Fund Budget for 1867-68, with a Map

of the District distinguishing the lines of road in the manner directed by Government.

2. The original works entered in Part I. have been carefully selected by the Superintending Engineer, by whom some of them were recommended for inclusion in the original Budget, but were then omitted for want of funds.

3. The provision for petty establishment has been made according to the list received from the Superintending Engineer which accompanies.

4. It has been deemed necessary to provide Rupees 1,000 for the purchase of tools, the Superintending Engineer having recommended the purchase of them for the fourth Range at a cost of Rupees 675-8-0. Considering that similar demand may arise in some of the other Ranges also, Rupees 1,000 have been provided for this charge.

ENCLOSURE Nos. 1 to 3.—Budgets.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.—Map.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.

ABSTRACT.—Statement of Petty Establishment to be employed on Local Fund Works.

1. With the second letter above recorded, the Collector submits his Supplemental District Road Fund Budget Lists for 1867-68, together with a Map.

2. In the original Budget, the estimated income of the Road Fund during the year is given at Rupees 64,920, besides a balance of Rupees 8,062 at its commencement, or Rupees 72,982* in all. The Collector now expects an additional sum of Rupees 47,000 from

Rs. —
* 64,920
8,062
72,982

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1867.*

the Road Cess, but does not make any mention of the actual balance of the Fund on the 1st April 1867. Referring, however, to the report on the operations of the Local Funds of the district during the past official year, the Board observe that the total expenditure exceeded the available funds by Rupees 2,437, so that the Fund is actually in debt by that amount. The chief reason assigned for this excess, is that the Collector is not in a position to watch or regulate this portion of the expenditure, as the money required by the Public Works Officers for Local as well as Imperial works is obtained by them from their drawing account, for which purpose lump sums are placed at their disposal on Letters of Credit furnished by the Accountant General.

3. The Board are at a loss to understand how the Public Works Officers, who must be perfectly acquainted with the Budget Rules, exceeded the amounts allotted for the works to be executed by them, without obtaining the requisite sanction. If, as the rules prescribe, Progress Reports are duly forwarded to the Collector, such irregularities should be detected in his Office. The attention of Government will now be drawn to the subject.

Rs.
64,920
47,000
—
† 1,11,920
71,932
—
† 39,988

4. Owing to this excess of expenditure, the actual available assets for the year must be reckoned as only Rupees 1,11,920.† The expenditure sanctioned in the original Budget is Rupees 71,932, which being deducted from the last-mentioned sum, leaves an available balance of Rupees 39,988.†

5. Part I. of the Supplemental Budget contains eleven works; of which, Nos. 7 and 10 alone have as yet been sanctioned. The estimate for the first and third works were submitted to the Board with the Collector's letter, dated 14th March 1867, No. 57, which gave cover to his first District Road Fund Supplemental Budget. They were returned to the Collector in April last, in order that they might be re-submitted with separate letters containing explanation of the necessity and advantage of each work. It is not apparent why they have not been sent back as directed. The Collector is requested to do so at once.

6. Item No. 4, at an estimated cost of 900 Rupees, is stated in the Column of remarks to have been sanctioned by the Board in their Proceedings, dated 15th February 1867, No. 918. This is incorrect. The attention of the Collector is called to the Board's Proceedings, dated 31st May 1867, No. 3,355, from which it will be seen that he was requested to explain why an estimate for 504 Rupees only was submitted, instead of one for 900 Rupees. Mr. Reade will, without further delay, forward the estimate for the latter amount.

7. Part II. of the Budget contains four works, none of which call for any special remark.

8. The Board are of opinion that the works proposed in both Parts I. and II. have been judiciously selected.

9. With the Supplemental Budget, the Collector submits a Statement of Petty Establishment required. The total cost of this establishment is Rupees 3,096, or

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1867.*

about four per cent. on the proposed expenditure. Considering the large mileage of the district, this provision seems reasonable.

10. In the Abstract Statement of Receipts and Charges, received with the Supplemental Budget, Rupees 1,000 are provided for the purchase of tools. The Collector states that this provision has been made on the recommendation of the Superintending Engineer of the division for the purchase of tools for the use of the fourth Range at a cost of Rupees 675, and with reference to a similar demand which might hereafter arise from some of the other Ranges also. This item will be retained in the Budget agreeably to the Board's Proceedings, dated 19th July 1867, No. 4,569, which authorized the outlay of the latter amount and its inclusion in the Supplemental Budget.

11. An abstract of the Supplemental Budget is given below :—

	RS.
By Public Works Department ...	(Original Works ... 22,617
	(Repairs ... 3,250
	—
	25,867
For Public Works Supervision ...	2,587
Petty Establishment ...	3,096
Reserve at eleven per cent. on Rupees 47,000, additional collections expected ...	4,700
Purchase of Tools ...	1,000
	—
	37,250

This sum deducted from the available balance of Rupees 39,988, shown in paragraph 3 of these Proceedings, leaves a surplus balance of Rupees 2,738.* The Board request that the proposed expenditure of Rupees 37,250 may receive the sanction of Government.

12. In the second letter above recorded, which is a reply to Government Order, Revenue Department, dated 28th May 1867, No. 1,207, the Collector points out that all the works therein referred to, (save No. 6, "Special repairs to roads Rupees 2,317," of the employment of which he is ignorant,) are either Imperial or Municipal; and this is evidently the case. Mr. Reade should state whether he will be in a position next year to maintain from the Road Fund all the roads in the district except these and Trunk Lines Nos. 8 and 9, and whether any other lines in the district should be regarded as Imperial.

13. The Board request Mr. Reade to send them a Map on a larger scale, with the roads marked on it, as ordered by Government and the Board. A tracing of the Survey Map, with the unimportant places omitted and the talook boundaries shown, would be the most convenient. The district roads should be carefully numbered.

14. As regards the excess expenditure of Rupees 9,437, noticed in paragraph 2 of these Proceedings, the Board direct that it be met from the current year's reserve,† provided for both in the original and present Budgets, in order that the allotments for the works proposed

to be undertaken during the year may not be interfered with. It will be seen that the reserve available for the current year will thus be only Rupees 1,755.

† Original Budget ...	Rs. 6,492
Supplemental do. ...	4,700
	—
	11,192

SUPPLEMENTAL LOCAL FUNDS BUDGET FOR 1867-68.

Part I.

Original Works to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Collector of South Arcot.

Executive Division or District.	PROJECTS.		Amount of Estimate.	Completed expenditure up to 31st March 1867.	AMOUNT REQUIRED IN 1867-68.		Remarks and References.
	No.	Locality.			By Public Works Dept.	By Revenue Dept.	
South Arcot District.	1	No. I.—Range.	Constructing a new road from Paloor to Trivadi.	Rs. 4,240	Rs. 4,240	Rs. 4,240	Estimates will be submitted.
	2		Constructing a new road from Madurakur to Valadayoor.	4,500	4,500	4,500	
	3		Metalling the 1st and 2nd miles of the road from Trivadi to Paloor.	1,980	980	980	
	4		Constructing a road from Cullacurchey to Catthirapattam.	900	400	400	
	5	No. II.—Range.	Raising the road from Trivadi to Anathoor on each side of the Mallatur road embankment.	550	550	550	Sanctioned by the Board in their Proceedings dated 15th February 1867, No. 918, and Item No. 76 in the Budget of 1866-67. Estimate will be submitted.
	6		Constructing the portion of the Trivadi and Trivadi road on the north bank of the Pennar.	814	814	814	
	7		Building tunnels across the irrigation channels on north and south banks of the Pennar.	634	13	93	
	8		Constructing a road from Cullacurchey to Virudachellam and Varanjanam.	10,000	*2,000	2,000	

5th Division.	9	No. IV.—Range.	Constructing a road from Lavelpet to Kuduval.	8,000		3,000	Do. do. Entered in the original Budget, but struck out—vide paragraph 18 of G. O., dated 23rd November 1866, No. 5,213. Sanctioned in G. O., dated 21st February 1867, No. 421. Estimate will be submitted.
	10		Completing a branch road from Virudachellam to Comaramanga.	4,040		4,040	
	11		Re-constructing the skew bridge on the road from Vellar Anient to the Veeraram Tank.	2,000		2,000	
			Total...			22,617	

* Larger sums than these cannot be expended in the current year in consequence of scarcity of labor in these tracts.

Cum gratia,
28th June 1867.

(Signed) C. W. READE,
Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1867.

SUPPLEMENTAL LOCAL FUNDS BUDGET FOR 1867-68.

PART II.

Repairs to be executed out of the District Road Fund at the disposal of the Collector of South Arcot.

Executive or District.	Proposers.		Amount of Estimate.	Computed expenditure up to 31st March 1867.	AMOUNT REQUIRED IN 1867-68.			Allotment in the preceding year's Budget.	Remarks and References.
	No.	Locality.			By Public Works Dept.	By Revenue Dept.	Total.		
5th Division South Arcot District.	1	No. I Range.	RS. 2,250	RS.	RS. 2,250	RS.	RS. 2,250	RS.	
	2	Maintenance of the road from Vilupuram to Trinamalay. Do. from Porto Novo to Puduchuttrum.	400		400		400		
	3	Do. from Porto Novo to Killay.	300		300		300		
	4	Do. from Sea Custom House at Port Novo to the sea shore.	300		300		300		
		Total ...					3,250		

Cuddalore.

28th June 1867.

(Signed) C. W. READE,
Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th September 1867.

No. 133.

ORDER THEREON, 9th September 1867, No. 2,110.


Local Funds, No. 4.
Account.

1. The Supplemental Local Fund Budgets submitted with the above Proceedings are sanctioned.

2. The subject of the excess expenditure by the Department Public Works Officers on local works beyond the allotment of the year will be considered in the Department Public Works.

3. It is likely to lead to much confusion in the accounts, and to considerable inconvenience by anticipating future income.

(True Extract.)



Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
.. the Accountant General.
.. the Department Public Works.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

End

Q12 28410

Commenced to the

subjecting *Leucogaster* of the
S. Pie, which will refer
on the subject of *Leucogaster* 2,
with reference to the
02233-5 which was made
last 1967 -
Bayer's notes, and to his letter
of the 21. October 1968 -

Spent 21 days
13th Sept 1967

2535-5841

of the 21. Oct 1967

Aug 12 1967

* No. 3443
Pro of Govt. for Sept

Pro of the Mayor as Govt
Revenue. D. C. 1867

Sept. 30 1867
No. 113
A 2110.

Sanctioning, with
remarks, the Supplemental
Local Fund Budget
of the S. West District
for the current fiscal
year.

No. 28210-139 Sept 1867

W. H. Allen

No. 336-337