

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	573	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	258. 259	
Dated	16 - 3 - 1865.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1865.*

No. 258. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th March 1865.
Read Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, dated 17th February 1865,
No. 353 :—

1. The Board observe that the Order of the Court is dated only 18th August 1864, and that the Acting Collector, Mr. Morris, about eighteen months ago, in his letter dated 25th September 1863, reported to the Board that the award had not, at the time, been yet *determined by the Civil Court*.

2. As regards the *amount* of the award the sum of Rupees 22,227-9-5, now paid, includes the proceeds of the disputed lunka land, for the eighteen years ending with Fusly 1272 (A. D. 1862-63); whereas the amount of 13,000 Rupees, originally claimed, included the proceeds of only nine years ending with Fusly 1263 (A. D. 1853-54). The land in dispute was made over to the Plaintiff's widow in February 1862.

3. The Board are not aware that more land than the plaintiff originally claimed has been awarded to his widow, as is stated in the foregoing Government Order; but if the Government will be pleased to return the Court's Order, which was submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings No. 307, dated 19th January 1865, the Board will at once call upon the Collector of the Godavery District for such information as may be still necessary on the subject, in order to meet the requisition of Government.

No. 259. ORDER THEREON, 16th March 1865, No. 573.

Land Revenue.

1. Resolved that the papers which accompanied the Board's Proceedings, dated 19th January 1865, No. 307, be returned to them as requested.

2. The Government wish to know what steps the Court have taken from time to time after 30th October 1858, the date of the Decree of the late Sudder Court, to determine the amount of the proceeds to be paid to the Plaintiff under that Decree, and on what date the sum of Rupees 22,000 has been awarded. After the Order of the Court, dated 18th August 1864, determining the award there was a delay of full three months on the part of the Collector in the payment of the money.

3. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, the Government need only call their attention to that part of the Decree of the late Sudder Court wherein they state that "in making this award, the Civil Judge will however be careful not to adjudge to the Plaintiff "proceeds for a longer period or at a higher rate than claimed by him in his plaint."

4. The delay in making over the land in dispute to the Plaintiff's widow should also be explained.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Edw. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

No. 573.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date 16th March 1865.

And the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue.

Replying to Government
Circular dated 17th February 1865, No. 353,
calling for explanation of the delay
in the settlement of the claim of the
Proprietors of Sopanulapuram to the
previous process of a hukuk land
in the land of the Government, and
of the award being so much beyond
the original claim.

(Here entered 4th March 65)

Resolved that the
papers which accompanied
the Board's Proceedings dated
19th January 1865 No. 307 be
returned to them as requested.
2. The Government wish
to know what steps the Court
have taken from time to time
after

after 30th October 1858, the
date of the Decree of the late
Sudr Court, to determine the
amount of the proceeds to be paid
to the Plaintiff under that
Decree, and what date the
sum of Rs 22,000 has been award-
ed. After the order of the
Court dated 18th August 1866,
determining the award there
was a delay of full three months
on the part of the Collector
in the payment of the money.

With reference to para-
2 of the Board's Proceedings
the Government need only
call their attention to that
part of the Decree of the
late Sudr Court wherein
they state that "In making
this award, the British
Judge will however be
careful not to adjudge to
the Plaintiff proceeds for
a longer period or at a
higher

3
"higher rate than claimed
by him in his Plaint."

4. The delay in making
over the land in dispute
to the Plaintiff's widow
should also be explained.

Copy of Decree and
award of the Sudr Court
of 17th March.

J. S.

Revenue/MSD Dept.
Washington DC

July 23.

Mr. McWhorter
Washington DC

Mr. McWhorter
Washington DC

July 23, 1856

Respectfully
informing you respecting
the claims of the
of your name to be
submitted.

MSD No. 348-352
17-2-45

MSD 252