M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

3. Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3537 Press —Mis.—

116—117

Dated 6—11— 1866

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1D

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 6th November 1866.

No. 116. Read the following letter from the Acting Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Waltair, 23rd October 1866, No. 155:—

1. I have the honor to point out that the expression groynes, which has been, heretofore, used for the work referred to in No. 3,242, 11th instant, appears to be a misnomer; I have explained it in my No. 119, paragraph 1.

2. I do not think anybody questions the utility of the facing to the north bank of the river, for want of which the earth slope is very irregular and nuclei of shoals are formed; with an even foreshore on one side, I believe the river is likely to run deep on this bank, and facilities for boats are afforded. A similar lining of the other bank would, I believe, afford still greater improvement.

3. The disputed point is the prolongation seaward of these face walls, which I am not now discussing.

4. I trust that the Government will sanction the entry of the estimate in the Budget for 1867-68.

No. 117. ORDER THEREON, 6th November 1866, No. 3,537.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 26.

The Government consider that the completion of the lining of the Cocanada river with rough stone may be deferred till funds can be spared without detriment to the progress of more necessary works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Supt Engrs Office 1 D
Waltair, 23 October 1866.

No 155.

Despd 24th Oct

From,

Lieutt Col F. J Moberly R.E. 3D
Asst Supt Engineer 1st Div

To,

Colonel C. A. Orr R.E
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to point out that the expression groynes, which has been heretofore used for the work referred to, in No 3242. 11th Instant, appears to be a misnomer. I have explained it in my No 119. para 1.

2. I do not think any body questions the utility of the facing to the North bank of the River for want of which the earth slope is very irregular and nulls of shoals are formed with an inner foreshore on one side. I believe the River is likely to run deep on this bank and facilities for boats are afforded: a similar lining of the other bank would, I believe, afford still greater improvement.

3. The disputed point is the prolongation seaward of this face walls which I am not now discussing.

4.

Ap 116. 117.

I trust that the Government will sanction the estimate for the completion of the Levee on the Cascade river in the Budget for 1867. 68.

Shawe Gen

J. Gilmore Lt Col RE

Lt Col RE Engineer 1st Div

D. of 1866 Office
Rec'd of Court for November
From Ap 44/10

The Asst Engineer
1st Division

To The Secretary to Govt
D.C.W.

Dated 23rd October
Desp'd 24th — do — } 1866
Received 31st "

No 155

Enclosures None

Points out that the sum of £ royees which has been fixed for the work referred in 3242 d/11 Dec last appears to be a misnomer & requests the Govt will sanction the Estimate in the Budget for 1867-68

Vide No 377 - 6th Nov 166

Wait an Order.

No. 3587

The Government considers the completion of the Levee of the Cascade river with rough stone may be deferred till funds can be spared without detriment to the progress of more necessary works —

To The Dept Engineer
1st Division

Fort St George
6th Nov 1866

Desp 7th November

2nd Nov 1866 D. 5th