

(1) Number of pages 10(2) Date of repair 19-11-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Public Works* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	1251	Press
		Mis.
Dated	19-11-42	1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th May 1865.*

No. 174. Read the following Endorsement of the Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company, dated Madras, 22nd April 1865, No. 244 :—

Read the following letter from F. H. HENSLOWE, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, to Captain J. MULLINS, R. E., Consulting Engineer, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, dated Madras, 20th April 1865, No. 192.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to inform you that my Directors have determined for the present to restrict the constructive operations of the Company by means of the Guaranteed Capital of one Million Sterling to the main line of Canal and subsidiary works between the Toombuddra at Soonkasala and the termination of the 8th Section.

2. The Plans and Estimates of the 9th Section are under consideration by the Board with the aid of the Consulting Engineer, with a view to making certain additions or modifications in them; but the work will not be proceeded with until further Capital shall have been raised, for which purpose the necessary steps will, it is hoped, be taken before long.

3. In the absence of revised Estimates for other portions of the work, and under the impression some months since that the Chief Engineer was about to commence operations and establish a Staff at Dhoor at once, I have drawn for funds against the sanction for the 9th Section. I trust however that no difficulty or inconvenience will be experienced by you in adjusting these accounts.

From Captain J. MULLINS, R. E., Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company, to F. H. HENSLOWE, Esq., Agent and Manager, Irrigation and Canal Company, dated Madras, 19th December 1864, No. 379 :—

SIR,

Agent's No.	Consulting Engineer's No.	Amount.
107 ..	138 ..	37,907 1 1
108 ..	139 ..	4,689 0 0
109 ..	140 ..	1,80,422 10 2
		2,23,018 11 3

1. In returning duly passed for payment the Drafts Nos. 107, 108 and 109 as per margin, which were received with your letter No. 2 of the 17th December 1864, I have the honor to point out that while it is not necessary to restrict the expenditure on works included in any sanction to

money drawn against that sanction, it is necessary that sanctioned funds should be applied only to sanctioned works and within the amount of such sanction, and a departure from this rule should not be allowed except in cases of real emergency.

2. The statement furnished by the Chief Engineer in connection with the preparation of the Budget for 1865-66 in this Office contains much later information as to expenditure than any other accounts that have reached me, and among other things I have learnt from it that on some sections of the canal the expenditure very greatly exceeds the amount of the sanctioned estimates, even with

Soonkasala 1½ lacs.
2nd and 3rd Sections, 3 lacs.

the addition of the advances as per margin, which have been authorized in anticipation of the submission of supplemental Estimates, and it is of

course clear that funds for such expenditure in excess can only be obtained by drawing against sanctions intended for other works, and this is doubtless the case with your Draft No. 109, as only a small sum, if any money at all, will be expended in the 9th Section. It will be well therefore

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th May 1865.*

that you should remind the Chief Engineer that supplemental sanction should be applied for before original sanction has been exhausted, lest works which it may not be practicable to complete for the sum originally estimated should be brought to a stand still altogether by the absence of available money.

3. I would also suggest the advisability of drawing against old sanctions and exhausting them, when this is the appropriate course; thus Rupees 83,000 of the Soonkasala anicut sanctions remain undrawn, and yet the expenditure on that work has exceeded the total sanction.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. MULLINS, Captain, R. E.,
Cons. Engr. for I. and C. Company.

Endorsement of the Agent and Manager for Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, dated Madras, 25th January 1865, No. 44.

From Colonel H. C. COTTON, Chief Engineer, to F. H. HENSLOWE, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company, dated Kurnool, 16th January 1865, No. 1,384.

No. 379.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Captain Mullins' letter* of 19th ultimo. I have always endeavoured to avoid; but the urgent necessity for pushing on works, and the difficulty I have had in getting trustworthy supplemental estimates prepared, has for some time past forced this irregularity.

2. The application of funds sanctioned for one work to cover the excess of cost of other works I have had in getting trustworthy supplemental estimates prepared, has for some time past forced this irregularity.

3. I trust when the estimates now before the Government are sanctioned to be able to conform fully to the rule of confining every work to its own estimate, and where necessary furnishing additional estimates in time to obtain the required additional funds.

Communicated with reference to the Consulting Engineer's No. 379 of the 19th December 1864.

(Signed) F. H. HENSLOWE,
Agent and Manager.

Endorsement to letter No. 192 of the 20th instant from the Agent and Manager Irrigation and Canal Company.

2 Letters in original from Agent, General Nos. 1,282 and 1026.
1 Copy of letter to Agent No. 379.

1. Submitted for the information of Government.

2. The Agent has been requested to state whether it is intended to send in land plans for the land required for the 9th Section, as, if not, the services of the Deputy Collector in Cuddapah could be otherwise made use of and those of his establishment dispensed with.

3. The correspondence, as per margin, on the subject of drawing funds against sanctions other than those of the principal occasion of the practice, and this can and should be avoided for the future by asking for partial sanction in anticipation of the submission of estimates when necessary. In some cases, however, there was no reason why funds should not have been drawn for works from the sanctions for those works.

To Agent No. 379, dated 19th December 1864.
From Chief Engineer, No. 1,384 with the Agent's endorsement, No. 44 of the 25th January 1865.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
9th May 1865.*

4. Practically it is perhaps not of much consequence that a large part* of the sanction for the 9th Section has been made use of; but should the Government desire it, a transfer of the debit from the 9th to the sanctions of the upper sections can readily be made.

		Rs.	A.	P.
Sanction ..	::	8,02,811	0	0
Drawn ..	::	8,85,908	1	10

(Signed) J. MULLINS, Captain, R. E.,
Cons. Engr., for I. & C. Company.

No. 175. ORDER THEREON, 9th May 1865, No. 1,251.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 25.

1. The Government concur with the Consulting Engineer in the views expressed by him in paragraph 4 of his Endorsement above recorded, as well as in his communication to the Manager to the Company, dated 19th December 1864, No. 379, and they trust that the irregularities therein noticed will not recur.

2. The Government consider it expedient that the debit against the ninth section should be transferred to the sanctioned works in the upper sections, on which the funds drawn against the former have been expended.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company.
" Manager for do. do.

Ed. R. H. Lee.

Endorsement to letter N^o 192 of the 20th Instant from the Agent & Manager Irrigation and Canal Company.

2. Submitted for the information of Govt.
3. The Agent has been requested to state whether it is intended to send in land plans for the land required for the 9th section as, if not, the services of the Deputy Collector in Cuddapah could be otherwise made use of, and those of his establishment dispensed with.

To Agent N^o 379 of 19th Dec 1864
From Chief Secy N^o 1382 with
the Agent's endorsement
N^o 44 of the 25th Jan 1865

4. The correspondence, as per margin, on the subject of drawing funds against sanctions other than those of the works on which the money is intended to be spent is herewith transmitted. It will be observed that delay in the preparation of supplemental estimates has been the principal occasion of the practice; and this can and should be avoided for the future, by asking for partial sanction in anticipation of the submission of estimates when necessary. In some cases however

However there was no reason why funds should not have been drawn for works from the sanctions for those works.

5. Practically it is perhaps not of much consequence that a large part of the sanction for the 9th section has been made use of, but should the Government desire it, a transfer of the debit from the 9th to the sanctions of the upper sections, can readily be made.

Sanction No 802811
drawn - 385,908-146

Engineering Office
Ind. & Canal Co.
Madras 22nd April 1865

Obtained from Captain R. H.
Consulting Engineer

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

10th April 1865 22-9-99

Civil Works

1257

Public Works Department

Proceeding of the Madras Government
Under date the 7th April 1865

Read the following

From The Consulting Engineer
J. H. C.

Submits for information of Government a letter from the Agent A. 192. dated 20th April 1865, informing the opinion of the Board for restricting the constructive portion of the main Canal within the guaranteed million.

Here enters 22nd April 1865
No 244

The Government concur with the Consulting Engineer in the views expressed by him in Para 1 of his endorsement above recorded, as well as in his communication to the Manager of 19 Dec 1864, No 579, & they trust that the irregularities therein noticed will not recur.

The Government consider it expedient that the debit against the ninth section should be transferred to the sanctioned works in the upper section, viz. which the funds drawn against the former

Signature

Signature

Signature

Handwritten text at the top left, possibly a date or reference number.

have been expended.

Handwritten text below the first line, possibly a list or description.

Handwritten signature or initials.

Handwritten text below the signature, possibly a name or title.

Handwritten text in the upper middle section.

Handwritten text in the middle section, possibly a list or description.

Handwritten text at the top right.

Handwritten text in the lower right section.

The Cong. Rm. St. C. C. H. & Co. letters
Manager to do ✓

Sept 10th May

25
P.W. 1865 Department

Pro: of Govt for May

No. 1251

Pro: of the Madras Govt.

D. 9. May 1865.

C.D. 2354/65

Correspondence.

Regarding the transfer of the
debit against the G. Section
to the sanctioned works in the
Upper Sections of the J.B. &
main Canal. with orders
thereon.

174-175

No. 174-175

2. 28th April 1865 (D. 2nd May)