M R O 1—77,006—8 6-37.

(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair 17-10-62

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3429 Press ~~Mis.~~

609-610

Dated 30-11- 1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 30*th November* 1864.

No 609 Read the following letter from Captain J. F. FISCHER, R. E., Controller of Public Works Accounts, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 22nd November 1864, No. 1,723 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to reply to the observations of Government in G. O. No. 3,313, dated 18th instant, on the Appropriation Return for June 1864.

Enclosure 1

2. The unappropriated balance referred to in paragraph 7 was not known to this Office, for the G. O. No. 1,839, dated *23rd June* 1864, in which it is alluded to, was not communicated here

3. The reason of the omission of Rupees 1,931 from the estimated cost of the Pier works is that Rupees 1,927 of this sum was paid directly on Bills sanctioned by Government on the dates quoted in the Government order referred to in paragraph 10. These sums did not form a portion of the sanctioned estimates for the work, though Colonel Boileau properly added them to the estimates to represent the total probable cost of the Pier works. They are omitted in his Reports of Progress. The sum of Rupees 72,800 in round numbers, instead of Rupees 72,804, is entered in the Return as the estimated cost.

		RS	A	P.
G O 13th December 1861, No 2,298	..	807	5	7
Do 6th February 1862, „ 151	..	1,120	0	0
		1,927	5	7
Estimates sanctioned at different dates	..	72,804	0	0
Total Rupees	..	74,731	5	7

4. With reference to paragraph 12, I beg to intimate that instructions were issued to Superintending Engineers in Circular No. XVI,* dated 3rd November 1864 for carrying out the change in the entry of works under 200 Rupees. In the Returns for November 1864 the change will take place in all the Districts.

* Copy enclosed.

5. The maintenance grants included in the Return, alluded to in paragraph 13, are those authorized in G. O. No. 1,631, dated 3rd June 1864. These are grants additional to those allowed in the printed Budget, and were given by a reduction from the allotment of another District and from a different head "Dykes" subordinate to "Agricultural." The orders on the subject of excluding maintenance grants referred to by Government were understood by this Office to allude to only specific grants particularized in the printed Budgets, and which therefore it was deemed unnecessary to bring forward in the Appropriation Returns. I now request instructions as to whether all new or increased grants which may be sanctioned by transfer of funds from other allotments are to be excluded in future Returns.

No. 610. ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1864, No. 3,429.

1. In this letter, the Controller of Public Works Accounts explains certain discrepancies pointed out in the Proceedings of Government of the 18th November 1864, No. 3,313.

2. In paragraph 2, Captain Fischer states that the non-entry in the Appropriation Return for June 1864 of the unappropriated balance of the current year's allotment for the road from Heeramundalam to the Nowpadah Salt pans in the Ganjam District was owing to Proceedings No. 1,839, dated 23rd June 1864, not having been furnished to his Office. The Government observe that the Order as per margin setting free this balance as well as the subsequent one of the 23rd July last,† transferring it to the Táluq Cutcherry at Ramachendrapur, was duly communicated to the Controller.

Rupees 1,181-11-0

No. 1,613, 2nd June 1864.

† No. 2,120.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 30th November 1864.

3. The maintenance grants alluded to in paragraph 13 of the Order of the 18th November above quoted are those for which *special* provision is made in the Budget (*e. g.*, Cantonment roads at Trichinopoly) but which should not be entered in the Appropriation Returns. Maintenance allowances sanctioned during the year from the funds allotted under other heads in Part III of the Budget will continue to be shown as heretofore, the orders of Government in each case being quoted in the column "Remarks by Controller."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Controller of Public Works Accounts, with Nos. 1,613 and 2,120.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

No XVI.

CIRCULAR.

The Controller of Public Works Accounts requests that the instructions in the Circular No. 68 of the Government of India, communicated in G. O of 19th October 1864, No. 3,004, may take effect from the 1st instant, viz., in the Return for the current month due early in December 1864.

In order to adjust the difference between the expenditure up to 31st October 1864, and the appropriations or sanctions relating to works under 200 Rs. each, it is requested that a Memorandum in the accompanying form may be forwarded with the Return for November 1864. In this Return should be brought forward under each of the five Budget heads, the balances of appropriations shewn under those heads in the Memorandum now called for. As the Memorandum will give the *details* of the balances, these should be brought forward in the Appropriation Return for November in *one item* under the proper heads.

In the cases of fresh appropriations made for new Works and Repairs for and under 200 Rupees, for and from November 1864, each work should be detailed in future in the Returns for that month, (the Circular from the Government of India would seem to require these particulars to be communicated to this Office ;) but for petty or trifling repairs under 50 Rupees each, undertaken without estimate and for which half-yearly Bills are now forwarded, such details are unnecessary. The total appropriation of the month for all such petty works should be entered in one item.

It is likely that in some cases expenditure on works of or up to Rupees 200, instead of on only those *under* Rupees 200, has been included in previous Returns. In such cases the particulars of these works should also be included in the Memorandum now called for.

It is further requested that in all future Returns, when the balance only of a sanctioned estimate is provided for, the month's Return in which the first entry of the work was made in the previous year, should be stated in the column of remarks.

Emergent works undertaken without sanctioned estimates should continue to be entered in the Returns in the manner directed in Circular No. XXII of this Office.

Dated 28th October 1863.

J. F. FISCHER, Captain, R. E.,
Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Office of the Controller of
Public Works Accounts,
Madras, 3rd November 1864.

To
All Superintending Engineers.

MEMO: of appropriations compared with outlay on works under Rupees 200 each.

Description of work.	Sanctioned Estimate.			Appropriation for the whole year			Expenditure included in Appropriation Returns for																		Total expenditure			Balance of appropriation.		
							May.			June.			July			August			September.			October.								
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs.	A.	P	Rs.	A	P	Rs	A.	P	Rs	A	P	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P
NEW WORKS.																														
Military.																														
Construction of ..	198	0	0	198	0	0	20	0	0	30	0	0	10	0	0	25	0	0	30	0	0	10	0	0	125	0	0	73	0	0
Addition to ..	150	0	0	150	0	0	10	0	0	15	0	0	20	0	0	10	0	0	20	0	0	25	0	0	100	0	0	50	0	0
Improvement of ..	180	0	0	70	0	0	10	0	0	15	0	0	20	0	0	15	0	0	10	0	0	..	..	.	70	0	0	...	...	..
and so on for the four other heads.																												123	0	0
REPAIRS.																														
Military.																														
Repairs of ..	180	0	0	180	0	0	15	0	0	20	0	0	20	0	0	15	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	90	0	0	90	0	0
Do. of ..	170	0	0	170	0	0	10	0	0	10	0	0	25	0	0	25	0	0	20	0	0	10	0	0	100	0	0	70	0	0
Do. of ..	160	0	0	90	0	0	10	0	0	15	0	0	20	0	0	15	0	0	30	0	0	.	..		90	0	0	...	...	...
Petty repairs under 50 Rs. each	...	...	.	250	0	0	25	0	0	35	0	0	45	0	0	40	0	0	20	0	0	15	0	0	180	0	0	70	0	0
and so on for the four other heads.																												230	0	0
Total..	...	...	.	1,108	0	0	100	0	0	140	0	0	160	0	0	145	0	0	140	0	0	70	0	0	755	0	0	353	0	0

The total expenditure in each month should correspond with the sums entered in the Returns from May to October 1864

CIRCULAR No. XVI.

To

The Superintending Engineers of Divisions.

Dated 3rd }
Despatched } November 1864.

W 3740 7½

Furnishes instructions relative to the exhibition of works of Rs. 200 and under, in the Appropriation Returns, in lieu of the present plan of shewing the expenditure on such works.

5895

Office of the Controller of P. W. Accounts.
Madras 22nd November 1864.

No. 1723.

From,
Captain J. F. Fischer R. E.
Controller of Public Works Accounts.

To,
Colonel C. A. Orr, R. E.
Secretary to Government P. W. D.

Sir,

I have the honor to reply to the observations of Government in G. O. No. 3313 dated 18th Instant on the Appropriation Return for June 1864.

2. The unappropriated balance referred to in para 7 was not known to this Office, for the G. O. No. 1839 dated 23rd June 1864 in which it is alluded to was not communicated here.

3. The reason of the omission of Rupees 1,931 from the estimated cost of the Pier works is that Rupees 1,927 of this sum was paid directly on Bills sanctioned by Government on the dates quoted in the Government Order referred to in para 10. These sums did not form a portion of the sanctioned

G. O. 13th December 1861. No. 2298	Rs. 807-5-7.
G. O. 6th February 1862. No. 151	1120-.-.
	1,927-5-7.
Estimates sanctioned at different dates	72,804-.-.
Total Rupees	74731-5-7

sanctioned Estimates for the work, though Colonel Boileau properly added them to the Estimates to represent the total probable cost of the pier works. They are omitted in his Reports of Progreſs. The sum of Rupees 72,800 in round numbers instead of Rupees 72,804 is entered in the Return as the Estimated cost.

4. With reference to para. 12, I beg to intimate that instructions were iſsued to Superintending Engineers in Circular No. XVI+ dated 3rd November 1864 for carrying out the change in the entry of works under 200 Rupees. In the Returns for November 1864 the change will take place in all the Districts.

+Copy enclosed.

5. The Maintenance grants included in the Return, alluded to in para: 13 are those authorized in G.O. No. 1631 dated 3rd June 1864. These are grants additional to those allowed in the printed Budget, and were given by a reduction from the allotment of another District and from a different head "Dykes" subordinate to "Agricultural". The orders on the subject of excluding Maintenance grants referred to by Government were understood by this Office to allude to only specific grants particularized in the printed Budgets, and which therefore it was deemed unneceſsary to bring forward in the Appropriation Returns. I now request instructions as to whether all new or increased grants which may be sanctioned by transfer of funds from other allotments are to be excluded in future Returns.

Office of the Controller
of P. W. Accounts,
Madras 22d Novr. 1864

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,
Captain R.E.
Controller of Public Works Accounts.

To,
Colonel C. A. Orr. R. E.
Secretary to Government P. W. D.

P.W. 1864 Deptt
Pro: of Govt. for
No. 5045

From,
The Controller of P.W. Accounts.
To
The Secretary to Government,
P.W.D.

Dated 22nd }
Recd 23d } November 1864.
No. 1723.
Enclosure 1.

Replies to observations on the Appropriation Return for June 1864.

No 3429 - 30th Novr./64

~~Public Works Dept &c~~

No 3429.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 30th November 1864
Read the following
from the Controller of Public Works Accounts

Replies to observations on the appropriation Return for June 1864.

(Here enter 22nd November 1864 No 1723)

In this letter, the Controller of P.W. Accts explains certain discrepancies pointed out in the Pro: of Govt of the 18th Nov/64 No 3313.

In para 2, Captain Fischer states that the non-entry in the Appropriation Return for June/64 of the unappropriated balance of the Current year's allotment for the road from Heeramundalam to the Noupadah Salt Pans in the Ganjam Distt was owing to Proc No. 1839 of 23 June /64 ~~the order marginally noted~~ not having been furnished to his Office. The Govt observe that the order as per margin setting free this balance, as well as the subsequent one of the 23d July last, trans-ferring ~~the balance~~ in question to the Taluq Cutcherry at Ramachendrapur, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~were~~ was duly communicated to

1181 - 11 -

~~23 June /64 No~~

No 1613 - 2 June /64

No. 2120

to the Controller. -

7/1

The maintenance grants alluded to in para 13 of the order of the 18th Nov above quoted ~~under reply~~ are those for which special provision is ~~[illegible]~~ made in the Budget (e.g. Cantonment roads at Trichinopoly) which should not be entered in the Appropriation Returns. Maintenance allowances sanctioned during the year from the ~~funds~~ allotted under other heads in Part III of the Budget will continue to be shown as heretofore, the

the order of Govt.
in each case being
quoted in the column
"Remarks by Controller" —

No. 610.

Returned this with the [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

25/11/92

To
the Controller with
Nos. 1613 ~~1829~~ &
2120

— H/2 —

Dept 3rd December

P. W. 1864 Depts
Pro: of Govt. for Novr

No. 3429

Pro. of the Govt
Dd 30th Novr 64

Letter from the Controller of P. W. Accounts replying to the ob:servations made by Govt. on the appropriation Return for June 1864 with orders thereon.

No. 609 - 610