M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages.

(2) Date of repair.

(3) Initials of the Referencer.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

# Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 793 Press Mis.

201-202

Dated 10-3- 1866.

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,*
*10th March* 1866.

No. 201. Read the following letter from the Controller of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Madras, 28th February 1866, No. 3,329 :—

1. With reference to G. O. of the 23rd February 1866, No. 637, I have the honor to inform you that Supervisor Coopoosawmy Rajoo's travelling allowance bill for December 1865, as soon as returned to this Office, will be passed as directed ; but, in the meantime, I beg very respectfully to request I may be informed how I am in future to conduct the audit of these allowances : in this instance, setting aside the irregular course adopted by the Superintending Engineer of addressing his objections to the Deputy Controller, I merely called upon that Officer to certify, agreeably to paragraph 4 of G. O. of the 27th September 1865, No. 2,860, that his subordinate had kept up a really serviceable tent ; the man had evidently done no duty for which the State should re-imburse him for keeping a palanquin and bearers, as he hardly ever left his head quarters ; and in the case of Mr. Biggers, Government had disallowed travelling allowance on this account ; again, in the case of Sub-Engineer Veeranah Rai Bahadur travelling allowance has been refused to him, though he keeps up a set of bearers and palanquin, and his Inspection Reports show he visits the works entrusted to his charge frequently and to the satisfaction of the Superintending Engineer, which latter is the ground on which Captain Oakes has obtained for his subordinate this indulgence.

2. As Captain Oakes appears to demur to my disputing his audit of these allowances, when his signature has once been attached to the bills, and he has been maintained in this position, I must beg further instructions from the Government in this matter ; when I returned Colonel Greenaway's Inspection Report and Bill for revision to this Officer, he made no such objections, but in calling upon his Range Officer for explanations he said, " I was afraid the Controller would object, &c ," or words to that effect, plainly showing that, after countersigning these documents, he had left to me the invidious duty of disallowing the charges which he now maintains I have no authority to do provided his signature is appended thereto.

No. 202. ORDER THEREON, 10th March 1866, No. 793.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 13.

1. Clause VI., paragraph 2 of the Travelling Allowance Rules provides for the claim of Subordinates to the full marching allowances of their rank being admitted if the Superintending Engineer is satisfied that the requisite marching establishment is necessarily maintained, and it was with reference to this ruling that the Government were induced to authorize the Controller to pass to Coopoosawmy Rajoo travelling allowance from 1st to 15th December last, he having maintained the palanquin and full set of bearers which are specially mentioned in the rule as constituting the requisite carriage. The Government are not prepared to admit that this case bears any analogy to that of Mr. Biggers, who continued at head quarters during the entire month, and was never absent from home on any single night of the days for which he claimed travelling allowance.

2. The case of Sub-Engineer Veeranah Rai Bahadur has never come before Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

d in the rule" as constituting
ite carriage - the Govt

Office of the Controller of
Pub^c Wor^s Accounts.
Madras 28^th. February 1866.

No. 3329.

From

Captain J. St. Fischer. R.E.
Controller of P.W. Accounts.

To

Colonel C.A. Orr. R.E.
Secretary to Government. P.W.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. of the 23^d. February 1866 No. 637 I have the honor to inform you that Supervisor Kempsawmy Rajoo's travelling allowance bill for December 1865 as soon as returned to this Office will be passed as directed but in the meantime I beg very respectfully to request I may be informed how I am in future to conduct the audit of these allowances: in this instance, setting aside the irregular course adopted by the Superintending Engineer of addressing his objections to the Deputy Controller, I merely called upon that Officer to certify agreeably to para: 4 of G.O. of the 27^th. Sept^r. 1865 No. 2880 that his Subordinate had Peons or really serviceable Brut, the man had evidently done no duty for which the State should re-imburse him for Keeping a Palanquin and Bearers as he hardly ever left his head quarters and in the case of Mr. Biggers, Government had disallowed travelling allowance on this account: again in the case of Sub Engineer Veranah

aimed in the rule as constituting
private carriage - the Govt

Veeranah Rai Bahadoor travelling allowance has been refused to him through the Resident with a set of Bearers and Palanqueen and his Inspection reports shew he visits the work entrusted to his charge frequently and to the satisfaction of the Superintending Engineer which latter is the ground on which Captain Dalles has sanctioned for his subordinate this indulgence.

2. As Captain Dalles appears to demur to my disputing his audit of these allowances when his signature has once been attached to the Bills and has been maintained in this position, I must beg further instructions from the Government in this matter: when returned Colonel Greenaway's Inspection report and bill for revision to this Office he made no such objections but in calling upon his Range Officer for explanations he said "I was afraid the Controller would object &c" or words to that effect, plainly shewing that after countersigning these documents he had left to me the invidious duty of disallowing the charges which he now maintains I have no authority to do provided his signature is appended thereto.—

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed. Servant.
Captain R.E.
Controller of Civ. Accounts.

vouchers which are specially mentioned in the rule as constituting the requisite carriage — the Govt

Public Works Dept 7a

No 793

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 10th March 1866.

Read the following

From the Controller of
P.W. Accounts.

Dated 28th Feby 1866
Rec'd 1st March 1866
No 3329.

Remarks on the G.O. authorizing Travelling allowance to be passed to Coopooswamy Rayoo for the 1st half of Decr 1865.

(Recd 1st March 1866 No 3329)

Clause VI, para 2

The Travelling allowance rules provides for the claim of Subordinates, the claim to the full marching allowances of their rank may be admitted if the Supg Engr is satisfied that the requisite marching establishment is necessarily maintained, & it was with reference to this ruling that the Govt were induced to authorize the Controller to pass to Coopooswamy Rayoo travelling allowance from 1st to 15th Dec. last. 11) but they are not prepared

he having maintained the Palanquin and full establishment which are specially mentioned in the rule as constituting the requisite carriage - the Govt

Encl

G.O. authorizing travelling allowance to Coopooswamy Rayoo for the 1st half of December 1865.

Encl

Ans 20/3.

No 793 - 10th March 1866.

e. 57 March 1866 Dr. 82

to admit that this case bears any analogy to that of Sir Biggers who continued at head quarters during the entire month & was never absent from home on any single night of the days for which he claimed Travelling allowance

The case of Sub Engineer Veeranah Rao Bahadur has never come before Government.

W.D.

J.

4 The Controller of Military Accounts

Dear Sir 12th March 14

10

1866 Sept

Prov. of Goat for March

£ 793

Prov. of the Madras Govt

Dy 10th March 66.

Superintendent Lepers

Rajoo's travelling Allowance

from 1st to 15th December

last - The Controller

informed of the ruling

under which he was

authorized to pass and

that this case bears

no analogy to that

of Mr Byers.

A 211. 202

C. 5th March 1866 D. 8th M