

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Public Works***DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1087	Press Mis.
121-122	
Dated 10 - 4 -	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th April 1866.*

No. 121. Read the following letter from J. G. COLEMAN, Esq., to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 20th March 1866:—

In pursuance of a Resolution passed at a Sheriff's Meeting of the Inhabitants of Madras held at the People's Park on Saturday the 3rd February 1866, I have the honor, in the absence of the Chairman, to request that you will be pleased to submit to His Excellency the Governor in Council the accompanying Memorial (in triplicate), in order that it may be transmitted to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, at His Excellency's earliest convenience.

No. 122. ORDER THEREON, 10th April 1866, No. 1,087.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 11.

Resolved that the Memorial received with this letter be forwarded to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India, and that the Memorialists be advised of its despatch.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

To the Right Honorable
The Secretary of State for India

Right Honorable Sir,

We, the undersigned inhabitants
of Madras beg most respectfully to submit the
following petition to your gracious consideration.

Not long ago we learned with the
utmost surprise that the Committee appointed
by you to make arrangements for the erection
of a new Central Railway Station in Madras
has selected, as the most suitable site for such
a Station, a portion of the Madras People's Park.

You will understand our surprise on
learning that the People's Park is not only the sole
public pleasure ground in Madras, but from the
fact of its being situated close to the crowded
quarters of the poor population, it is largely
frequented by precisely those classes, who most
need the means of escape to open spaces and
pure air. Such a place of resort, desirable
in any great city, is specially desirable in
a city of which the poorer quarters are so
badly off in point of drainage and ventilation as
and

are those of Madras. It seemed to us that nothing short of extreme necessity, could justify the slightest encroachment upon ground so much wanted by the Community, and there was in the present instance not even a semblance of such necessity to diminish our surprise.

This surprise, however, was at first unaccompanied by any feeling of alarm for the safety of our Park, since we knew that altho' professional zeal and self interest might for a time blind the Railway Committee to the real character of their strange proposal, it would be at once condemned by the whole Community, official and non-official, of Madras, and we naturally expected that in the face of such universal condemnation the Committee would not persevere in their scheme.

The result has fully confirmed our calculations as regards the wishes of the Community though we regret to find that we erred in supposing that due deference would be paid to those wishes by the Committee.

How strong and unanimous is the public feeling which they have thought proper to set at defiance you may judge from the following facts.

In the first instance, when the proposal to take away a portion of the People's Park became known, it was at once vehemently condemned by the whole Madras Press, consisting of

Consisting of four Journals, which collectively represent with sufficient fidelity the various classes of the European and East-Indian Community.

In the second instance, the proposal was not only strongly censured by the late Committee of the People's Park, all men of mark and ability and peculiarly qualified by their official position and experience to estimate the extent to which the advantages offered by the Park are used and are appreciated by the people of Madras, and therefore to judge how far any sacrifice of these advantages would be compensated for by the alleged advantages of the proposed scheme. This Committee denounced the scheme as "preposterous" and "absurd" expressing their surprise that a Committee, appointed by Government should have ever ventured to propose it, and even declined to send their Counterparts to a meeting to which they were invited for the purpose of considering it.

In the third instance, when the proposal was laid in due course before the Government of Madras, it was by them declared open to grave and manifest objections, and its proposers were requested to reconsider it before finally submitting it to Government for transmission Home. After these emphatic and repeated intimations of the wishes of the entire Community, it appeared impossible that any Committee, composed of Englishmen would persevere in a scheme nominally undertaken

undertaken on behalf of that Community, and when therefore we learnt that the Railway Committee, were notwithstanding, still resolved to refer their proposal to Her Majesty's Secretary of State their Conduct, which hitherto we regarded only with surprise, began to occasion as serious alarm, for it seemed almost unintelligible, except on the supposition that the Committee had hopes of being able to bear upon you indirect influences sufficiently powerful to override the united wishes of the Government and the Community of Madras.

Under these circumstances we did not think it safe to treat the proposal of the Committee with the contempt which otherwise it would appear to us to deserve, and we have therefore thought it expedient to hold a public meeting, with a view to obtain a more formal, if not a fuller, expression of public opinion that has yet been pronounced and to embody this opinion in a petition to you. We cannot indeed, without unduly exceeding the usual limits of a petition of this nature, go very minutely into the details of the question, but we feel that we may safely rest our case upon the following facts. We presume that the new Station, which it is proposed to erect, is to be erected not in the special interests of this or that Railway Company or Committee, but in the general interests of the

The Community at large. If therefore we were even to assume that the Committee are correct in stating that the People's Park furnishes the one suitable site for such a station, we should still maintain that it would rest with the Community to determine for themselves whether they would rather preserve their Park unimpaired at the cost of dispensing with a new station or obtain a new station at the cost of mutilating their Park. It seems to us that the only controversy which in such a case could possibly arise would turn upon the question whether the collective wish of the Community was really and fully known, and in the present case there can be upon this question no room for doubt. We have already told you of the strong opinion expressed by the Local Government, the Press and the People's Park Committee, and for further proof, if further proof be wanting, we have only to point to the organization of this Public Meeting - a proceeding very rare in Madras - and respectfully to invite your special attention to the description of names with which this petition is so numerously signed. You will find that they represent all classes of the Community, Native, East Indian, and European, from the highest servants of the Crown to the humblest artisans. This is in any case an important consideration, but in the present case

it becomes doubly important from the fact that
that inasmuch as the People's Park is used almost
exclusively by the middle and lower classes, those
members of the higher class, who have joined
in the protest, have done so, from no impulse
of personal interest or prejudice but on purely
public and philanthropic grounds, because they
feel that any encroachment upon the scanty
sources of health and recreation now enjoyed
by their humbler brethren in Madras cannot be
too jealously guarded against. If therefore
the Railway Committee choose to assume that
Madras cannot furnish a suitable site
for a central station without the appro-
priation of a portion of the People's Park,
we have only to reply that the Community
have unanimously resolved that they would
rather do, as they have hitherto done,
without a central station, than obtain
it at such a sacrifice.

But, as you may suppose, this
assumption is altogether unnecessary. It is
on the very face of it absurd to assume
that through out the whole of Madras,
a city sparsely built over and for its extent
thinly populated, there is but one suitable

-side

site for the required station. We have not here
space to discuss the other sites available
for this purpose, nor indeed is the assumption
we are combating sufficiently credible to
require explicit refutation. It will be enough
to inform you that in the opinion of com-
petent and unbiased judges, among others
the Local Government, there are other sites to be
found which are in every respect suitable
for the proposed station which can be taken
without injury either to the Public or to indi-
viduals, and which offer even to the Railway
Company quite as many advantages as those
to be obtained by the proposed mutilation of
the People's Park, except in the one point
of cheapness of site. Herein indeed lies the
whole gist of the matter. The question is simply
and solely one of money, and that not even
a large sum of money, since land is far from
expensive in Madras.

There is at present for sale closely
adjoining the site now demanded in the People's
Park, a patch of waste land which the
Railway Company could obtain at a very
moderate price, and to which the sole objection
alleged is that its selection would involve the

the construction of a Railway Bridge over a Canal.
But even were a large sum of money involved,
instead of the comparatively trivial outlay,
your Memorialists submit that the pecuniary
interests of a single Company should not
be permitted to outweigh the interests and wishes
of an entire Community.

As regards the plea said to be advanced
by the Railway Committee that they only wish
to take a small portion of the People's Park,
your Memorialists submit:-

Firstly, that the portion required is by no means
small, inasmuch as it amounts to about one-
tenth of the whole area comprised by the Park,
and includes the principal and most convenient
Entrance.

Secondly, that the ground is so valuable to
the public, for the reasons above pointed out, that no
portion of it, small or large, can be spared,
but that on the contrary every effort should be
made to further enlarge instead of diminishing it,
especially as the necessity for it will steadily increase
with the increase of population, and of dwelling places in Madras.

Thirdly, that to sacrifice any portion, however small, of a
public pleasure ground, so much needed and prized by the
Community, to the interests of a Company is to establish
a precedent of the most dangerous kind.

Fourthly, - (and to this objection we would call your
special attention) that the Madras Public have
no

no sufficient guarantee - and from the nature of
the case, can in no way secure a sufficient guar-
antee that, if the Railway Company ever obtain
any portion of the People's Park, they will always
remain content with this portion; but that on the
contrary there is every reason to expect that as
Railway Traffic increases, and the requirements
of the Railway Company expand, it will become
absolutely necessary either to abandon the new
Station or appropriate a further indefinite
portion of the People's Park.

As regards the plea - that a Railway Station
will beautify the Park, your Memorialists submit
that this is a question of taste on which the Public
are probably not less competent, and certainly less
interested judges than the Railway Committee; and that
the public is so far from adopting this view considered
that a Railway Station, with all its accessories, will
tend rather to disfigure the Park than to adorn it.

In conclusion your Memorialists have
only reiterated their most earnest prayer that
you will grant this their petition, and not sanction
a scheme which your Memorialists consider
fraught with the most injurious consequences
to the welfare, present and prospective, of Madras.
And your Memorialists will ever pray.

Madras
3^d February
1866

Madras 20th March 1866.

To
The Honorable C. S. Arbuthnot,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir
In pursuance of a Resolution passed
at a Sheriff's Meeting of the Inhabitants of Madras
held at the people's Park on Saturday the 3rd February
1866, I have the honor in the absence of the Chairman
to request that you will be pleased to submit to
His Excellency the Governor in Council the
accompanying Memorials (in triplicate) in order
that it may be transmitted to the Right Honorable
the Secretary of State for India at His
Excellency's earliest convenience.

I have the honor to be.

Sir

Your most obed^t Servant,
J. P. S. S. S.

P/MS 1258/1866.

Copy in as letter to England
of 11: April. 19 of 1866.

Public Works Department
10/10/87

From
Mr. J. E. Coleman
Madras

2/20/87
2/24/87
2/27/87
2/3 - same to Mr. Coleman
10/10/87

2/3/87
Memorandum for transmission
- to the Secretary
of State, a Memorial
in duplicate regarding
the People's Park -

10/10/87.

c. 6000 April 1866.

c. 1087. 10/10/87.

J. E. Coleman

12

Desks
16/4/86

Circular

Public Works Department

102

c. 1087
10/87

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT
Under date the 10th April 1866.
Read the following

From Mr. J. E. Coleman (Here enter No. — dated 20 March 1866)

Resolved that
the memorial recd
with this letter be
forwarded to the
Right Honorable
the Secretary of State
for India, and that
the memorialists
be advised of its
despatch.

W. H.

Copy in a Letter to England...
27th April 1866.

P. W. 186 D. Department.
Pro: of Govt for April
No. 1084

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT. *of Madras*

Dated *10th April/66.*

The Memorial from
the Inhabitants of *Chennai*
praying that a portion
of the Peoples Park may
be appropriated for
a Railway Station
forwarded to the Home
Government

No 121-122