

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Public Works.***DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3059	Press
333-334	Mis.
Dated 14-10-	1868.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
14th October 1865.*

No. 333. Read the following letter from Captain A. S. MOBERLY, R. E.,
Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E.,
Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp, Dahnai-
kenkerra, 29th September 1865, No. 137 :—

SIR,

1. At the request of Mr. Sub-Engineer Colquhoun, I have the honor to submit, for the decision of Government, as to whether Sub-Engineers of the Public Works Department have any right to claim that the title of "Esquire" be affixed to their names, or to claim a seat when calling on official business.

2. I have informed Mr. Colquhoun that I consider that he cannot claim either privilege, as he is a member of the Upper Subordinate Establishment of the Public Works Department, which includes Supervisors and Overseers; but that, as regards myself, I have no objection to giving him a seat in the same manner that I am in the habit of giving one to Tahsildars, &c., &c.

3. On referring to the list of Sub-Engineers, I find that there are Serjeants and Warrant Officers in this grade, and as there is one Sub-Engineer a Conductor, whereas his senior, as a Warrant Officer, is only a Supervisor, I do not see how the privileges Mr. Colquhoun claims could be accorded to one and not to the other. Again, the junior Sub-Conductor is a Supervisor, whereas many of his seniors, in the Warrant grade, are Overseers, and so it would be difficult, as far as I can see, to draw any line except the one I have drawn.

No. 334. ORDER THEREON, 14th October 1865, No. 3,059.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 26.

As the Sub-Engineer grades include a class of Military Subordinates who are not entitled to the affix of Esquire, the

Government consider that the concession of that title, and of a seat in the presence of a Commissioned Officer, must depend upon the circumstances of the individual claiming those distinctions.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,

Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 3rd Division.
To all other Superintending Engineers.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

137

Supg. Engineer's Office, 3^d Division
Calcutta, 29th Sept 1884

31

My dear Sir,

Supg. Engineer's Office, 3^d Division

The enclosed is for your perusal.

Yours faithfully,

1. If the request of Mr. Sub Engineer is to be
granted, it is the honor to submit for the decision
of the Government, as to whether the Sub Engineers of the Public
Works Department have any right to claim that the
title of "Engineer" is affixed to their names, or to claim
it when acting on official business.

2. I have informed Mr. Colquhoun that I con-
sider that he cannot claim either privilege, as he
is a member of the Upper Subordinate Establish-
ment of the P. W. D., which includes Supervisors and
Engineers. But that, as regards myself, I have no
objection to giving him a treat in the same manner
that I have in the habit of giving one to Sahaidars
of the P. W. D.

3. As referring to the list of Sub Engineers, I find
that there are 10 Sub Engineers in this
grade, and as there is only one Sub Engineer a Conductor,
whereas his position, as a Warrant Officer, is only a Super-
visor, I do not see how the privilege Mr. Colquhoun
claims, could be accorded to one and not to the other.
Even, the junior Sub Conductor is a Supervisor, whereas
many of his seniors, in the Warrant grade, are Engineers,
and so it would be difficult, as far as I am able, to
draw any line except the one I have drawn.

Yours faithfully,

A. M. S. Captain R.E.

Supg. Engineer 3^d Division

1835
John W. Alden
1835

W. J. Alden

1835
W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden
1835
W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden

W. J. Alden
1835
W. J. Alden