

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 27-1-43

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1701	Press
681-683	Mis.
Dated 29-5-	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

63

17

28

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th May 1866.

Read the following papers:—

No. 681. From the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Division, to the Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Masulipatam, 9th May 1866, No. 3.

1. I have the honor to inform you that under some misconception of orders, the following sums, being the expenditure incurred for fitting up Iron Punts in the Bezoarah Workshop, have been charged to the works specified.

Masulipatam Canal, Estimate Rupees 2,59,826; sanctioned in G. O. No. 2,109, dated 12th November 1856, No. 712, dated 4th April 1860, and No. 1,407, dated 3rd July 1860:—

	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
In 1860-61	1,745	8	3			
In 1861-62	2,141	10	3			
				3,887	2	6

Nizampatam Canal, Estimate Rupees 2,90,214; sanctioned in G. O. No. 220, dated 20th February 1854, No. 2,009, dated 30th October 1856, No. 1,832, dated 5th October 1861, No. 986, dated 11th May 1860, and No. 50, dated 9th January 1863:—

In 1860-61	1,745	8	2			
In 1861-62	2,855	8	4			
				4,601	0	6

Ryves' Canal Project, Estimate Rupees 64,860; sanctioned in G. O. No. 2,197, dated 29th November 1861:—

In 1861-62				1,427	12	2
------------	--	--	--	-------	----	---

Enlarging Sektanagram Main Canal, Estimate Rupees 269,774; G. O. Nos. 2,358, 2,382, 1,376, and 636, dated 18th December 1856, 24th December 1861, 17th June 1862, and 7th March 1865:—

In 1861-62	713	14	1			
In 1863-64	5,916	8	7			
				6,630	6	8
				16,546	5	10

2. By this means the money sanctioned for the above works has been virtually reduced by the sums specified. It would otherwise have been laid out on the work provided for in the several estimates, except as regards the third item "the Ryves' Canal," which was completed for less than the sanctioned sum, and of which the final bill is passed. I would, therefore, respectfully beg to suggest that the sums in question may be taken credit for as recoveries from previous year's expenditure, and again debited to the head "Tools and Plant" to which they are properly chargeable. This being a mere book transaction does not affect the allotment of the current year, but the expenditure on the several works in question up to 30th April 1866 would be reduced by the above recoveries.

G. O. No. 1,433, dated 1st June 1865, and paragraph 3 of the Government of India's letter, communicated with G. O. No. 2,396, dated 20th August 1864.

Ch 1860/61 — — — 1745-8-2

Ch 1861/62 — — — 2855-8-4 4601-0-6

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th May 1866.*

Referred to the Controller of Public Works Accounts for report.

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
22nd May 1866.

No. 682. From the Controller of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd May 1866, No. 345.

* No. 3, dated 9th May 1866.

With reference to the letter* from the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Division, forwarded to me for report, and herewith returned, I have the honor to state that the arrangement proposed in that letter should not be carried out for the following reasons:—

In the first place Colonel Winscom proposes to credit the sums to the head "Recoveries from previous year's expenditure." It is only *cash* receipts which are credited to this head, and all receipts exhibited under this head are required to be paid into the Treasury. None of these conditions can be fulfilled in the case of the transfers suggested.

Secondly.—It is, I consider, objectionable, and I am not aware of any case of the kind, to transfer the amounts of expenditure incurred and charged in previous years' accounts to the accounts of any succeeding year. It will be recording the same expenditure twice over, and I cannot find any rule or form permitting it.

Thirdly.—In the years referred to all charges on account of purchase and repair of tools and stores used on works were debited to the works, and it was not till the year 1864-65 that such charges were ordered to be debited to the new head of "Tools and Stores," which was not in force in the years 1860-61 to 1863-64, and which therefore cannot be applied to the transactions of those years.

No. 683. ORDER THEREON, 29th May 1866, No. 1,701.

ACCOUNT, No. 71.

Under the circumstances explained by the Controller of Public Works Accounts, the Government are unable to sanction the proposal which is contained in the first of the letters above recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Division.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

St. 345

Office of the Controller of
Public Works Accounts
Madras 20th May 1866.

From

The Controller of Public Works Accounts

To

The Secretary to Government
P. W. Department.

Enclosure 1 Letter.

Sir,

St. 3 dated 9th May 1866.

With reference to the letter from the Superintending Engineer 2nd Division forwarded to me for report, and herewith returned, I have the honor to state that the arrangement proposed in that letter should not be carried out for the following reasons—

In the first place Colonel Winscom proposes to credit the sums to the head "recoveries from previous year's expenditure." It is only *Cash* receipts which are credited to this head, and all receipts exhibited under this head are required to be paid into the Treasury - none of these conditions can be fulfilled in the case of the transfers suggested.

Secondly. It is I consider objectionable, and I am not aware of any case of the kind, to transfer the amounts of expenditure incurred and charged in previous years' accounts to the accounts of any succeeding year. It will be recording the

In 1865/61 - - - No. 1745-8-2

In 1861/62 - - - 2855-8-4 4601-0-

the same expenditure twice over, and I cannot find any rule or form permitting it.

Thirdly - In the years referred to all charges on account of purchase and repair of Tools and Stores used on works were debited to the works, and it was not till the year 1864/65 that such charges were ordered to be debited to the new head of "Tools and Stores", which was not in force in the years 1860/61 to 1863/64 and which therefore cannot be applied to the transactions of those years.

Vide G. O. 126
dated 11th May 1864

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Yours Most Obedient Servant

Captain C. E.

Controller of P. W. Accounts.

J. B. M.

In 1860/61 - - - No. 1745-8-2

In 1861/62 - - - 2855-8-4 4601

103-

Sir
I have the honor to inform you
that under some misconception of orders
the following sums, being the expenditure
incurred for fitting up Iron punts
in the Bezouarak workshop, have been
charged to the works specified.

In 1861/62 - - - 2855-8-4 4601-0-6

MS. 1701-29¹² Nov. 1866

Brought forward - 8488-3-
Dykes Canal Project Estimate
Rs 64860 sanctioned in G.O. No 2197
dated 29 November 1861.

In 1861/62 - - - - - Rs. 1427-12-2
Enlarging Metanagram Drain
Canal Estimate Rs 269774
G.O. Nos 2358, 2382, 1376, 8636
dated 18 December 1861, 24th
December 1861, 17 June 1862, and
7 March 1865.

In 1864/62. - - - - - Rs. 713-14-1

In 1863/64. - - - - - 5916-8-7 6630-6-8
16546-5-10

2. By this means the money sanctioned
for the above works has been virtually
reduced by the sums specified, which
it would otherwise have been laid out
on the work provided for in the several
Estimates, except as regards the 3rd item
"the Dykes Canal" which was completed
for less than the sanctioned sum
and of which the final bill is passed.
I would therefore respectfully beg
to suggest that the sums in question
may be taken credit for as recoveries
from previous years' expenditure, and
again debited to the head "Tools and
Plant" to which they are properly

chargeable. This being a mere book
transaction does not affect the allotment
of the current year but the expenditure
on the several works in question up to
30 April 1866 would be reduced
by the above recoveries.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant.

W. Morrison Lieut Col R.E.
Superintending Engineer S.D.

G.P. No 1486
d/1 June 1865.
and para 3 of
the Govt of India's
letter communicated
under G.O. No 2396
d/20 Aug 1864.

2125
1701

Under date the 29th May 1906
Read the following

From the Superintendent Engineer of the 2nd Division - (H. 2 & 3 dated 9th May 1866.)

From the Controller of P.W. Accounts. (Here enter No. 345 dated 23rd May 1866.)

Under the circum-
stances explained by
the Controller of Public
Works Accounts, the Govern-
ment are unable to sanc-
tion the proposal which
is contained in the first
of the letters above re-
corded.

The Superduper 2nd floor

Sept 30th May

J. C. W. & Co. 210 E. 1st St.
 New York City
 May 12, 1866
 The Secretary - Department
 of Commerce
 Washington
 D. C.
 Sir,
 I have the honor to acknowledge
 the receipt of your letter of
 the 11th inst. in relation to
 the matter of the
 State of
 New York
 and in reply to inform you
 that the same has been
 forwarded to the
 proper authorities for
 their consideration.
 Very respectfully,
 J. C. W. & Co.

Referred to the
Controller of Public
Works Accounts for
report -

Dr. A. L. H.
Fort St. George
22 February 1866

Wm. J. H. Colver. M.
Secy to Genl.

American Edition of Webster's
 the various Americanisms
 have been changed to the
 words which change
 and select pronunciation
 to their American use
 and printed in 1850

1701-295 May 1866

Ed. 2123

To W Webb Esq
Secy of Govt for May
1701

Pro: of the Major Govt

D^d 29 May 68

254
Spencer
2/13/66

The Supg Engr of the 2^d En
informed that his proposal for
the adjustment of the expenditure
incurred in fitting up iron pumps
in the Bezoarale Works from
the allotment for the Newellatam
Canal cannot be sanctioned.

A 681 @ 603