M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 188 Press ~~Mis.~~

391-392

Dated 16 - 1 - 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
16th January 1866.

No. 391. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Waltair, 3rd January 1866, No. 2,278:—

1. In the report of the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Public Works Department, during the year 1862-63 (a copy of which has lately been furnished to me,) are Statements of Financial results of irrigation works in the North-West Provinces* and in Madras.†

* Pages 20, 22, 27.

† Appendix No. 3.

2. I think it is deserving of notice that these Statements are prepared on different principles. In calculating the annual surplus (profit) or deficit (loss) of receipts on the Madras works, four per cent. interest on the capital expended is added to the charges for maintenance, repair, and management, &c. On the North-West Provinces works, no such charge for interest is taken into consideration in the calculation of profit and deficit.

3. In this way (for instance) it is shown that the Palaur river works (Madras Presidency) give a loss of 20,544 Rupees, while the Ganges Canal statement shows a profit of 1,82,788, instead of a loss of 5,47,767 Rupees.

4. I consider this point worthy of notice; because, in asserting our claim to a larger share of the funds available for works of Public Improvement than is annually assigned to us by the Government of India, the fact that the annual grants for works of Public Improvement can be more advantageously expended in Madras than in other parts of India would no doubt very much strengthen that claim.

5. The Madras method of calculating profit (viz., charging the annual market value of the capital sunk in addition to the actual outlay on repairs, maintenance, management, and share of Public Works Establishment) is of course the correct one.

No. 392. ORDER THEREON, 16th January 1866, No. 188.

ACCOUNT, No. 38. Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Superintending Engineer's

No 2278 Office, 1st Dn. Waltair

3rd January 1866.

From Desg 4th Dn

Captain J. G. Joyes R.E.

Superintending Engineer, 1st Dn.

To

Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.

Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

Madras.

Sir,

In the Reports of the Proceedings of the Government of India in the Public Works Department during the year 1862/63 (a copy of which has lately been furnished to me) are Statements of Financial Results of Irrigation Works in the North West Provinces and in Madras.

*pages 20. 22. 27

°App. No 3

2. I think it is deserving of notice that these Statements are prepared on different principles. In calculating the annual surplus (Profit) or Deficit (Loss) of receipts on the Madras Works 4 per cent interest on the capital expended is

is added to the charges for maintenance, repair & management &c.a. - on the North West Provinces Works, no such charge for interest is taken into consideration in the calculation of Profit & Deficit.

3. In this way (for instance) it is shown that the Palaur River Works (Madras Residency) give a loss of 20544 Rs. while the Ganges Canal Statement shows a profit of 182788, instead of a loss of 547767 Rs.

4. I consider this point worthy of notice; because, in asserting our claim to a larger share of the funds available for Works of Public Improvement than is annually assigned to us by the Government of India, the fact that the annual grants for Works of Public Improvement, can be more advantageously expended in Madras than in other parts of India would no doubt very much strengthen that claim.

5. The Madras method of calculating Profit (viz charging the annual market value of the Capital sunk in addition to the actual outlay on repairs, maintenance, management & share of Public Works Establishment) is of course the correct one.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servt

V. Ryves Capt. R.E.
Superintending Engineer, 1st Div.

Thanr Brandreth

Pro: of Govt for January 1866
From
No 116

The Superintending Engr
1st Division

To The Secretary to Govt
D.P.W

Dated 3rd January 1866
Subjt 4th Do
Rec'd 11th No 2278

States the fact, that the Annual grants for irrigation works of Public Improvement can be made advantageously expended in Madras than in other parts of India, would no doubt very much strengthen the claim of larger share of funds. Accord

Recorded
No 188. 16th Jany 1866

No. 891. 892

188

No 188

Recorded

Fort St George
16th Jany. 1866