

(1) Number of pages 14
(2) Date of repair 20. 4. 1960
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2262	Press Mis.
646-47	
Dated 26. 7	18. 7.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th July 1867.*

No. 646. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department :—

Referring to the Public Works Department the Collector's application for a grant of Rupees 31,737-13-7 on account of land purchased for Public Works in the Godavery District up to Fusly 1276. (Here enter 17th July 1867, No. 1,586.)

No. 647. ORDER THEREON, 26th July 1867, No. 2,262.

ACCOUNT, No. 50.

1. Communicated to the Superintending Engineer of the 1st Division.

2. The Government are unable at present to meet the demand of Rupees 31,737-13-7 which is referred to in paragraph 2, but should funds be hereafter set free from existing allotments, the required amount will be placed at the Collector's disposal.

3. The Government have been compelled repeatedly to notice the great delay which had been allowed to occur in the settlement of claims to compensation on account of lands taken up for Public Works in the Godavery District. During the past four years, grants amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 3,43,827 have been allotted for that purpose, but notwithstanding the precautions which the Collector was called upon to take to ensure those assignments being properly and fully utilized, it appears that the actual dis-

	Alotted.	Expenditure.	
	RS.	RS.	
1863-64 ...	1,00,000	...	bursements during the period in question
1864-65 ...	2,20,000	1,23,928	have amounted to only Rupees 1,33,779,
1865-66 ...	23,827	9,851	and that no less a sum than Rupees
1866-67 ...	3,43,827	1,33,779	2,10,048 has been permitted to lapse.
			The dilatory adjustment of the claims
			under notice has practically resulted in

diminishing the comparatively small grants for Public Works in this Presidency to the extent of the sums which are shown to have remained unappropriated at the close of each year, and the Collector will now be enjoined to take active measures for preventing any portion of such funds as may hereafter be placed at his disposal being similarly left unemployed, to the serious injury of works of public improvement so greatly needed throughout the Presidency.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW STEWART, Major, R. E.

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.
 „ Board of Revenue.
 „ Revenue Department.
 „ Controller of Public Works Accounts.
 „ Accountant General.

Exd S. T. Augustin.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th July 1867.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 273. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th December 1866, No. 8,737.
Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 17th November 1866, No. 3,139,
Revenue Department

ABSTRACT.—Calling for further information regarding the Peishkush, &c., collected on certain estates in the Godavery District.

1. Communicated to the Collector of the Godavery District, with the request that he will furnish the information desired by Government.
2. As regards the first four and the last items, for all of which the authority of Government Order, dated 23rd February 1864, is quoted, the Board have been unable to trace any authority for the reduction. The Collector will separate the items, and furnish clear explanation for each of them.
3. As regards Ambarapeta and Tangellamudi, in which the reduction is the effect of the sanctioned remission on account of Moturpha resumed, the Collector will quote the specific order of Government applicable to each case.
4. As regards the seventh item, the Board do not understand how the arrangement, sanctioned in the correspondence quoted, would have led to any reduction of Peishkush, as it merely provided that the collection of the quit-rent, payable on enfranchised Inams in Zemindaries, should be left to the Zemindars, with an allowance of ten per cent. of the amount for the trouble of collection, the balance being added to the Peishkush of the estates concerned. The Collector will explain the matter.

No. 274. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th July 1867, No. 4,171.
Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery district, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 4th May 1867, No. 93:—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 8th December 1866, No. 8,737, communicating the Order of Government, dated 17th November, No. 3,139, and to paragraph 8 of the Board's Proceedings of the 11th March last, No. 1,491, I have the honour to submit the information called for in regard to certain reductions made in the peishkush of certain Zemindary and Proprietary estates in this district.

		Rs.	A.	P.
1. Pettapur Estate	...	3,895	9	0
2. Palivala "	...	411	6	1
3. Gopalpram "	...	647	8	10
4. Yalamunchili "	...	216	2	2
5. Ambarapeta "	...	474	5	0
6. Tangellamudi "	...	98	10	6
7. Kotipalli "	...	2	4	0
8. Do. "	...	72	9	9

2. The items objected to by Government are those noted in the margin. As regards the first four and the last items, I beg to state that, as observed by Government and the Board, there is not any specific order of Government sanctioning the reduction of these sums, but they as well as some other items were deducted from the peishkush of the estates concerned in Fusly 1274, simply in order to give immediate effect to the orders of Government, dated 23rd February 1864, No. 325, Revenue Department, and to put an end to the annual payments of compensation by adopting the said course, which is one of the plans devised in paragraph 12 of that Order, and the fact of the deduction was reported to the Board in paragraph 2 of the letter from the Sub-Collector in charge, dated 21st March 1865, No. 44, and also in paragraph 2 of my letter, No. 156, dated 26th July 1865, and these Proceedings were approved of by them in their Proceedings, dated 8th June 1865, No. 3,085, paragraphs 12 and 14, and 4th September 1865, No. 5,447, paragraph 2. A remark regarding this deduction was also made in paragraph 42 of the Jummahbundy report for Fusly 1274, and even the Government have not taken any objection to this when they passed their Order, dated 26th September 1865, No. 2,321, on the Board's Proceedings of the 4th idem quoted above. Under these circumstances no application was made for the sanction of the above sums.

* Rupees 6,993-10-11.

† Rupees 791-14-9.

‡ Rupees 323-3-3.

3. I now beg to submit a revised Statement showing separately the amounts* deducted from the peishkush in redemption of the annual payments of compensation in Fusly 1274, and those† deducted in Fusly 1275, under the sanction of Government, dated 26th September 1865, No. 2,321, as well as the amount‡ to be deducted in Fusly 1276, (current). The last mentioned item of

2 *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*
17th July 1867.

Rupees 323-3-3 is composed of the sum of Rupees 90, sanctioned by Government in their Order, dated 21st June 1866, No. 1,518, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 5th July following, No. 4,571, and Rupees 233-3-3, for which sanction was solicited in my letter to the Board under date the 23rd November 1866, No. 274, recorded in their Proceedings of the 11th ultimo. I request that the Board will be pleased to obtain sanction of Government for Rupees 6,993-10-11 deducted in Fusly 1274, and for Rupees 233-3-3 to be deducted in the present Fusly, and communicate the same to me as early as practicable, in order that the latter sum of Rupees 233-3-3 may be reduced from the peishcush of the present Fusly.

4. As regards the Ambarapetta and Tangellamudi estates, the specific orders of the Government, sanctioning the Moturpha remission which have been deducted from the peishcush, have not been furnished to this office since the transfer of these estates to this district. I have, therefore, requested the Collector of the Kistna district to furnish me with their copies, and on receipt of which, I shall submit the information required in paragraph 3 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 8,737, of the 8th December last under reply.

5. In regard to the 7th Item of Rupees 2-4-0, alluded to in paragraph 2 of this letter, I have desired the Collector of Vizagapatam to let me have the explanation called for in paragraph 4 of the Proceedings referred to in the foregoing paragraph. As soon as I hear from him, I shall lose no time in submitting his reply to the Board.

6. In reference to paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings of the 11th March under reply, I beg to annex a memorandum showing the amount remaining undischarged, up to the end of past official year, out of the different sums hitherto sanctioned, and the probable amount that will be required for payment in the current official year on account of compensation for lands taken up under Public Works.

Memorandum.

Sums sanctioned and remaining unpaid, in full or in part, on the 31st March 1867.

	RS.	A.	P.
1. Amount sanctioned in the Order of Government, dated 21st June 1866, No. 1,578, Revenue Department	90	1	10
2. Undischarged portion of Rupees 2,509, sanctioned in the Order of Government 26th June 1866, No. 2,005, Public Works Department			
3. Do. do. of Rupees 21,318, do. Order of Government, 16th January 1867, No. 150	929	13	7
4. Do. do. of the amount sanctioned by Government up to the end of Fusly 1273...	13,015	15	2
Total...	11,187	12	8
	25,253	11	3

Probable amount required for payment in 1867-68.

	RS.	A.	P.
Amount sanctioned in the Order of Government of 21st March 1867, No. 877, Public Works Department	3,481	2	4
Estimated amount of Fusly 1276	3,000	0	0
Grand total	6,481	2	4
	31,737	13	7

All the items exhibited in the memorandum are those already sanctioned, save the last mentioned sum of Rupees 3,000, which has been provided to meet payments of compensation for lands taken up for Public Works in the present Fusly 1276, for which the usual Statements for sanction will be submitted hereafter to the Board. I request that the sanction of Government, in the Public Works Department, may be obtained for the total amount of Rupees 31,738 for disbursement in this official year.

7. The Board are aware that the sums placed at my disposal in 1866-67 just closed were Rupees 2,509, and Rupees 21,318 under the Government sanction 26th June 1866, and 16th January 1867 respectively; of which Rupees 1,574-14-5, and Rupees 8,272-0-10 were actually disbursed to Inamdars, &c., and Rupees 4-4-0 were credited to Public Works Department as unspent up to the end of the official year. Rupees 929-13-7 and Rupees 13,015-15-2, respectively, remained charges to the head "Public Works Department" in last month. The balance of Rupees 192-5-10 is with the Acting Sub-Collector, who has been requested to refund it into the Treasury. Bills for the actual expenditure will be submitted for audit to the Controller of the Public Works Accounts.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3
17th July 1867.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.
Statement showing the Peishcush of the undermentioned Estates as originally fixed, the reductions since made, and the net amount payable from Fusly 1276.

Names of Estates.	Peishcush originally fixed or as a subsequent sub-division of the estate.	Amount of deductions made up to Fusly 1273.	Peishcush payable at the close of Fusly 1273.	Reductions on account of compensation for lands taken up for Public Works.			Net amount payable at the close of Fusly 1276.	Orders under which the amount in Column 8 was deducted.
				Made in Fusly 1274.	Made in Fusly 1275.	Total Deductions.		
GODAVERY DISTRICT.								
1. Pitapuram	3,58,979 0 0	4,713 4 1	2,54,265 11 11	3,895 9 0	104 9 7	26 5 8	4,026 8 3	2,50,239 3 8
2. Vengalpet	8,750 0 0	157 1 9	8,592 14 3	128 9 8	...	...	128 9 8	8,464 4 7
3. Palvela	19,807 0 0	...	19,807 0 0	411 6 1	...	...	566 4 0	19,240 12 0
4. Gopalapuram	19,369 8 0	...	19,369 8 0	647 8 10	...	...	828 4 10	18,540 3 2
5. Panangipally	854 0 0	21 2 10	832 13 2	95 0 6	...	...	95 0 6	737 12 8
6. Kesamkur	11,873 0 0	...	11,873 0 0	295 1 7	...	...	295 1 7	11,577 14 5
7. Kappeswarapuram	9,463 7 6	...	9,463 7 6	685 7 0	...	...	685 7 0	8,778 0 6
8. Vilasa	1,490 10 4	...	1,490 10 4	41 10 5	...	...	41 10 5	1,448 15 11
9. Potakur	913 0 0	...	913 0 0	2 2 7	...	...	2 2 7	910 13 5
10. Magam	1,765 1 8	...	1,765 1 8	18 4 4	...	...	18 4 4	1,746 13 4
11. Vella	1,445 8 0	...	1,445 8 0	205 5 6	...	...	205 5 6	1,240 3 6
12. Viravillipalem	3,545 8 0	...	3,545 8 0	8 13 7	...	...	8 13 7	3,536 10 5
13. Injeram	3,205 8 0	...	3,205 8 0	43 7 8	...	...	43 7 8	3,161 11 8
14. Guala	5,871 2 5	...	5,871 2 5	60 11 0	...	...	60 11 0	5,810 11 8
15. Pydemetta	3,116 7 10	...	3,116 7 10	6 6 2	...	...	6 6 2	3,109 11 8
16. Nadampally	3,190 0 0	...	3,190 0 0	60 12 8	...	...	60 12 8	3,129 3 4
17. Yelamanchily	17,829 0 0	5,829 0 0	12,000 0 0	216 2 2	...	...	263 5 0	11,736 11 0
18. Krupa	2,436 15 5	...	2,436 15 5	45 0 1	...	...	45 0 1	2,391 15 4
19. Konirada	2,058 0 0	...	2,058 0 0	53 10 9	...	...	53 10 9	2,004 9 9
20. Suresai Yanam	642 14 2	...	642 14 2	...	...	...	...	642 14 2
21. Prakkanka	1,841 0 0	...	1,841 0 0	...	...	...	...	1,841 0 0

* This was already sanctioned in the Order of Government, dated 21st June 1866, No. 1,613, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 5th July following, No. 4,751, and deducted from the Peishcush in Fusly 1276.

remission of all dues of
lands

*Statement showing the Peishcush of the undermentioned Estates as originally fixed, the reductions since made, and the net amount payable from
Fusly 1276.—(Continued).*

Names of Estates.	Peishcush ori- ginally fixed or at a subse- quent sub-divi- sion of the estate.	Amount of deductions made up to Fusly 1273.	Peishcush pay- able at the close of the Fusly 1273.	Reductions on account of compensation for lands taken up for Public Works.				Net amount payable at the close of Fusly 1276.	Orders under which the amount in Column 8 was deducted.
				Made in Fusly 1274.	Made in Fusly 1275.	To be made in Fusly 1276.	Total deductions.		
GODAVERY DISTRICT.— (Continued.)									
<i>Estates transferred from the late Masul- ipatam District.</i>									
22. Ambarapeta...	16,750 0 0	474 5 0	16,275 11 0	...	108 11 11	...	108 11 11	16,166 15 1	Vide paragraph 14 of the Proceedings of the Court of Wards, 3rd May 1860, No. 2,157.
23. Tangellamudy ...	5,580 0 0	93 10 6	5,481 5 0	...	250 6 6	...	250 6 6	5,230 15 0	
24. Vasantavada ...	7,529 0 0	...	7,528 0 0	...	174 1 3	...	174 1 3	7,353 14 9	
Total Godavery District.	4,05,263 11 4	11,293 8 2	3,93,970 3 2	6,821 1 2	765 5 10	323 3 3	8,009 10 3	3,85,960 8 11	
VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT.									
25. Kotipally belong- ing to the Vizaga- patam Zamin- dary	5,00,000 0 0	3,473 1 4	4,96,527 14 8	72 9 9	26 8 11	...	99 2 8	4,96,429 12 0	G. O. 25th Sept. 1862, No. 3,089 ... 1,176 5 0 Do. 1st Sept. 1865, No. 2,066 2,293 8 4 Board's Proc. No. 6,208, dated 12th Nov. 1861, and G. O. No. 799, of the 9th April 1862, forwarded by the Inam Commissioner with his letter, No. 569, of the 21st July 1865.
Grand Total	9,05,263 11 4	14,763 9 6	8,90,493 1 10	6,993 10 11	791 14 9	323 3 3	8,103 12 11	8,82,389 4 11	3,473 1 4

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

COCANADA,
4th May 1867.

1. The letter relates to the compensation to be granted for land taken up for channels in connection with the Godavery anicut, by a reduction of the peishcush payable by the parties concerned. The Government in their Order respecting the remission in question, dated 17th November 1865, No. 3,139, Revenue Department, desired explanation of the circumstances under which their specific sanction was not obtained for the following reductions:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Pittapuram estate	3,895	9	0
Palivela do.	411	6	1
Gopalapuram do.	647	8	10
Yalamunchili do.	216	2	2
Ambarapeta do.	474	5	0
Tangellamudy do.	98	10	6
Kotipally do.	2	4	0
Do. do.	72	9	9

2. The foregoing letter supplies this information. It appears that the reductions in the first four and last cases were made on the authority of the statement in paragraph 12 of the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, dated 28th February 1864, No. 325, which discussing generally the expediency of once for all disposing of the claims of Inamdars for their land taken up, ends with the following sentences:—"The Board recommend that these annual payments (to Inamdars) should be terminated either, (1) by substituting grants of waste of land, or (2) assignments of the revenues from occupied land, or (3) by remissions of peishcush or assessment on the Zemindaries or putta holdings of the parties concerned, or (4) by purchasing the individual right once for all and so redeeming the charge."

3. The Board observe that these words are merely enunciations of principle, and not instructions on which the Collector was authorized to act without reference.

4. With regard to Cases 5, 6, and 7, the Collector points out, in the appropriate column of the Statement appended to his letter, the authority under which the deductions were permitted.

5. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's letter, the Board resolve to recommend that the remissions of 6,993-10-11, already in operation, may be sanctioned, as well as remissions amounting to Rupees 233-3-3 on the properties noted in the margin, from the current Fusly.

Pittapuram.
Palivela.
Gopalapuram.
Gutala.
Karapa.
Prakkilanka.

6. The Collector states that he has addressed the Collectors of the Kistna district and of Vizagapatam, respecting the remissions in the Ambarapeta and Tangellamudy estates, and is awaiting their replies to his reference for submission.

7. Adverting to paragraph 8 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 11th March 1867, No. 1,491, the Collector reports, in paragraphs 6 and 7 of his letter, that the sum required for payment of compensation in the current official year is Rupees 31,737-13-7. The Board request Government will place this sum at the disposal of the Public Works Department for expenditure in the manner indicated.

No. 275.

ORDER THEREON, 17th July 1867, No. 1,586.

Land Revenue.

1. The Government sanction the remissions of Peishcush on account of land taken up for public works in the Godavery District, according to the detailed statement included in the above papers, viz.:—

	RS.	A.	P.
From Fusly 1274	6,993	10	11
" 1275	791	14	9
" 1276	323	3	3
Total	8,103	12	11

remission in account of

6 *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th July 1867.*

2. Orders will be passed in the Department Public Works on the Collector's application for Rupees 31,737-13-7 still required for payment for land purchased up to the end of Fusly 1276.

3. It appears to Government that the system of refunding to the Department Public Works the amount remaining undisbursed at the end of each official year, and then applying for a fresh grant, introduces a very unnecessary complication into the transaction, which might be avoided by charging the amount against the Public Works Budget provision as a disbursement once for all when sanctioned, and crediting it to Deposits in the Collector's accounts, the adjustment being made with reference to the actual disbursements to the parties who sold the land.

4. At the same time the Government consider that very unnecessary delay is allowed to take place in the actual payments, and that the absence of any explanation on the part of the Collector is unsatisfactory.

(True Extract.)



Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.
,, the Department Public Works.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

S. T. Augustin

Pto 1867 Department of
Pub. Works for Engrs
No. 2698

Pro: Mr. Govt in the
Revenue Dept
No. 1586

Dated 17th July 1867
Recd 19th July 1867

Referring to the Pto
Dept the Collector's applica-
tion for a grant of Rs 31,737-
-13-7 on account of land
purchased for Public Works
in the Madras Dist. up to Feb.
1276-

No. 646

evs 2262-2159 July 1867

Civ

Account No. 116

Public Works Department.

evs 2262

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 26th July 1867

Read the following

Proceedings of the Madras Govt
in the Revenue Dept
(Here enter No. 1586 dated 17th July 67)

Communicated to
the Superintending Engi-
neer of the 1st Division.

The Government
are unable at present to
meet the demand of Rs 31,737-
13-7 which is referred to in
para 2, but should funds
be hereafter set free from
existing allotments, the
required amount will be
placed at the Collector's disposal.

The Govt. have been
compelled repeatedly to pro-
tect the great delay which
had ^{been allowed to} occurred in the settle-
ment of claims to com-
pensation on account of
lands

lands taken up for
Public Works in the So-
dary District. During
the past four years, grants
amounting in the aggre-
gate to Rs. 3,53,827 have
been allotted for that
purpose, but notwithstanding
the provisions
which the Collector was
called upon to take to
ensure that such grants
being properly and fully
utilized, it appears that
the actual disbursements
during the period in
question have amounted
to only Rs. 1,33,779, and
that no less a sum
than Rs. 2,10,048 has
been permitted to take.
The delinquent adjustment
of the various tender ac-
tions has practically re-
sulted in diminishing
the comparatively small
grants

Year	Rs.	P.
1853/54	1,00,000	
1854/55	2,00,000	1,00,000
1855/56		
1856/57	23,827	9,301
<u>5,23,827</u>		<u>1,09,301</u>

grants for Public Works
in this Presidency to the
extent of the sums which
are shown to have remain-
ed unappropriated at
the close of each year, and
the Collector will now be
enjoined to take active
measures for preventing
any portion of such funds
as may hereafter be placed
at his disposal being
similarly left unem-
ployed, to the serious in-
jury of works of Public
Improvement so greatly
needed throughout the
Presidency.

To the Supg. Dy. Secy.
Board of Revenue
Revenue Dept.
Controller &
Secy General.

[Signature]

Sept. 27/57

1867

1867

P. W. 1867. Department.

Proc of Govt for July
No. 2262

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT *of India*

Dated *26th* July 1867

(Following order in the
in the Prov. Depart. refer-
ring the Collector's applica-
tion for a grant of Rs 2000
34737-13-7 for compensation
for land taken up for the
in the Co. survey. District.

A. K. 186-617