

(1) Number of pages 6(2) Date of repair 3. 12-12

(3) Initials of the Referencer \_\_\_\_\_

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Public Works* DEPARTMENT.

|                     |                 |
|---------------------|-----------------|
| G.O. No. 2214       | Press           |
| 55-56               | <del>1111</del> |
| Dated 2 — 8 — 1865. |                 |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,  
2nd August 1865.*

No. 55. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the  
Judicial Department:—

Desiring the Collector of Salem to explain the cause of his omitting to set forth, in his answer filed in the Andapuram Channel Suit, that the Andapuram people had agreed to the cutting of the new channel. } (Here enter 26th July 1865, No. 1,046.)

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1865, No. 2,214.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 6.

Communicated to the Superintending  
Engineer of the 6th Division.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,  
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Superintending Engineer of the 6th Division.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

3

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,  
26th July 1865.*

No. 199. Read the following letter from the Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Salem, 1st July 1865, No. 17.

With reference to the Government Proceedings, dated the 30th May 1865, No. 773, I have the honor to forward translation of an explanation furnished by the Government Vakeel of this District, as called for in paragraph 2 of the above Proceedings.

Translation of an Urzi from NARASIAH, Government Vakeel of the Salem District, to the Honorable D. ARBUTHNOTT, Collector of Salem, dated 12th June 1865, No. 13.

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your Takeed, dated 8th instant, calling upon me for an explanation of the remissness imputed to me in paragraph 2 of the Government Proceedings, dated 30th May 1865, No. 773, in Original Suit, No. 3 of 1857, on the file of the Principal Sudder Ameen's Court, instituted by Iyavian and others of Andapuram against Government.

2. In reply, I beg to state that from the commencement of the suit till its close, all the proceedings connected with it were conducted by Gholam Hussain Saib, my predecessor, and the documentary evidence also was adduced by him. At this stage of the suit, I was appointed Government Vakeel.

3. The Collector of Salem, in his answer, filed in the suit, stated that he had no connection whatever with the suit.

4. The District Engineer of Trichinopoly took up the defence, and furnished all materials for the defence, from the commencement of the suit.

5. After I was appointed Government Vakeel, a date was fixed by the Court for hearing for the final disposal of the suit. I duly communicated this to the District Engineer of Trichinopoly by two reports, copies of which are herein enclosed, requesting him at the same time to instruct me if there was any thing to be urged at the time of hearing, but I received no reply.

Translation of an Urzi from NARASIAH, Government Vakeel of the Salem District, to the District Engineer of Trichinopoly, dated 29th May 1861, No. 46.

In the suit, No. 3 of 1857, on the file of the Sub-Court at Salem, instituted by Iyavian and 10 others against Government, the Acting Principal Sudder Ameen has issued a notice fixing the hearing on the 14th June next. If there is any thing to be urged at the time of hearing, I request that I may be informed of the same.

Translation of an Urzi from NARASIAH, Government Vakeel of the Salem District, to the District Engineer of Trichinopoly, dated 16th August 1861, No. 66.

In the Suit No. 3 of 1857, on the file of the Sub-Court at Salem, instituted by Iyavian and others of Andapuram, in the Namkul Taluq, against the Collector and the District Engineer of the Salem District, and against the Collector and the District Engineer of the Trichinopoly District, regarding the Muroonga Colattur channel, the Principal Sudder Ameen has appointed 26th August for the hearing of the case. If there is anything more to be urged in the hearing on your behalf, I request that I may be informed of the same.

(True Translation).

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOTT,  
Collector.

No. 200. ORDER THEREON, 26th July 1865, No. 1046.

It appears from the explanation now afforded by the Government Vakeel of Salem that his predecessor, Gholam Hussain, who is dead, was the person immediately responsible for the neglect which Government imputed to him, in their Order of the 30th May.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,*  
*26th July 1865.*

2. The Government desire the Collector of Salem to explain his omission, when requested to file an answer in the suit, to set forth in that answer that the Audapuram people had agreed to the cutting of the new channel, as is now shown to have been the case.

(True Extract).

*G. J. Cunningham*  
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Salem.  
" Public Works Department.

*Ed. J. H. Burns.*

who  
Ans  
to

So the Superintendent  
of the 6th Division

Stark & Co  
2nd Aug 1865

No. 53: 56

Superior of the 6th Division

Communicated to the Superintendent

29/14  
2214

W.H.

No 1865 Depa  
Pro. of Govt for August  
No 2846  
V. No. of Govt  
Ind. Depa  
Of 26 July  
Recd 31  
No 1046

During the  
collector of Salem  
to explain the cause of  
his omitting to set forth  
in his answer filed in  
the Andaman channel  
suit, that the Andaman  
people had  
agreed to the cutting  
of the new channel  
2214 2nd Aug 1865  
with an order