

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1579

Press
Mis-

431-33-

Dated 16 5 1866 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

10

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department
16th May 1866.

Read the following papers :—

No. 431. From the Government Pleader, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 7th May 1866, No. 75.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government of the date and number noted in the margin, and to enclose, for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, a copy of a Memorandum of Objections presented to the High Court by the 3rd Special Respondent to the finding of the Civil Judge of Trichinopoly, dated 10th April 1866, in S. A. S. No. 469 of 1864. I request that I may be furnished with any observations you may deem desirable for my guidance.

—

Referred to Lieutenant-Colonel Greenaway, Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly, for such observations as he may have to offer.

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
8th May 1866.

Secretary to Government, P.W. D.

—

MEMORANDUM OF OBJECTION.

—

Special Appeal Suit No. 469 of 1864.

J. G. RYVES, Special Appellant.
SASIAN, the 3rd Special Respondent.

Sasian, the Respondent above-named, files this Memorandum of Objection against the return of the Civil Judge, dated 10th April 1866, for the following reasons :—

(1.) The Puttahs produced by Plaintiff's Witness show that the spot in dispute is in the limits of Salem District.

(2.) The Civil Judge admits in 10th paragraph of his return that the spot of land is now included in the Salem District.

(3.) The Revenue Survey maps shows that the spot is included in the Salem District, and such maps were prepared by Government with reference to an official account, and the Civil Judge's observations upon such maps are not warranted.

(4.) The Civil Judge admits that the Plaintiff's Witnesses prove that the spot lies within the Salem District, but there is no finding on such evidence.

(5.) The late Civil Judge admits in the 5th and 6th paragraphs of his return, dated 27th October 1865, that the land register book of the village Aroor, which was prepared in the year 1796, shows that the spot is situated within Salem District, and there has been no finding on this point.

MADRAS,
26th April 1866.

(Signed) G. E. BRANSON,
Pleader.

No. 432. From the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 12th May 1866, No. 34.

I have the honor in reply to your endorsement of the 8th instant, on Government Pleader's letter, No. 75, to submit the following remarks on the Memo-

random of Objections raised by the 3rd Respondent against the return of the Civil Judge in the Andapuram case *seriatim*.

1. This is disposed of in paragraph 8 of the Judge's return, the Puttals simply show that the field No. 288 in the Revenue Survey map was to some extent included in a field of the old register, but do not show its then limits, or whether the small piece of ground east of the river, which we maintain to have been wrongfully annexed then, belonged to it or not.

2. Included, that is, according to the new Revenue Survey map only.

3. The Revenue Survey maps are, I conceive, authority for purposes of Revenue alone, and even for that only prospectively from the date of their publication.

4. Defendant's Witnesses swear to just the contrary, their status and character are referred to as making them worthy of trust in paragraph 6 of the Judge's return.

5. The same applies to this as to paragraph 1, and the said register book proves nothing as to the small triangle of ground east of the river; but if I remember right, one of the Plaintiff's Witnesses averred that the demarcation pillars of the said small triangle were put up by the Revenue Survey Ameen at the time and for the purpose of the survey, which would agree with the evidence of Defendant's Witnesses, that the small piece of land did not previously belong to Aroor village or Salem District.

No. 433.

ORDER THEREON, 16th May 1866, No: 1,579.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 56.

Ordered that the foregoing letter from the Executive Engineer at Trichinopoly be communicated to the Government Pleader.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Government Pleader.

.. Executive Engineer at Trichinopoly.
.. Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.

Exd S. T. Augustin.

30

Memorandum of objection.

Special Appeal Suit No. 1469 of 1864.

J. G. Ryves

Plasian

Special Appellant

The 3rd S^{pl} Respondent.

Plasian the respondent abovenamed, files this Memorandum of objection against the return of the Civil Judge, dated 10th April 1866, for the following reasons:

1. The Puttals produced by Off's witnesses show that the spot in dispute is in the limits of Salem District.

2. The Civil Judge admits in 10th para of his return that the spot of land is now included in the Salem District.

3. The Revenue Survey Maps show that the spot is included in the Salem District and such maps were prepared by Government with reference to an official account and the Civil Judge's observations upon such maps are not warranted.

4. The Civil Judge admits that the Off's witnesses prove that the spot lies within the Salem District but there is no finding on such evidence.

5 The late Civil Judge admits in the 5
and 6th paras of his return, dated 27 October
1865, that the Land register Book of the Village
Groov which was prepared in the Year 1790,
shows that the spot is situated within the same
District and there has been no finding on
this point.

Madras 2
26 April 3
1866

/s/ J. E. Branson
Plasden.

21/1921/18

12

No 75

70

From Clement Dale Esquire Government Pleader & Madras
To Colonel G. A. Orr R. E. Secretary to Government Public Works Dept.

Madras 7th May 1868.

Sir

May 1868 No 1435
P. W. Dept

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government of the date and number noted in the Margin and to enclose for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council a copy of a Memorandum of objections presented to the High Court by the 3rd Judge in the Proceedings of the Civil Judge of Trichinopoly dated 10th April 1868 in S. A. S. No 469 of 1864 - I request that I may be furnished with any observations you may deem desirable for my guidance.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Obedt. Servant

Wm. Dale

Gov. Pleader
Madras

1st 34

Co. Engrs Office
Trichinopoly 12th May 1866.

of
Lieut Colonel J. Greenaway
Executive Engineer
Trichinopoly
To
Colonel C. A. Orr. R. E.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

Sir
I have the honor in reply to your
indorsement of the 8th Instant our
Government Pleader's letter N^o 75 to
submit the following remarks on
the merits of objections raised by the
3^d Respondent against the return
of the Civil Judge in the Andapuran
case Seriation..

1. This is disposed of in para. 2
of the Judge's return, the Puttalis
simply show that the field N^o 200 is
the Revenue Survey map was to some
extent included in a field of the
old Register, but do not show its
true limits or whether the small
piece of ground part of the river
which we maintain to have been
wrongfully annexed then belonged
to it or not..

2. Included that is according to
the new Revenue Survey map only
3. The Revenue Survey maps
are I conceive Authority for purposes
of Revenue alone, and even for
that

Copy sent for a day
Mr. Greenaway's Office

I enclose to Government
the 11th of Dec.

Dated 17th May 1866.
Green

1075

(Write on enclosure)
Enclosing a copy of a
Memo of objections to the
return of the Civil Judge
in the case of Andapuran
in S. D. N^o 469 of 1864
and requesting to be placed
with the other documents.

Referred to Mr. Colonel. Greenaway
Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly, for
information as he may have to offer

Subd. Engr.
S^r Gray
1866
Secretary to Government

1866

1579-160 May 1866

1866

that only prospectively from the date of their publication

4 Defendants witnesses swear to just the contrary, their status and character ^{are} referred to as making them worthy of trust in para 6 of the Judge's return..

5 The same applies to this as to para 1 and the said Register book proves nothing as to the small triangle of ground east of the river but of Greenieiber Right one of the plaintiffs witnesses averred that the demarcation pillars of the said small triangle were put up by the Revenue Survey Amurus at the time and for the purpose of the survey which would agree with the evidence of defendants witnesses that the small piece of land did not previously belong to aron village or Salem District

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most Obedt Servt
Guenaway L Lot
for Dep Richy

MS. A. 15. 179-160 May 1886

Handwritten signature or stamp

of 1832

Refers to Indore:

present forwarding
present of objections
against the Billings
Return in the India
business card.

no 1579. 16 May 1886

Dated 13th
Dec 15th May 1886

of 37.

To the Secretary to Govt
Trichinopoly
P.O.
Trichinopoly
16th May 1886
of 37.

Let
Manuscript to
Govt Pleader
1921.

Civil Works
Public Works Department
no 1579

130

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT
Under date the 16th May 1886
Read the following

From The Govt Pleader (Here enter No. 75 dated 7 May/86)
From The Dy Sup at Trichinopoly 36 " 12 " "

Ordered that
the foregoing letter
from the Executive
Magistrate at Trichi-
nopoly be for
communicated
to the Government
Pleader.

To Govt Pleader Trichy 16th May
To Dy Sup at Trichy 16th May
To Secy to Govt 22nd May

P. W. 186 6. Department.

Pro. of Govt for May

No 1549.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT *of Madras*

For the 15th day of May 1866

Dated *16 May 66*

21/5/66

*Letter from the Co. Engr
Machinopoly, on the subject of the
dajuram Channel and com-
municated to the Government
Pleader.*

A 431 @ 433.