

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2, 112	Press
		Mis.
Dated	18	5.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
22nd July 1865.*

No. 571. Read the following letter from Captain J. F. FISCHER, R. E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 12th July 1865, No. 700 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to the observations of the Government of India in paragraph 6 of their review of the appropriation and outlay account for the year 1863-64, I have the honor to point out that in the G. O. 18th May 1865, No. 1,357, and 27th June 1865, No. 1,776, similar items of charge, viz., on account of Establishment for conducting survey operations are ordered to be debited to "Works" instead of to Establishments.

G. O. 3rd July 1865, No. 1,851.

2. It will be seen from the orders noted in the margin, this Office had pointed out that the charge for the Establishment for survey of Toongaboodra channel should go to "Establishments," and not to "Works," but this Government had ruled it otherwise. In G. O. 2nd March 1865, No. 566, the charge for the same Establishment is admitted as a proper charge to the head Establishments in the accounts of the current year.

3. Should the Government have still any doubt as to the propriety of debiting the pay of Lascars, &c., employed on survey operations to the head Establishments, a reference on the subject may be made to the Government of India.

G. O. 13th April 1864, No. 1,653.

No. 572.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd July 1865, No. 2,112.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 70.

18th May 1865, No. 1,357.
27th June " " 1,776.

• Rule XVII. of Rules circulated with G. O. 7th January 1863, No. 28.

1. With reference to paragraph 1 of this letter the Government observe that the Lascars whose wages were authorized to be debited to the grant for Minor New Works in the orders referred to, are clearly of the class of "inferior servants," to whom the Rule* marginally noted is intended to apply, and there is no reason for modifying the mode of adjustment already ordered.

2. As regards the remarks in paragraph 2 of Captain Fischer's letter, that Officer will be informed that the sanction granted for debiting the cost of the survey party employed in investigating the Toongaboodra Canal project to the head of "Establishments" instead of to "Works," was in pursuance of the ruling of the Government of India, dated 23rd July 1864, No. 531 C-3,373, paragraph 5, under which charges which included the pay and allowances of an Officer as well as the cost of Office establishment, could no longer be passed to the debit of "Works."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Office of the Controller
of M. Accounts
Madras 12 July 1865

N^o 700

From
Captain J. F. Fischer R.E.
Controller of M. Accounts

To Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.
Secretary to Government M.
Fort St George

Sir,

G.O. 3rd July/65
N^o 1354. With reference to the ob-
servations of the Government of India
in para 6 of their review of the ap-
propriation and outlay account
for the year 1863/64 I have the honor
to point out that in the G.O. 18th May
1865 N^o 1357 and 27 June 1865 N^o 1776
similar items of charge vizt on ac-
count of Establishment for con-
ducting survey operations are order-
ed to be debited to "Works" instead
of to Establishments.

2. It will be seen from
G.O. 13 April/66
N^o 1653 the orders noted in the margin
this Office had pointed out that
the

the charge for the Establishment
for Survey of Tongabuddra chan-
nel should go to "Establishment"
and not to "Works," but this Go-
vernment had ruled it otherwise.

In G.O. 2^d March 1865. N^o 566 the
charge for the same Establish-
ment is admitted as a proper charge
to the head Establishment in the
Accounts of the current year.

3. Should the Government
have still any doubt as to the pro-
priety of debiting the pay of
Lascais &c employed on Survey
operations to the head Establish-
ment a reference on the subject
maybe made to the Government
of India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your Most Obedtst

Wm. R. R. R. Captain R.R.

Comdr. of M. R. R.

J. M. R.

No 2112
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 22nd July 1865
Read the following

From the Controller of
Public Accounts
Notices the charge to
works instead of to Establish-
ments of the pay of Lascars
employed on survey duties.

(Here enter 12 July 1865 No 700)

With reference to Para 1
of this letter the Government
decide that the Lascars whose wages
were authorized to be debited
to the grant for minor new works
in the order referred to, are
clearly of the class of "inferior
servants" to whom the "Rule" men-
tioned is intended to
apply, & there is no reason for
modifying the mode of adjustment
already ordered.

As regards the remarks
in Para 2 of Capt. Fisher's
letter, that officers will be in-
formed that the sanction granted
for debiting the cost of the

18 May 1865 to 1867
27 June 1876

Rule 2811 of Rules circulated
with G.O. 7 Jan'y/65 - 28.

WDB

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

11/11/65
11/11/65
11/11/65

survey party employed in in-
vestigating the Isonga Road
Canal project to the head of
"Establishments" instead of to
"Works", was in pursuance of
the ruling of the Government
of India of 23rd July 1904 No 531C
Para 5, under which charges which
included the pay & allowances of
an Officer as well as the cost of
Officer's establishment, could no longer
be placed to the debit of "Works".

See G.O. 20 Aug 1904. 2896

[Signature]

14
21

The Cont. of PW Accounts ✓

Sept 24th July

[Faint handwritten notes]

[Faint handwritten notes]

7

10 25
J. W. 1865 Deptt
Pres. of Govt for daly

No 2112
Pres. of the M. Govt
Df 22 July/65

The Controller informed
the sanction granted for retreating
the cost of the survey party employ-
ed in investigating the Longabudda
Canal Project to the head Sotabhattam
instead of to 'Waike' was in accordance
of the ruling of the Govt of India