

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 829	Press
445-446	Mis
Dated 18-3-	1864

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th March 1864.*

No. 445. Read the following letter from Captain J. BEAN, R. E., Officiating Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Tanjore, 11th March 1864, No. 2,086:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to reply to your Memorandum No. 25, dated 24th February 1864, calling for certain information regarding an Estimate of Rupees 1,660, submitted* by me for the extension of the Negapatam Salt Platform.

2. As the Salt Budget of 1864-65 was prepared by the Collector of Tanjore, I applied to him to know whether the above Estimate had any connection with that for Rupees 2,000, entered in the Imperial Budget for the same year, and I beg to send copy of his reply,† in which he states that this latter Estimate was framed for the construction of a road to the same Salt Platform but forms no portions of the former one.

3. Though Mr. Cadell, as stated in my previous letter, requested me to obtain the immediate sanction of Government for this Estimate, I beg to point out, as I observe Government has sanctioned the Estimate in Order No. 614 of the 3rd instant, received yesterday, that the Collector now states that the execution of this work will be of no use unless Negapatam is retained as the principal station of manufacture.

4. The Budget allotment of Rupees 650, under the head Revenue "Salt," has, since the date of my previous letter, been appropriated for the repairs of the banks round the Salt Pans at Vedarneum, so that there only remain the sum† of Rupees 1016, to meet the outlay on this Estimate, which I would recommend should be appropriated accordingly, the balance being sanctioned from the Reserve or allowed to stand over for execution until the 1st of May next, as we shall now have difficulty in expending the whole amount.

From W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to Captain J. BEAN, R. E., Officiating Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, dated Tanjore, 8th March 1864, No. 59.

SIR,

1. With reference to your letters marginally noted, I have the honor to state that the extension of Vadi No. III at Negapatam forms no part of the Estimate of Rupees 2,000 entered in the Budget of Salt works for 1864-65. The Estimate last mentioned was

intended for forming a road direct from the Salt Pans to the said Vadi, and was entered in the Budget with one of Rupees 3,000 for a bridge on the road so formed over the river Kodamurutti.

2. In the sanctioned Budget, however, I see only the Estimate for the road entered without that for the bridge. Without the latter, however, I can see no use of the road. My object in proposing these works was to provide easy means for the conveyance of Salt from the Pans to the Vadi close to the Railway Station, and to avoid the present detour partly by land and partly by water, as also the necessity for crossing the river by boats.

3. For the extension of the Vadi above mentioned a sum of only Rupees 750 was entered in the Budget (Part II), and I find it has been sanctioned. It is for this same work that the Estimate of Rupees 1,660, referred to in your letters under acknowledgment, has been submitted from your Office.

4. As the last mentioned work is intended to be carried out from funds available for the current year, sanction may be obtained for the same accordingly, and the sum of Rupees 750 allowed for the same work in the next year's Budget together with that of Rupees 2,000 above-mentioned can be applied to the improvements referred to in paragraph 2 of your letter of the 26th ultimo. There appears to me, however, no use in undertaking these improvements, and even the extension of the Vadi itself under the Estimate for Rupees 1,660 which you have submitted for sanction out of the fund available for the current year, until it is finally decided whether Negapatam is to be retained as our principal station of manufacture.

26th February 1864, No. 1,990.
27th do. " " 1,996.

† Land and Miscellaneous Rs. 606
Customs 440

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
18th March 1864.*

5. The Board of Revenue have directed that Vedarneum should be looked to as the main source of supply, and have apparently declined to sanction the recommendation made by me for raising the rate of Kudivaram or hire for manufacture at Negapatam, and which at present is so unremunerative that it is obvious that the manufacture at this station must be abandoned unless it is raised.

6. I have, however, again addressed the Board urging on them the absolute necessity which exists for the Kudivaram being raised, and I hope to be able to communicate the result to you shortly.

7. As requested in your letter of the 27th ultimo, I forward herewith my Office copies of the two parts of the Salt Budget* for 1864-65, and which you will observe were submitted with the approval of Captain Foord.

* Requested to be returned.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. BEAN, Captain, R. E.,
Offg. Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.

No. 446.

ORDER THEREON, 18th March 1864, No. 829.

1. In Proceedings No. 363, dated 1st March 1864, Revenue Department, the Government sanctioned an Estimate submitted by the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, amounting to Rupees 1,660 for extending one of the Salt Platforms at Negapatam.

2. In his letter No. 50, dated 8th March 1864, enclosed in that from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division, recorded above, the Collector of Tanjore states that there is no use in undertaking the extension of the Platform in question "until it is finally decided whether Negapatam is to be retained as the principal station of manufacture." This question will be referred to the Revenue Department for consideration.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 6th Division.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

N. 59

Tanjore Collector's Office.
8th March 1864

From,

H. A. Cadell Esqre
Collector of Tanjore

To,

Captain J. Bean R.E.
Offg. Superintending Engineer,
6th Division.

Sir,

26th February 1864
N. 1990
27th Dec. 1896

With reference to your letter marginally noted I have the honour to state that the extension of Vadi N. III at Negapatam forms no part of the Estimate of Rupees 2000 entered in the Budget of Salt works for 1864/65. The Estimate last mentioned was intended for forming a road direct from the Salt pans to the said Vadi and was entered in the Budget with one of Rupees 3000 for a Bridge on the road so formed over the river Kodamiruki.

2 In the sanctioned Budget, however, I see only the estimate for the road entered without that for the Bridge. Without the latter however I can see no use of the road. My object in proposing

proposing these works was to provide easy means for the conveyance of salt from the Pans to the Vadi close to the Railway Station and to avoid the present detour partly by land and partly by water as also the necessity for crossing the river by boats.

3 For the extension of the Vadi above mentioned a sum of only Rupees 750 was entered in the Budget (Part II) and I find it has been sanctioned. It is for this same work that the Estimate of Rupees 1600 referred to in your letter under acknowledgment has been submitted from your Office.

4 As the last mentioned work is intended to be carried out from Funds available for the current year, sanction may be obtained for the same accordingly, and the sum of Rupees 750 allowed for the same work in the next year's Budget together with that of Rupees 2000 abovementioned can be applied to the improvements referred to in para 2 of your letter of the 26th ultimo. There appears to me, however,

however, no use in undertaking these improvements and even the extension of the Vadi itself under the Estimate for Rupees 1600 which you have submitted for sanction out of the fund available for the current year, until it is finally decided whether Secapattam is to be retained as our principal station of manufacture.

5 The Board of Revenue have directed that Vadarneum should be looked to as the main source of supply and have apparently declined to sanction the recommendation made by me for raising the rate of Vindivaram or hire for manufacture at Secapattam and which at present is so unremunerative that it is obvious that the manufacture at this station must be abandoned unless it is raised.

6 I have however again addressed the Board urging on them the absolute necessity which exists for the Vindivaram

being

being raised and I hope to be able
to communicate the result to you shortly.

7 As requested in your letter
of the 27th ultimo I forward herewith
my Office Copies of the two parts of the
Salt Budget for 1864/65 and which you
will observe were submitted with the
approval of Captain Foord.

I have &c
(Signed) W. M. Cadell
Collector.

(Type Copy)

Dean

Captain R & E

Offs. Supr. Engineer to Govt.

* Requested to
be returned

4/10/11/57/14

Enclosure in a letter
from the Supr. Engineer
to Govt. N. 2086 dated
11th March 1864

N. 2086

Supr. Engr. Office 6th Division
Tanjore 11th March 1864

From,

Captain J. Dean R & E
Offs. Supr. Engineer, 6th Div.

To

Colonel C. A. Dyer R & E
Secretary to Government
P. W. D.

Sir,

Enclosure

1 Copy of a letter

* Letter dated
18th February 64
N. 1909

I have the honor to reply to your
Memorandum N. 25 dated 24th February
1864 calling for certain information
regarding an Estimate of Rupees 1600
submitted by me for the extension of the
Nagapattinam Salt Platform.

2 As the Salt Budget of 1864/65
was prepared by the Collector of Tanjore
I applied to him to know whether the above
Estimate had any connection with that
for Rupees 2000 entered in the Imperial
Budget for the same year, and I beg to
send copy of his reply in which he states
that this latter Estimate was framed
for the construction of a Road to the same
Salt Platform but forms no portion of
the

* N. 59 dated
8th March 1864

the former one.

3 Though Mr. Cadell, as stated in my previous letter, requested me to obtain the immediate sanction of Government for this Estimate, I beg to point out, as I observe Government has sanctioned the Estimate in Order No. 644 of the 3rd Instant received yesterday, that the Collector now states that the execution of this work will be of no use unless Akapatan is retained as the principal station of manufacture.

4 The budget allotment of Rs. 650 under the head Revenue "Salt" has, since the date of my previous letter, been appropriated for the repairs of the banks round the Salt Pans at Vadar: nem, so that there only remains the

sum of Rs. 1046 to meet

Land & Miscellaneous	606
Customs	440

the outlay on this Estimate, which I would recommend should be appropriated accordingly, the balance being sanctioned from the Reserve, or allowed to stand over for execution until

until the 1st of May next, as we shall now have difficulty in expending the whole amount.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant
Rear Capt. R. E.
Offr. Supr. Engineer &c.

no 829

P. M. H.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 18th March 1864.

Read the following

From the Supt. Engineer 6th Div.

On the subject of (Here enter 11 March 1864
the extension of the salt No 2086
Platform at Negapatam.

In Proceedings
No 363 dated 1st March
1864, Revenue Department,
the Government sanctioned
an Estimate submitted
by the Superintending
Engineer 6th Division amount-
ing to Rs 1660 for
extending one of the
salt platforms at

1864
11 March 1864
From the Supt. Engineer 6th Div.

The Supt. Engineer
to the

The Secretary to Govt
S. N. G.

Dated 11th March 1864
Recd 1st April 1864

No 2086

Encls: one

On the subject of the
extension of the salt
Platform at Negapatam:

Per order

1864

no 829-1864

Negapatam.

In his letter

No 59, dated 8th March 1864,

enclosed in that from the

Superintending Engineer

6th Division recorded above,

the Collector of Tanjore

states that there is no

use in undertaking the

extension of the Platform

in question until it is

"finally decided whether"

"Negapatam is to be"

"retained as the principal"

"Station of manufacture"

This question will be

referred

13

referred to the Revenue
Department for con-

sideration -

W. B.
v.

Super Eng 6th Div

Revenue Reports

45

3

Recd 17th March

12th 1864 Dep't
of No. of Govt. Printing

10829
No. of the 1864
of 18 Standard

The question as to
whether the population
of the District is to be
retained as the basis
of the Standard
of the Revenue Dept.
to the Revenue Dept.

29445-446