

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 764	Press Mis.
139-140	
Dated 7-3	18.66.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th March 1866.

No. 139. Read the following letter from the Superintending Engineer, 7th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Manantoddy, 19th February 1866:—

1. In reply to your Memorandum, No. 34 of 13th February, I have the honor to refer you to my letter, No. 201 of 5th December 1865,* printed with Government Order No. 178, dated 16th January

* Paragraphs 6 and 7.

1866, for explanation of the circumstances under which I took upon myself the responsibility of diverting the sanction of Rupees 6,000, for drains between the Periah Ghaut and Manantoddy, to pushing on the works of the New Periah Ghaut.

2. I have now the honor to state, that in consequence of the great delay that occurred in disposing of my letter above noted, it was impracticable to stop the ghaut works until the 3rd February, up to which time a total expenditure of Rupees 11,023-15-6 had been incurred, being the full amount of the sanction of Rupees 7,000 accorded in Government Order No. 1,975 of 12th July 1865, and Rupees 4,023-15-6, out of the Rupees 6,000 for the drains, the whole of which will appear in the accounts for January. But I found on inspecting the ghaut on the 16th instant, that the outstanding claims of the Woddur gangs, said to number about 100 persons, had not been finally disposed of, an account of the difficulty of measuring up incomplete work; and I anticipate that the February accounts will show that the entire balance of Rupees 1,976-0-6 has been absorbed thereon.

3. I have, therefore, the honor to request that the final sanction of Government may be given to the transfer already recommended by me. In obedience to the orders of Government no expenditure that is not recoverable has been incurred on the drains; and as it was their intention that the Rupees 6,000 allotted for them, should be transferred to the Teriote road, I long since gave Mr. Nordmann *carte-blanc* as to his expenditure on that line; and I now propose the final transfer to it of the Rupees 11,110 of the Income Tax Funds, allotted in Government Order No. 3,763 of 14th December, for the Sultan's Battery and Kulputty road, making up the funds required on the latter by savings on the Tambracherry Ghaut allotment which will amply suffice. I take this opportunity of stating, that the Teriote road was yesterday reported to me as being passable by carts, and I am about to test the same by taking my own along it to the head of Tambracherry Ghaut.

4. With reference to the 2nd paragraph of your Memorandum under reply I have the honor to state that at least Rupees 7,200 should have been entered in the Statement for December as expenditure against the allotment of Rupees 31,110. Captain Kennedy is not yet quite *au fait* to the details of Range Office work, and his returns were not received in time for compilation in January.

No. 140. ORDER THEREON, 7th March 1866, No. 764.

ACCOUNT, No. 21.

* 14th August 1865, No. 2,352.

1. In his letter of the 5th December 1865, No. 201, the Superintending Engineer of the 7th Division recommended the expenditure on the Periah Ghaut new trace of the sum of Rupees 6,000, which was granted* from

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th March 1866.

the Reserve for the culverts on the road between the Periah Ghaut and Manantoddy; but in Proceedings of the 16th January 1866, No. 178, the Government, in animadverting on Colonel Walker's proceedings in connection with the Wynad roads, expressed their disapproval of any outlay being incurred on the Periah Ghaut. As it appears, however, from the letter above recorded, that the Superintending Engineer, in anticipation of sanction, authorized the appropriation of the sum of Rupees 6,000 in the manner proposed by him, the Government will not object to sanction the requisite transfer of funds to the extent of Rupees 5,132, the balance having been diverted in G. O. No. 580, dated 19th February 1866.

2. The necessity for the diversion of funds, suggested in paragraph 3, is not apparent. The several works referred to form items in the Budget, and if the grant for the Terriote road require to be supplemented, the savings from the Tambracherry Ghaut allotment may be made available for the purpose. It appears inexpedient to disturb an arrangement already ordered.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 7th Division.
„ Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S T. Augustin.

Memorandum 19th February 66

From

Lieutenant Colonel J. W. Walker & Co.
Superintending Engineer Wynad

To
Colonel C. A. Orr & Co.
Secretary to Government Wynad

Sir,
In reply to your Memorandum No. 34 of 13th February I have the honor to refer you to my letter No. 208 of 5th December 1865* furnished with Government Order No. 178 dated 16th January 66 for explanation of the circumstances under which I took upon myself the responsibility of directing the sanction of Rs. 6000 for drains between the Periah Ghaut and Manantoddy to pushing on the works of the New Periah Ghaut.

I have now the honor to state that in consequence of the great delay that occurred in disposing of my letter above noted it was impracticable to stop the Ghaut works until the 2nd February, up to which time a total expenditure of Rs. 11,023-15-6 had been incurred.

Very respectfully,
J. W. Walker & Co.

being the full amount of the donation of £12,700
accorded in Government Order 8, 1970. The sum of
£600 in 1973-74 and £15-0-0 out of the £12,700
for the claim; the whole of which will appear
in the accounts for 1976-77. But I have
inspected the Grant on the 16th instant, that
the outstanding claims of the 1970-71 year,
which is much about £100,000, have not been
finally disposed of, on account of the
difficulty of making up the complete work;
and I anticipate that the January accounts
will show that the entire balance of £12,700
1976-77 has been absorbed there.

3. I am therefore the more
sensible that the final sanction of Government
may be given to the transfer, already
recommended by me. In obedience to the
order of Government no expenditure that is
not recommended has been incurred on the
claim; and as it was their intention
that the £12,700 allotted for them
should be transferred to the Jewish Board
of London and Mr. N. Robinson's case
should be his expenditure on that
line, and I now propose the final

transfer to it of the £12,700 of the Income
Tax Fund allotted in Government Order 8, 1970
of 14th December for the Sultan's Balm and
Anthrax, making up the fund
required on the latter by savings on the
Santrachamp Grants all through which will
amply suffice. I take this opportunity of
stating that the Jewish Board was yesterday
reported to me as being passable by rail; and
I am about to test the same by taking my
own along it to the head of Santrachamp Grant.

4. With reference to the 2nd para of your
Memo under reply I am the more sensible that
at least £7200 should have been entered in
the Statement for December as expenditure etc
against the allotment of £12,700 - Captain
Kennedy is not yet quite as fast to the
details of a large office work, and his
returns were not prepared in time for
compilation in January.

I am the more sensible
to

Yours most truly
C. W. H. Smith, Esq.
Superintendent Engineer, etc.

unwilling to accept a more proper expression

Public Works Dept.

No 764

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 7th March 1866
Read the following

From the Supg Engineer
of the 7th Div

Reporting amount of
expenditure on the new Pichai
Thrust and recommending
transfer of Rs 11,110 to the Pichai
Road

(Here enter 19th January 1866)

In his letter of the 5th
(Decr 1865) the Engineer
of the 7th Div recommended the
expenditure on the Pichai Road
new bridge of the sum of Rs 11,110
which was granted from
the Treasury for the Culvert
on the road between the Pichai
ghat & Manankoddy, but
in Dec of the 10 January 1866
No 178, the Government, in
announcing on Col. Walker's
proceedings in connection
with the Rajahmundry roads, expressed
a Govt order and are
unwilling to spend the transfer requested because

Col. Walker ought not to
have appropriated the funds
in question without the
sanction of Govt at the
rate of Rs 11,110 per foot
now prevailing a week or
ten days would have given
him the necessary authority
and there was no immediate
hurry - even now I am
disposed to allow the sum of
Rs 11,110 to be spent in the transfer requested because

W.D.
7 11. Aug 1866 - 2852

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

Asst. Secy. to Govt. of Madras
1866-67

their disapproval of any
 outlay being incurred on the
 Perak Ghat. It appears,
 however, from the letter above
 recorded that the Supy Muzr,
 in anticipation of sanction,
 authorized the appropriation of
 the sum of Rs 6000 in the
 manner proposed by him.
 The Govt will not object to
 sanction the requisite transfer
 of funds to the extent of Rs 5132,
 the balance having been ^{expended} ~~disbursed~~ in
 G.O. 21500 of 19 ^{Feb} 1908.

The necessity for the
 diversion of funds suggested
 in Para 3 is not apparent.
 The several works referred to
 from items in the Budget,
 & if the grant for the Perak
 road require to be supplemented,
 the savings from the Tambora
 & Cherry Ghat allotment may
 be ^{made} available for the purpose.
 It appears inexpedient to
 disturb an arrangement

an arrangement was made
 for the transfer of Rs 5132
 from the Perak Ghat
 to the Perak road
 by way of sanction
 given by the Govt
 of disbursement on the part of the Supy Muzr

already ordered

[Signature]

[Signature]

4
 10
 12
 14
 16
 18
 20
 22
 24
 26
 28
 30
 31
 32
 34
 36
 38
 40
 42
 44
 46
 48
 50
 52
 54
 56
 58
 60
 62
 64
 66
 68
 70
 72
 74
 76
 78
 80
 82
 84
 86
 88
 90
 92
 94
 96
 98
 100

Cont. Mr.

Dec 7th March

31-3

J WBB Sept.
Pro: of Govt for March

No 104.
Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Of 7. March/66

canoy/66

Hand for the New
Periah Ghat in the Malabar
District. Certain transp.
of — sanctioned, with re..
marks.

No 139. 140.