

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	353	Press <u>Mis.</u>
	Reg. 350	
Dated	17 - 2 - 18 65.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th February 1865.*

No. 349. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th January 1865,
No. 307:—

The Board resolve to report, for the information of Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, dated 17th June 1859, No. 802, that a sum of Rupees 22,227-9-5, as per Order of Court, dated 18th August 1864, has been paid to the Proprietrix of Gopaulapuram, on account of the produce of previous Fuslies of a lunka land in the bed of the Godavery, agreeably to the Decree of the Civil Court in Case No. 89 of 1856. The payment was included in the Revenue Contingent Bill of the Godavery Collector for the month of November 1864.

No. 350. ORDER THEREON, 17th February 1865, No. 353.

Land Revenue.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be requested to explain why the settlement of the claim has been so long delayed, and how it is that the award is—both in land and money—so much beyond Plaintiff's original claim, viz., Putties ten and Rupees 13,000.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

Memo:-

Page 140 of the decision
for 1858.

The decree of ^{the} Judge
dated 30th October 1858 awarded
to the ^{Plaintiff} "so much of the land
in dispute as lies south
of the line running from
1 to 2 in the plan" ^{and direct} that an
account be taken by the
Civil Judge, and that from
the time that the land now
awarded to the Plaintiff
may have come into culti-
vation, the net proceeds
thereof, deducting expenses
of cultivation, and Govt
dues be awarded to him.
In making this award, the
Civil Judge will however be
careful not to adjudge
to the Plaintiff proceeds
for a longer period or at
a higher rate than claimed
by

"by him in his plaint." - The original claim was for 10 boathies (between 52 and 53 acres) and Rs 13,000 for mesue profits.

The Civil Judge in his order dated 18th August 1864 says that the land which has been decreed to P. H. the judgment creditor was decreed 204-2-11 and orders the payment of Rs 22,000 on account of mesue profits.

The Plaintiff must be unconsciously lucky to get by a judicial decree so much more than what he asked for.

The order of the Judge contains no information to explain this point or to shew upon what data the produce has been valued or for what period. Of course nothing can be really gained by further inquiry because the Collector (virtually the Defendant) has complied with the order by the payment of the money. The calculation is stated to have been "agreed upon". However to ascertain whether any blame is to be attached to the Revenue officers full explanation may be called for on the points above specified. - Claim 13

For how many years was this mesue?

He may ask why the value was not known before. I have it in that the award is both in land & money - so much beyond P. H. original Rs.

An abstract of the Accounts furnished to the Court should be required.

There is also no information whatever to account for the extraordinary delay in the final adjustment of the claim. In the order of Govt dated 17th June 1859 No. 802 the Collector was directed "to make over the land, and to disburse the sum due as soon as it shall be ascertained by the Civil Judge". The Collector may be asked to state on what date the land was made over and to explain any delay which might have occurred, as also to state what reasons the Court have adopted from time to time to carry out the Decree of the Sadar and why such long delay has occurred.

After the order of the Court for the payment of

^{was issued}
of Rs 22000 there was
a further delay of nearly
2 1/2 months; the result was
the expenditure of Rs 227-
9-5 as interest.

M
10/2/85

No. 307.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th January 1865.

The Board resolve to report for the information of Government, with reference to paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, dated 17th June 1859, No. 802, that a sum of Rupees 22,227-9-5, as per order of Court, dated 18th August 1864, has been paid to the Proprietrix of Gopaulapuram on account of the produce of previous Faslis of a ~~1000~~ land in the bed of the Godavery agreeably to the order in Case No. 89 of 1856. The payment was included in the Revenue Collector for the month of December 1864.

Attest J. Fraser, Esq. Secy. to Govt.

Copy to J. Fraser, Esq., Officiating Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

17th July 1865

No. 17 June 1865

Decr 1865
Sd of Govt for

No. 21
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD

Dated 19th January 1865.

Jan 21-88

Dec 24-88

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

22-10-1865-1865

Rs. 300.

Resolved that the Board of Directors

be requested to explain why the settlement

of the estate has been so long delayed and

how it is that the Board is - with no land

and money - so much beyond the limits of

original estate as by the Act and the Board.

John W. George

17th July 1865

Sd of the Board

No. 17 June 1865