

(1) Number of pages 8
(2) Date of repair 16-1-43
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1032	Press
9-10	~~Mis.~~
Dated 4-4-	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
4th April 1866.*

No. 9. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the
Revenue Department:—

Requesting the Board of Revenue to select and
submit a list of the Salt works most urgently } (Here enter 23rd March 1866, No. 708.)
required during 1866-67.

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, 4th April 1866, No. 1,032.
Communicated to the Superintend-
ing Engineers.

ACCOUNT, No. 2.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineers.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
 3rd March 1866.

No. 55. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1866:—

1. The Board resolve to submit the usual Budget Estimate of Original Works and Repairs to be executed for the development of the Salt revenue in the several Districts of the Madras Presidency, during the official year 1866-67.

2. Among the several works proposed by the Collectors in their lists of original works, the provision for the construction of a new Salt Cutcherry at Ramapatam, and that of a Store-yard at Gangapatam, in the Nellore District, have been struck out by the Board, as these two places of manufacture and sale are about to be abolished. In Madras also the estimate for the erection of seven watch towers at Chayoor Salt Pans, amounting to Rupees 175, has been reduced to Rupees 25, as the Board consider that one tower will be enough.

3. The Collector of the Godavery District entered in the Budget an item of 16,000 Rupees for carrying out the alterations, &c., proposed by Mr. Clarke in the present Salt works of Carapah, but neither specified any particulars of the works nor proposed any expenditure during the ensuing year 1866-67. The item has, therefore, been omitted from the Budget now submitted.

4. Out of the estimates forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Order of Government dated 3rd October 1865, No. 2,585, Revenue Department, some were disallowed, and others which are considered necessary have been included in the present Budget, as intimated in the Board's Proceedings dated 12th January 1866.

5. In the Budget of repairs the Collector of Nellore has provided for the repair and extension of several Store-yards, and the formation of ditches and hedges. Those noted in the margin have been struck out by the Board, as several of these places are to be done away with as places of manufacture and sale.

Store-yards, &c., in Uttarapa Nuttu ...	Rs. 200
Taspu Nuttu ...	200
Live Nuttu ...	700
Debla Nuttu ...	200
Goralaputta ...	500
Nachini Nuttu ...	700
Mannaba Nuttu ...	100

6. The Collector of Godavery provided for the construction of a large number of platforms, but as it has been ordered by Government, in accordance with the recommendation of Mr. Clarke, that the pans in all other villages except Pennagudum should be closed, the Board consider that the provision made by the Collector is unnecessary. It has, however, been retained in the Budget, and the Collector will be requested to state if these works are still necessary, and whether he has any objection to close these pans as already contemplated.

Government Order, 11th May 1865.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
3rd March 1866.

7. In Tanjore a sum of Rupees 17,185 was allowed to be spent in the current official year 1865-66 upon repairs of certain Salt roads, which are imperial lines, on the understanding that the amount spent in the current official year is to be reimbursed to the Local Fund from Imperial Funds next year. The necessary provision has accordingly been made in the present Budget, the arrangement having been approved in the Order of Government, dated 27th October 1865, No. 2,635.

8. The Collector of Nellore has submitted, with his Budget, plans and estimates for some of the works. They will be returned to the Collector, in order that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained through the Superintending Engineer.

9. With reference to the Proceedings* of Government dated 31st October 1865, No. 2,787, Financial Department, a list of the works entered in the Budget now submitted, and which are to be executed by the Deputy Collectors of the Salt Department, will be separately submitted so soon as the returns called for from the Districts are received.

10. The following abstract shows the estimated charges for the year 1866-67, with the per-centage on the Salt revenue of Fusly 1274 :—

Districts.	Estimated cost of New Works.	Estimated cost of Repairs.	Total.	Salt Revenue of Fusly 1274.	Per centage.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjam	4,150	4,690	8,840	13,81,384	·63
Vizagapatam	26,204	4,600	30,804	3,02,657	1·17
Godavery		2,000	2,000	4,16,158	·48
Kistna	20,710	4,900	25,610	6,81,683	3·75
Nellore	7,731	4,850	12,581	12,25,862	1·02
Madras	74,833	2,917	77,750	18,57,828	4·18
South Arcot	3,432	1,959	5,391	5,27,568	1·40
Tanjore	22,070	26,185	48,255	9,92,360	4·86
Madura	14,495	2,000	16,495	5,83,698	2·82
Tinnevelly	27,280	6,900	34,180	6,10,901	5·59
South Canara	35,010		35,010	5,54,391	6·31
Malabar	30,170	2,372	32,542	7,82,731	4·15
Total ...	2,66,085	63,373	3,29,458	99,17,221	3·32

11. The delay in the submission of these estimates is owing to the late receipt of the Budget from the Malabar District, which reached the Board only on the 30th December 1865.

Transferred to the Department of Public Works for disposal.

22nd January 1866.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
3rd March 1866.

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1866, No. 723.

ACCOUNT, No. 8.

1. With their Proceedings above recorded, the Board of Revenue submit the Budget of works which they recommend for execution during the year 1866-67 for the development of the Salt revenue in this Presidency. The requirements under the heads "New Works" and "Repairs" are Rupees 2,66,085 and 63,373 respectively.

2. These Statements having been received after the closing of the Imperial Budget, could not be considered in conjunction with the Superintending Engineers' estimates for the ensuing year. Of the new* works which are proposed by the Board, funds have been allotted in the Budget of 1866-67 for those noted in the margin.

* Part I.

Item No. 15 Rupees 5,000
Do. ,, 23 ,, 4,444
Three works (as follows) not included in the Board's Statement have been entered in the Imperial Budget.

	Amount allotted.
	RS.
Improvements to the new Salt Pans at Tranquebar, Tanjore	3,910
Road from Aska to the Ganjam Port and Salt Pans, Ganjam	17,000
Salt road from Moorecolam to Ettival, Madura... ..	2,800

3. No estimates have yet been submitted to Government for a large number of the works entered in Part I. As the cost of several of these works is less than Rupees 2,500 each, and the estimates cannot, under the rules, be inserted in the Budget, the funds which are required for their execution must be provided from the Reserve under "Civil Buildings." With the very inadequate grant which is annually made for Public Works in this Presidency, it is impracticable, in any one year, to allot the whole of the funds which are applied for by the Board. The Statement under review will accordingly be referred to the Revenue Department, with a view to a few of the most pressing works being selected for execution during the coming year.

4. Such of the works entered in the Board's Statement as may be left unfinished at the close of the current year, and are not specially provided for in the Budget of 1866-67, should be included in the returns of incomplete works which the Superintending Engineers have been desired to submit to Government early in May next, when funds will be allotted for their completion.

See paragraph 18 of G. O. No. 405, dated 1st February 1866.

5. The Government do not understand why the Tannadar's Cutcherry at Pentacottah is twice† entered in the Board's Budget. The Order which is quoted opposite to the first of the entries conveyed sanction to an estimate of Rupees 1,130 for a Cutcherry at Bimlipatam, which is now shown‡ to have been "mentioned by mistake for Pentacottah."

† See remark opposite to Item 11.

The Board will be requested to furnish further information regarding these entries.

6. An estimate of Rupees 10,000 is shown to have been sanctioned in G. O. No. 410, dated 24th February 1865, for "a road and road dam to connect the plat-

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
3rd March 1866.

"form with the high road from Bimlipatam to Vizianagram." As this Order cannot be traced, the Board of Revenue will be desired to furnish a copy.

7. The estimate of Rupees 454 for a tank at Bimlipatam, although submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings of the 4th February 1864, has never been formally sanctioned by Government.

8. The estimated cost of the Salt platforms at Cheyoor is entered at Rupees 3,958, and Rupees 128 are proposed for expenditure during 1866-67. The Government observe that the amount of the sanctioned estimate is Rupees 3,950, and that that sum was provided, in full, in the Budget of the current year, and is now at the Superintending Engineer's disposal.

Vide Item 26 of Budget of 1865-66.

9. Rupees 25,038 are entered as the probable cost of excavating boat channels at Cheyoor. The revised estimate, which was called for in G. O. No. 2,677, dated 15th September 1864, should be submitted for sanction at an early date.

10. Item 66 of the Board's Budget, being a *repair*, should have been entered in Part II.

11. Raising the Salt Platform at Carapaud. In the Proceedings of Government No. 240, dated 7th February 1865, Revenue Department, the Collector of Tinnevely was requested to explain the necessity for this work, but, up to this date, no reply appears to have been received. His attention will now be called to the subject.

12. Rupees 1,900 are shown to be required for completing the widening and extending of the Salt road up to the platform at Vaipaur in Tinnevely. This amount having been allotted in the Order of the 4th July 1865, No. 1,868, is available for expenditure during the current year.

13. The sum which is applied for on account of *repairs* to Salt works is Rupees 63,373. On reference to the Budget of 1866-67, the Government observe that provision to the extent of Rupees 31,000 is made for this purpose, and they will rely upon the Superintending Engineers adopting measures, in concert with the Collectors, for expending the grant for each District to the best possible advantage.

14. The Salt Budget, as now submitted, is not in the prescribed form. The Board of Revenue will be careful to see that future statements are compiled in the forms of the printed Budget of 1866-67, which accompanied G. O. No. 405, dated 1st February 1866, and that they are laid before Government early in November each year.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintending Engineers.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Order thereon 23 March 1866 No. 708

The Board of Revenue will be requested with reference to para 3 of the order in the Public Works Department, above recorded, to make a selection of the most urgent works for execution in the next half year and to submit a list thereof as soon as possible.

(True Extract)

J. G. Mole.

As Under Secy to Govt

To

The Public Works Department.

Handwritten signature

Order

23 1886 Date
No. of Qu. 6 of 1935

Leaf

Account

No. 1032

For: of the Olmsted Trust
in the Lawrence's Report

23 23
23 24 } March 1886

23 23

Com. 1032/66

Requesting
the Board of the
to select and send

Swat & George
422 June 1886

1886

and a list of the
Salt Pond in 1886

regarding request
during 1886/67

602 85.

70
10

Aug. 10.

No. 1032-1 172 June 1886

1886

23 23