

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1731.

Press
Mis.

532-533

Dated 21. 6. 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
21st June 1865.*

No. 532. Read the following letter from Captain J. MULLINS, R. E., Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Department of Public Works, dated Madras, 6th June 1865, No. 19:—

Sir,

1 Supplemental contingent bill of the Agent's Office for November 1864.

1. I have the honor to submit, for the orders of Government, a supplemental contingent bill for November last, Rupees 22-14-5,

for the Agent's Office, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.

2. The three items in this bill formed part of the original contingent bill for November, and were objected to on audit.

3. The first two of these items are in connection with the expenses incurred by the Agent, when on a tour of inspection, and of these, the first, Rupees 10 for provisions, I thought might have been entered by mistake, but such appears not to have been the case. If this claim be admitted it would virtually render the Company liable to pay for the Agent's food whenever absent from Madras, and this would not, I think, be right. The second, Rupees 7-8-0 for Hotel expenses at Bangalore, it was suggested had, perhaps, better be omitted, with reference to the

Proceedings Madras Government, No. 3,383, 25th Nov. 1864.
Do. do. 798, 22nd March 1865.

opinion expressed by Government upon similar charges incurred by the Chief and Executive Engineers, but at the sametime I stated that I considered

the Agent was differently circumstanced, as not being in receipt, like the Officers mentioned, of any regular allowance to defray the expenses of marching, and that, therefore, should Mr. Henslowe wish it, the objection to the charge would be withdrawn. The Agent in reply says that these amounts were *bonâ fide* expended in the Company's service and, as the outlay was of an extra nature, he does not see any fair ground for withdrawing them from the account.

4. The remaining item, Rupees 5-6-5, for an ivory ruler it was intimated would more properly come under the head "General Plant," and in that case it was requested that it might be brought into the form S. Account so as not to be lost sight of, as would be the case were it charged to "Contingencies." In answer to these remarks the Agent says, "This ruler was bought by the late Chief Accountant to re-place one, his own property, which he lost while using it in the Company's service." This explanation does not appear to me to justify the charge, for it cannot, I think, be incumbent on the Company to re-place private property lost by the owners. Indeed had the ruler belonged to the Company, and had the late Chief Accountant lost it, it would have been his duty to make good the loss. At the same time as the sum is but small, and as there would be some difficulty in recovering it, perhaps the Government may be disposed to allow of its debit to "profit and loss."

No. 533. ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1865, No. 1,731.

ACCOUNT, No. 93.

G. O. No. 3,383, dated 25th November 1864.
Do. " 798, " 22nd March 1865.

to the Manager of the Hotel expenses and cost of provisions for which he submits a bill of Rupees 17-8-0.

* Rupees 5-6-5.

1. The Government having already declined to pass the Hotel charges which were incurred by the Chief Engineer of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company during a visit he made to Madras, they cannot sanction the reimbursement

2. The value* of the one-foot ivory ruler, noticed in paragraph 4 of the letter above recorded, may be written off to "profit and loss."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company, with one Bill.

Manager for

do.

do.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

upon similar charges in

10/10/1865
10/10/1865
of the Agent's Office

Consulting Engineer's Office,
Irrigation Canal Company,
Madras, 6 June 1865.

No 19.

3.

From,

Captain, J. Mulline, R.E.
Consulting Engineer, I.C.C.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Department of Pub. Works.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government a Supplemental Contingent Bill for November last Rupees 22-14-5 for the Agent's Office Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.
2. The 3 items in this bill formed part of the original contingent bill for November, and were objected to on audit.
3. The first 2 of these items are in connection with the expenses incurred by the Agent, when on a tour of inspection, and of these the first Rupees 10 for provision I thought might have been entered by mistake, but such appears not to have been the case. If this claim be admitted it would virtually render the Company liable to pay for the Agent's food whenever absent from Madras, and this would not, I think, be right. The second, Rupees 7-8-0 for Hotel expenses at Bangalore, it was suggested that, perhaps, better be omitted with reference to the opinion expressed by Government upon similar charges incurred by the Chief and Executive Engineers, but at the same

G. 11-3383-25th May
No. 798-223/1865

same

same time I stated that I considered the Agent was differ-
 ently circumstanced, as not being in receipt, like the
 officers mentioned, of any regular allowance to defray
 the expenses of marching, and that therefore, should
 Mr. Denalome wish it, the objection to the charge would
 be withdrawn - The Agent in reply says that these accounts
 were bona fide expended in the Company's service and,
 as the outlay was of an extra nature, he does not see
 any fair ground for withdrawing them from the account.
 4. The remaining item Papers &c. for an ivory ruler
 it was intimated would more properly come under the
 head "General Plant", and in that case it was requested
 that it might be brought into the Form S Account so as
 not to be lost sight of, as would be the case were it
 charged to "Contingencies". - In answer to these remarks
 the Agent says "This ruler was bought by the late Chief
 Accountant to replace one, his own property, which
 he lost while using it in the Company's service". - This
 explanation does not appear to me to justify the charge,
 for it cannot, I think, be incumbent on the Company
 to replace private property lost by the owners. Indeed
 has the ruler belonged to the Company, and had the late
 Chief Accountant lost it, it would have been his duty
 to make good the loss. - At the same time as the sum
 is but small, and as there would be some difficulty in
 recovering it, perhaps the Government may be disposed
 to allow of its debit to Profit and Loss. -

I have the honor to be,
 Your most obedt servant,
 Wm. Bullins Capt. R.E.
 Comdg. Ingt. for the C. Comp.

M.B.

Account Public Works Dept

No 1791 79

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 21st June 1865.
Read the following

From the Consulting Engineer
J. V. & Co.

Submits for the orders
Government a Supple-
mental Contingent Bill
for November last Rs 22.14.5
to the Agents Office M. S.
Canal Co with certain
observations

(There enters to June 1865
No 19.

The Government have
already declined to pay
the Hotel charges which
were incurred by the
Chief Engineer of the
Irrigation Canal Company
during a visit he made
to Madras, they cannot
sanction the reimbursement
to the Manager of the
Hotel Expenses and

Rs 3383 7/5 Nov 7/64
- 798 4/22 March 65

D
J
W

Rs 25 of Nov 64 of 514-515
" 22 of March 65 - 449-470

Rs 1134 1/2 of Nov 64
to do of Feb 65 of 449-470
to do of March 65 of 449-470
to do of April 65 of 449-470
to do of May 65 of 449-470
to do of June 65 of 449-470
to do of July 65 of 449-470
to do of Aug 65 of 449-470
to do of Sept 65 of 449-470
to do of Oct 65 of 449-470
to do of Nov 65 of 449-470
to do of Dec 65 of 449-470

Rs 26 6/3 of June 65
Rs 26 8/3 of June 65

Enclosed

Submits for the orders
Government a Supple-
mental Contingent Bill
for November last Rs 22.14.5
to the Agents Office M. S.
Canal Co with certain
observations

No 572

Rs 1791-2107 June 1865

provisions for which he
 submits a bill of \$178-0

The value of the one
 foot heavy rule noticed
 in para 4 of the letter
 above recd should may be
 written off to "Profit
 and Loss" —

\$56-5

W.B.

To the Consulting Engineer for the
 C. & G. with initials
 - a. Manager for gof

deff 22nd June

105334533

Letter from
the Group. Only address
indicating the group
ship statement to
contingent bills for
Mar 22-14 - 5:17
November 1915 5:
North Carolina

105334533
Group of North Carolina
of 1915
of 1915