

W.W.

C. No: 3138.

1323

Under para 1302 of
the P. W. Code completion
reports for projects costing
over one lakh of rupees should
be sent to the Chief Engineer
for final record in his office.
In cases of works on which
outlay has exceeded the
limits prescribed in para
352 & (1) to (3), the sanction
of Govt is necessary to have
the excess outlay admitted
by the Examiner. As in
the absence of completion
reports it is impossible to
find the excesses on each
subhead, it has been ordered
vide Circular No: 2949-6.
d/6th August 1888 that all
applications for sanction
of Govt to pass excess expendi-
-ture on completed works
should be accompanied
by completion reports

Yours faithfully
[Signature]

and this ruling has been introduced into the P.W. Code as Standing Order No: 524. It is only in such cases complete reports for works costing less than 1 lakh of Rupees are sent to the Chief Engr. for obtaining the sanction of Govt to admit excess expenditure.

The form does no doubt provide for the S. E.'s signature, which is intended for transmission of the report to the Chief Engineer for final record in his office.

In the present case an estimate of Rs. 2,780 was received, but as it required the sanction of Govt of India under para 1540 of the P.W. Code no sanction was accorded thereto. The Govt of India however, after some objection sanctioned the charge vide G.O. No: 2562 W.D/20 & August/8

but as the work was completed before obtaining sanction to the estimate.

The S. E. was asked to submit a completion report, which has now been received.

[323] May 4/6

B

I never saw the circular referred to. The completion report can be sanctioned without further reference.

W.M.
6.5.09

2

2 of post paid
+ payable with

Thanks for question No. 3438.
completion reports definitely
added as S.E. V continuously
sends ~~through~~ ^{the C.E.} ~~with~~ ^{reference to your}
instructions on this note, I beg
to state that no provision is
made in the form of completion
report for the signature of
Suptg. Engineer and there is
no ruling in the P.W. Code ¹³²³
which requires a Suptg. Engr.
to sign a completion report.

Under para 248 of the P.W. Code,
Suptg. Engineers, when submitting
drawings or estimates requiring
superior sanction, are required
to endorse the words "examined
and approved" or "not approved".
In case of completion reports
for works which have been
already completed, the approval
of the Suptg. Engr. does not
seem to be quite necessary
and there is no ruling to that
effect. 3

As the S.E. submits
the completion report for the
sanction of Govt and as it

2 of part 1
handles with

may thereby be meant that
the report has his approval
it may, if approved, be sanc-
-ed by Govt.

On these circumstances
orders are solicited as to
whether the S. Co. should be
asked to sign the completion
report or the report be sanc-
-tioned by Govt. without fur-
reference.

May
26/4

B

Why should Govt be
asked to sanction completion
reports?

The form does provide a
place for the S. Co.'s opinion
and if the completion
report is to be true matter

to Govt of Sanitation, it
must be signed by the
S. Co. But I do not

think any completion
report of holes under
1,00,000 should come to the
Govt in form 1302 Q. 10.

Public Works Department.

3430

324

14 April 1889

Supy. Engr. F. A. C.

From the Completion Report

E. V. for Signature

NOTE OF REMARKS.

16.4.89

the reference to this

in Memo No 802 C

2/2/89 the Supy Engr.

its contents are

plainly apparent

The actual outlay

made in the construction

of the Rocket Court

has been found

to amount to

Rs 36-3-4 -

which has been

found to be correct.

The Govt

is instructed to

be satisfied in

the amount paid

to the Govt

of Rs 36-3-4

and to be satisfied

with the

me
 th
 it
 - e
 on
 u
 as
 reg
 tu
 re

The following are
 in excess of the
 rates given in the
 Schedule for the
 Presidency Division: -

- 1. Concrete 10 Rs per 100 c
 against Rs 14-1-0
- 2. 3 Lacking $\frac{1}{2}$ " Portland
 Cement Rs 8-6-0 per 100 c
 against Rs 6-4-0 -
- The other rates are
 fair. (323)

The completion report
 has been counter-signed
 by the Deputy Secy.
 but it has not been
 signed by the Deputy
 Secy. I Circle although
 for sanction - That
 Office.

A. C. M. J.
 15/4/09

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
 PARAS. 1561 AND 1564.

5 MAR 09

P. W. D.
 25 JUN. 88
 PRESIDENCY DIVISION

P. W. D. MADRAS
 Form No. M 67.

DIVISION Presidency

NAME OF WORK Building a Raquet Court
 at Govt House Madras.

Completion Certificate. 1323

(To accompany the Completion Report for the
 above work.)

The City Secretary
 Forwarded to to H.E. the Governor of Madras
 for signature and return, as this Office No. 3, dated 15/4/09.
 June/00.

Documents accompanying.

Station Bangalore
 Dated 15th June 1888
 Executive Engineer,
 Presidency Division.

Returned to the Executive Engineer, Presi-
deney Division, duly signed, with the above-
 mentioned documents.

Commanding or Departmental Officer.
 Officiating Military Secy

Here mention report, specification, and drawings of the work
 as executed, and any other documents submitted with this comple-
 tion Certificate.

Division Presidency

COMPLETION CERTIFICATE.

Name of Work Building a Raquet Court at Govt House
Madras.

Authority

Certified that the above-mentioned work was taken over on 18. 1. 88
and that it is considered satisfactory and suitable to the purposes for which it is intended.

25 JUN 38

J. M. D. H. J. J. J.
Capt.

Commanding or Departmental Officer.

Offg: Military Secretary

Station Potacamund.
Dated 22nd June 1888.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE.
PARAS. 1561 TO 1565 AND 1568.

1323

P. W. D. FORM.
No. M 56.

Division Presidency

Completion Report No.

NAME OF WORK Building a Raquet
Court at Govt House Madras

AMOUNT OF ESTIMATE—Rs. 2406-3-4

EXPENDITURE— .. Rs. 2406-3-4

DIFFERENCE— .. Rs. 203-12-8

PERCENTAGE OF EXCESS (IF ANY) —

DATE OF COMMENCEMENT 10th November 1887

DATE OF COMPLETION 18th January 1888

DATE OF LAST INSPECTION 18th January 1888

By whom last inspected— Let at Enlist.

Forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
for verification, as this Office No. _____

dated _____

Documents accompanying.

1323

Executive Engineer

Division

Transmitted to the Superintending Engineer,
5th Circle, duly verified, as this
Office No. _____, dated _____

Chas. C. Swickerton
Asst. Engineer
for Examiner of P. W. Accounts

Transmitted to _____
for record as this Office No. _____, dated _____

Superintending Engineer.

* Here note "Completion Certificate" granted by the Civil or
Military Authority concerned, report, specification, drawing and
detail of quantities when necessary.

NAME OF WORK.— *Building a Racquet Court at Govt House*
 FUND.— *Provincial*
 DEPARTMENTAL HEAD.— *Original work*
 SERVICE HEAD.— *Civil*
 MAIN HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.— *3 Administration*
 SUB-HEAD OF CLASSIFICATION.—
 ARM OF THE SERVICE.—

Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. — for the Month of — 183

Sub-heads of Estimate.	AS ESTIMATED.			AS EXECUTED.				DIFFERENCE.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.	
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.		Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.		
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A. P.		RS.	RS.	A. P.	
Excavating	472 5		34	472 1 5		23	9 8	✓	-	-	6 4	1
Concrete	92 18		166	93 7 5		168	10 7	✓	16	2	10 7	2
Foundation & work brick in mortar	2623 19		479	2409 19		457	11 1	✓	219 1/2	41	4 3	3
Superstructure - do	2749 22		825	3838 20		767	9 7	✓	87	67	6 5	4
Plastering with 1/2 Portland Cement	27 8 6		243	23 1/4 8 6		198	14 6	✓	3 1/4	44	1 6	5
Plastering with 2 coat mortar polished				46 2 4		203	8	✓				6
Putting down old cut stone flooring with 3" Concrete and pointing with Cement	11 7/8 8		94	10 8		80		✓	1 1/4	14		7
Arch work				29 1/2 24		7	1 3	✓				8
Teakwood wrought and put up	266 3 22		71	15 1/2 3 8		53	6	✓		17	10	9
Doors & windows	87 1/2 12		98	31 1/2 12		35	7	✓				10
Pannelled doors	38 1/2 4		37	75 1/2 4		75	8 1/2	✓		3 3/4	8	11
Chocolate paint 3 Coat				3 1/2 2 1/2		9	10	✓				12
White paint 3 coat				21 1/2 17		3	10 6	✓				13
Wire netting inside and standard 80				336 6		126		✓				14
Carried over						2110	105					

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

Sub-heads of Estimate.	AS ESTIMATED.			AS REPORTED.			DIFFERENCE.*			Reference to paras. over-leaf explaining differences.	
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.		
		RS.	RS.		RS.	RS.	A. P.		RS.	RS.	A. P.
Brought forward ..						2339					
Cost for putting up wall plaster under the joints						2	✓				24
Brought forward						2110 105					
Teraced roof	2	2		2	52		✓				15
cut stone for steps	50 1/2	12		50 1/2	589 6		✓				16
High scaffolding		25			25		✓				17
Wooden bleaching											
for and labor of material labor				14	5 4		✓				18
Dental Cornice work with brick and plastering				192	60		✓				19
2 coats mortar											
Brass line lock	1	2 1/2		1	8		✓				20
Brass clamps for hanging clock hat	6	4		6	24		✓				21
Carried over						2548 3 11					
Total						2436 3 4					

* Excesses to be entered in red ink.

[N.B.—When any considerable deviations from the original design have occurred, the report, specification, drawing, and details of the measurements of the work actually done, in the same form as the estimates, should accompany the completion reports.]

CERTIFICATE.

I do hereby certify that, with the exceptions above noted and explained this work has been fully executed to the extent of the estimate in a substantial and satisfactory manner, as regards materials and workmanship, and that, excluding trifling deviations and those explained over-leaf, it corresponds in all respects with the sanctioned plan and estimate.

30 May 1888.
 Executive Engineer.
 Coll. No.

Reference to last Work Voucher submitted.—No. _____ for the Month of _____ 188

* Expenses to be entered in red ink.

* Expenses to be entered in red ink.

CERTIFICATE

Date 30 May 1888
 By Wm Thompson
 Executive Engineer.

memo no 802 c of 18-2-89
 P. R. no 316 W of 5-2-89
 A - 2562 W of 10-8-89
 B no 1873-74-75 W of 22-1-89
 . . . 1126-27 W of 14-4-89
 . . . 228 W of 20-1-89
 memo no 2947 c of 6-8-89

13th May
 13th May

The outlay of Rs 2436
 incurred, without a pre-
 -sented estimate, on the con-
 -struction of a Raquet Court
 at the Govt House, Madras,
 is sanctioned.

7.5.89
 9.5.89

From
 THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
 V CIRCLE,

To
 THE Chief Engineer
 P.W. Department.

Dated " } April 1889.
 Recd. " }

ENCLOSURES 2

1323 W of 17-5-1889

No. 324

Raquet Court in the Govt
 House Madras.

Submitted for sanction a
 completion Report for actual
 outlay of Rs 2436-3-4 for
 constructing a -

V C Circle,
 By Mr. A. S.
 1889-

9

1048.47/5. HM 10/5.