

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 12/1/66

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 897	Press Mis.
414-415	
Dated 20 - 3 - 18 66.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
20th March 1866.*

No. 414. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 3rd March 1866, No. 222 :—

1. I have the honor to request that Government will pass an order as to the course to be adopted in case of the temporary absence of a member of any of the Office Establishment, occasioned by his being summoned as a witness on a trial.

2. In the end of January, the Writer attached to the Hospett Taluq Overseer's office, was ordered to Madras as a witness in Mr. Bagley's case, and the Assistant Engineer requested to know if another might be temporarily employed. As this would have necessitated the passing of the pay of an extra man, I referred the question to the Controller, who informs me that application should be made to Government for the employment of a temporary Writer : the trial has been finished a long time, and the man ought to be back immediately ; but, for future guidance, I would request the ruling of Government as to the course to be adopted.

No. 415. ORDER THEREON, 20th March 1866, No. 897.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 41.

1. The Superintending Engineer of the 3rd Division will be informed that, whenever a member of an Office establishment is summoned by a Court to attend as a witness in a suit or trial, arrangements should ordinarily be made for the performance of the absentee's duties without entailing extra expense upon the State. In cases in which the absence of the party is likely to be prolonged, the sanction of Government should be obtained to the entertainment of a substitute.

2. In the case which has necessitated the present reference there does not appear to have been any necessity for so long an absence as one month. The trial of Mr. Bagley took place on the 12th February last, and the Writer of the Hospett Taluq Overseer's Office should have returned to his duties before the end of that month.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division.
„ all other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Superintending Engineer
3rd Div.

No. 222-

Superintending Engineer's Office,
Bellary 3rd March 1866.

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
3rd Division.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
P.W. D.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that Government will pass an order as to the course to be adopted in case of the temporary absence of a member of any of the Office Establishment occasioned by his being summoned as a witness on a trial.

In the end of January the writer attached to the Hospett Taluk Andresen's Office was ordered to Madras as a witness in Mr. Bagley's case and the Assistant Engineer requested to know if another might be temporarily employed so this would have necessitated the passing of the pay of an extra man. I referred the question to the Controller who informs me that application should be made to Government for the employment of a temporary writer: the trial has been finished a long time and the man ought to be back immediately; but, for future guidance, I would request the ruling of Government as to the course to be adopted.

I am Sir,

A. Webb Major B.D.
Superintending Engineer
3rd Div.

C. 12897-20th March 1866

4/14

C. 12897-20th March 1866

My dear Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 14th inst. in relation to the proposed establishment of an office for the purpose of receiving applications for the grant of licenses to the owners of boats and vessels to be used in the harbor of Madras.

No. 222

1866

1866

Est. & Pub. Works Department
No. 897

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 20th March 1866
Read the following

From the Superintending Engineer
of the 3rd Division
Here enter 3rd March 1866 No. 222

The Superintending Engineer of the 3rd Division will be informed that, whenever a member of an Office Establishment is summoned by a Court to attend as a Witness in a suit or trial, arrangements should ordinarily be made for the performance of the absentees duties without entailing extra expense upon the State. In cases in which the absence of the party is likely to be prolonged, the sanction of Government should be obtained to

I don't think there was a clear necessity for major work, considering the fact with their experience. because it was quite possible that on her return to work the vessel attached to the Hospital Salak overboard

to the entertainment of a substitute

In the case which has precipitated the present reference there does not appear to have been any necessity for so long an absence as one month. The trial of Mr. Bayley took place on the 12th February last, and the Writer of the Hospital Looker's Office should have returned to his duties before the end of that month -

ought have shown abundant & satisfactory reason for a prolonged absence, of which at the time of addressing Gov^r Major Wetherill did not know the cause.

The extraordinary necessity of referring impedes the working of an office & without them has more than enough to do.
WDR

WDR

To the Sup^r Genl - 3rd Divⁿ
All other Sup^r Genl^s
The Controller of the Accounts
Sept. 21st March

81
10

WDR

8
C. 12th March 1866

To W 1866 Depts.
Pro. of Court for March

No. 897.
Pro. of the Madras Court
12th March 1866
"

19th March 1866

The Supp. Court
furnished with certain in-
structions relative to the inter-
tainment of Substitutes for
Members of an office who
absent when summoned
by a Court as witnesses
in a suit or trial.

No. 414-415

C. 12th March 1866 D. 16th