

(1) Number of pages 10(2) Date of repair 16-2-48

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

## MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Public works* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2792

Press  
Miss

43-44

Dated 3-9-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
3rd September 1866.*

No. 43. Read the following letter from the Officiating Superintending Engineer, 4th Division, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 24th August 1866, No. 1,784:—

1. With reference to your endorsement No. 688, dated 4th April 1866, on a petition from C. M. Veerasawmy, Deputy Accountant and Writer in the Office of No. 4 Range, Madras District, I have the honor to report the following circumstances.  
1 Petition.
2. In a petition dated 4th November 1865, addressed to the Superintending Engineer, Veerasawmy preferred complaints against his immediate superior the late Mr. Overseer Enright, who was then in charge of the Polar Range, North Arcot District, amounting to charges of not having spent on certain roads the sums professedly laid out on them. This and another petition, received on the 11th November from one Vencatachella Chetty, and preferring similar charges, were forwarded to Mr. Assistant Engineer George, who assumed charge of the Range on the 23rd November 1863, for investigation of the matter therein reported.
3. Mr. George brought to notice that Vencatachella Chetty failed to come forward, and indeed was not known at Arnee, and that in the examination of the works adverted to in the charges he (Mr. George) was accompanied by Writer Veerasawmy, who then became Mr. Enright's principal accuser, that he personally inspected and measured up the work then lately executed to the Arnee and Chetput road, the Kunnamungalum and Polar road, and the Arnee and Polar road, and was of opinion that the sums shown in the accounts as having been expended on each road were really laid out on the roads in question; and that on ripping up the small tunnel in the road from Arnee to Calasapakam, which Veerasawmy charged Mr. Enright with having built of brick in mud, though the value of brick in mortar was paid, it was found to have been constructed of brick in mortar.
4. Writer Veerasawmy having thus failed to establish any of the charges preferred by him, the Superintending Engineer considered, with Mr. George, that a man who could bring false, malicious charges against his superior could not be retained in his appointment, and accordingly ordered his dismissal on the 18th December 1863.
5. However, on Veerasawmy's urgent and continued appeals, the Superintending Engineer allowed him to be employed as Local Fund Writer in this office, from 1st May 1864 to 31st August 1865, and finally, on his great importunity, the Superintending Engineer gave Veerasawmy his present post.
6. On the whole, therefore, I consider that Veerasawmy has been very mercifully dealt with.
7. The object, however, of the petition seems to be to obtain the sanction of Government to reckon towards pension the service rendered by the Petitioner prior to his dismissal, which he apprehends he will now forfeit in consequence of that dismissal.
8. It will, however, rest with the Government to decide whether, under the circumstances iterated in this letter, Veerasawmy is worthy of the boon he seeks.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
3rd September 1866.

No. 44. ORDER THEREON, 3rd September 1866, No. 2,792.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 5.

The Petitioner will be informed, through the Superintending Engineer of the 4th Division, that the Government see no reason for interfering in the matter of his dismissal, and that his claim to count service prior to his re-admission on the strength of the permanent establishment is debarred by paragraph 18, Chapter III. of the Public Works Code, and by paragraph 10 of the Rules which govern the grant of pensions to Uncovenanted Servants.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,  
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer of the 4th Division.  
" " Controller of Public Works Accounts.

the Post of a writer & accountant in his office, which ~~was~~ he held until the 31st August 66 when he was thrown out of employment owing to the abolition of the account branch; that subsequently <sup>temporary</sup> he was employed as a writer on a small pay of Rs. 20 in the 4th Range office at Chingleput & in which he continues to be.

Representing the cause for his failure to prove the said charge & complaining that he was unjustly deprived of his high & permanent post & thereby subjected him to lose the period of his past service for pension prays that for the reasons stated within an order may be issued both for his restoration to his former post & for his past service <sup>the reasoning of</sup> for pension.

26/20/66  
No. 688 of 1866.

From C. M. Veerasingh Pillay, Deputy Accountant & writer in the 4th Range office at Chingleput in Madras District.

Dated 7th March 1866.  
Recd 8th

Brings to notice that after a service of 14 years as Head writer & accountant in P.W.D. he was removed from service on the simple ground that he failed to establish the charge of fraud brought against Mr. Curright the Taluk Overseer in charge of Poloor Range; that on his appeal Colonel Poileau conferred on him

N<sup>o</sup> 1784  
 Superintending Engineer's Office  
 4<sup>th</sup> Division  
 Madras 24 August 1866.

From  
 Captain J. Goddard R.E.  
 Offg. Superintending Engineer 4<sup>th</sup> Div.

To  
 Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.  
 Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir,  
 With reference to your endorsement N<sup>o</sup> 688  
 dated 1<sup>st</sup> April 1866, on a petition from C. M.  
 Veeraswamy Deputy Accountant and writer in  
 the Office of N<sup>o</sup> 11 Range Madras District  
 I have the honor to report the following circum-  
 stances.

In a petition dated 4<sup>th</sup> November 1865 ad-  
 dressed to the Superintending Engineer, Veerasam-  
 -my preferred complaints against his immediate  
 superior the late Mr. Overseer Enright who was  
 then in charge of the Polur Range North Arcot  
 District amounting to charges of not having  
 spent on certain roads the sums professedly  
 laid out on them. This and another petition  
 received on the 11<sup>th</sup> November from one Vencata  
 chella Chetty and preferring similar charges,  
 were forwarded to Mr. Assistant Engineer

2113501/P.

per report

replied to the Superintending Engineer of the 4<sup>th</sup> Division

N<sup>o</sup> 688 of 1866.

in the 11<sup>th</sup> Range & 11<sup>th</sup> April 1866.

per

Mr. J. Goddard R.E.

Offg. Superintending Engineer

Received

6 April  
 Put up the whole of  
 the correspondence at  
 the man from the  
 beginning. It was  
 about a road tunnel  
 being built in which  
 in their of chairman  
 down

Elites

George who assumed charge of the Range on the 23<sup>d</sup> November 1863, for investigation of the matter therein reported.

Mr. George brought to notice that Venkatchella Chetty failed to come forward and indeed was not known at Arnee and that in the examination of the works adverted to in the charges <sup>(the forgo)</sup> he was accompanied by writer Veera-  
-sawmy who then became Mr. Wright's principal <sup>that he personally</sup> ~~inspected~~ <sup>inspected</sup> and measured up the work then lately executed to the Arnee and Chetput Road, the Kunnammangalam and Pohur Road and the Arnee and Pohur Road and was of opinion that the sums shown in the Accounts as having been expended on each Road were really laid out on the Roads in question and that on ripping up the small Tunnel in the road from Arnee to Calasa:  
-pattam which Veerasawmy charged Mr. Wright with having built of brick in mud though the value of brick in mortar was paid, it was ~~found~~ <sup>said</sup> to have been constructed of brick in mortar.  
Wt 86

Writer Veerasawmy having thus  
failed to establish any of the charges preferred by  
him, the Superintending Engineer considered with  
Mr. George that a man who could bring  
false malicious charges against his superior  
could not be retained in his appointment and  
accordingly ordered his dismissal on the 18<sup>th</sup>.  
December 1863.

However, on Veerasawmy's urgent and continued appeals, the Superintending Engineer allowed him to be employed as Local Fund writer in this Office from 1<sup>st</sup> May 1864 to 31<sup>st</sup> August 1865 and finally on his great importunity the Superintending Engineer gave Veerasawmy his present post.

On the whole therefore I consider that  
Deerasawmy has been very mercifully dealt with.  
The object, however, of the petition seems  
to be to obtain the sanction of Government to  
reckon towards pension the Service rendered  
by the petitioner prior to his dismissal which  
he apprehends he will now forfeit in consequence  
of that dismissal. —  
It will, however, rest with the  
authorities to determine whether under the cir-

It will, however, rest with the Government to decide whether under the circumstances iterated in this letter, Veerasawmy is worthy of the boon he seeks.

I have the honor to be  
Sir,  
Your Most Obedt Servt  
J. J. Evans Captain R.E.  
Off Supr Engineer & "Du"

To Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.  
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Public Works Department.

2792

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT  
Under date the 2nd Sept/86  
Read the following

(Here enter No. 1784 dated 24 Aug. 1886)

From  
The Offg Engineer of the  
4th Division

The Petitioner will be informed, through the S. Engr. of the 4th Div., that the Government give no reason for interfering in the matter of his dismissal, and that his claim to count service prior to his re-admission on the strength of the permanent establishment is debarred by Para 18 Chapter III of the P. W. Code, and by Para 10 of the Rules which govern the grant of Pensions to Unconvenanted Servants.

3rd Mlyco. Gazette 3rd May 1886  
Page 809.

To The S. Engr of the 4th Div  
Controller  
Dy. S. Engr.

20  
3

The Offg Engr of the 4th Div  
Dated 24th August 1886  
Received 2nd Sept 1886  
No 2  
No 1784

To The Secretary  
to Government P.W.D.

Forwarded a report  
regarding E. W. Verasam  
Willay Deputy Accountant  
and Master of the Range  
Maachad District.

2195 dated 1/6/86 @ 30/12/86

2192-200, 8/11/86

P. W. 1866 Department.

Pro: of Govt for Sept

No. 2792

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT of Madras

Dated 3 Sept 1866

C. M. Veerasawamy  
Deputy Accountant and  
Writer in N. 4 Range  
Office, informed through  
the Superintending  
Engineer of the S. Division, that no reasons can  
be seen for interfering in  
the matter of his dis-  
missal, &c.

No 43.44.

2. 29th Aug 1866 B. 30th