

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3418	Press
587-588	Mis
Dated 29 - 11 - 1864.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

DP

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th November 1864.*

No. 587. Read the following letter from W. FRASER, Esq. C. E., Special Executive Engineer, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Mount Road, 14th November 1864, No. 42 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to give the necessary orders under the following circumstances.

The Controller and Auditor of Accounts has refused to audit the pay abstracts of the following Assistants, for the reason given in each case.

I. T. Appavoo Pillay, Writer and Accountant, on permanent establishment. In this case the Controller objects because Appavoo has not passed the Uncovenanted Civil Service Examination, and because his "name does not appear in the list of dismissed Sub-Overseers who are eligible for employment in any branch of the Public Works Department, published in the "Fort Saint George Gazette," 2nd October 1863."

Appavoo Pillay was a Sub-Overseer in the Department in Salem up to the time of the late reduction. On being discontinued, Captain Gahagan appointed him Tálug Maistry, but under the advice of Captain Clay (under whose immediate order he was) he resigned that post to take up the maintenance of road work on contract.

On the expiration of that year the contracts ceased, and Appavoo came to Madras. As he was just the sort of man I wanted for an Estimate-maker, I offered him that post at 70 Rupees; but he would not serve on the temporary establishment; so, to induce him to stay with me, I appointed him Writer on the permanent staff, and gave him 25 Rupees a month from the temporary allowance, making in all 60 Rupees a month. When Sub-Overseer he had 55 Rupees, being in charge of a Tálug.

Appavoo is a good English and Tamil scholar, and speaks most of the native languages. He is a very good Surveyor and leveller, and draws fairly. He is a first rate Carpenter, and understands working in brass, &c. He was my Estimate-maker and Accountant in 1856, and in 1859 he passed the necessary examination, and was appointed Sub-Overseer. His executive experience, combined with his knowledge of several trades makes him a good Estimator, and being also able to survey, level, and draw, he is particularly useful to me. I trust therefore that you will be good enough to confirm the arrangement I have made.

2. The Controller says that application should be made under G. O. 6th May 1859, No. 680, page 909.

II. The other cases are those of Mr C. Fitzgerald and Ganapathy Moodaly, Draughtsmen, engaged on the temporary establishment.

The Controller's objection in these cases is that the Government order having stated the rates per month, these rates must not be divided, although I may give less than the rates specified to the same number of individuals. Thus —

Three Surveyors were sanctioned at 100 Rupees each, including travelling allowance; also an Estimate-maker and a Draughtsman at 70 Rupees each.

I have two Surveyors employed, one at the sanctioned rate, another at 40 Rupees per month.

Now, by the Controller's ruling, I might employ a third if I could get him, but I must not employ more than three, even though they were willing to come for 40 Rupees a month and that I did not consider them worth more.

The same rule is applied to the case of the Estimate-maker and Draughtsman, I may employ two individuals at any rate not exceeding 70 Rupees a month, but I must not employ four at 35 Rupees or any other number except two.

3. I cannot get a Surveyor in Madras whom I consider worth 80 Rupees a month, or rather, those who are worth 80 Rupees will not come to my works, as they can get 150 or 200 Rupees elsewhere.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
29th November 1864.*

The same remark applies to Estimate-makers and Draughtsmen: those who are worth 70 Rupees will not take up temporary service.

I would much rather employ the smaller number on the higher pay, if I could get men who were worth it, but, failing in this, I got the best hands I could, and have paid them what I considered they were worth, and what they were willing to take

4. The Controller has, of course, decided in accordance with the Rules of which I was ignorant until the correspondence took place, but I trust that you will be good enough to confirm the arrangement I have made, which are undoubtedly the best for the Government interest.

5 What I have to request are—

I. To sanction the appointment of T. Appavoo Pillay as Writer in my Office.

II. To issue an order that I shall be at liberty to modify the scale of salaries on temporary service, within the limits of the whole amount sanctioned.

No. 588. ORDER THEREON, 29th November 1864, No. 3,418.

1. The Governor in Council declines to accede to the requests made in the foregoing letter.

2 T. Appavoo Pillay having, of his own accord, resigned the appointment of Tālūq Maistry, is ineligible for re-employment until he has passed the Uncovenanted Service Examination, and the Government see no reason to exempt him from the rule.

3. With respect to the salaries of the temporary establishments, any modification of the sanctioned rates which Mr. Fraser may find it necessary to make should be submitted for the previous sanction of Government.

4. The Governor in Council disapproves of any portion of the amount sanctioned for the entertainment of a temporary establishment being diverted to enhance the pay of the Writer and Accountant on the permanent establishment. The proceeding is in direct contravention of rules, and the money thus misapplied will be retrenched.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To W. Fraser, Esq
To the Controller of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No 42

Madras Waterworks Office
Church Park, Mount Road
14 November 1864.

From W. Fraser Esq. C.E.
Special Executive Engineer.

To Colonel C. A. Orr R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to give the necessary orders under the following circumstances—

The Controller and Auditor of Accounts has refused to audit the pay abstracts of the following assistants for the reason given in each case.—

T. Appavoo Pillay — Writer and Accountant — on permanent Establishment —

In this case the Controller objects because Appavoo has not passed the U. C. A. examination, and because his name does not appear in the list of dismissed Sub-oversawers who are eligible for employment in any branch of the P.W.D. published in the

"Fort Saint George Gazette 2^d October 1863"

Appavoo Pillay was a Sub Overseer in the department in Salem up to the time of the late reduction. On being discontinued, Captain Gahagan appointed him Talook Maistry, but under the advice of Captain Clayson, whose immediate order he was, he resigned that post to take up ^{the} maintenance of road work on Contract.

On the expiration of that year, the contracts ceased and Appavoo came to Madras.

As he was just the sort of man I wanted for an Estimate Maker, I offered him that post at 70 Rupees; but he would not serve on the temporary establishment;—

So to induce him to stay with me, I appointed him Writer on the permanent staff and gave him 25 Rupees a month from the temporary allowance;—making in all 60 Rupees a month. When I knew he had 55 Rupees, being in charge of a Taluk.

Appavoo is a good English and Tamil Scholar, and speaks most of the Native languages. He is a very good

Surveyor and leveller, and draws fairly. He is a first rate Carpenter and understands working in Brass &c. — He was my Estimate maker and Accountant in 1856 and in 1859. He passed the necessary examination and was appointed Sub Overseer.

His executive experience, combined with his knowledge of several trades makes him a good estimator — and being also able to survey, level, and draw, he is particularly useful to me; I trust therefore that you will be good enough to confirm the arrangement I have made:—

2 The Controller says that application should be made under G. O. 6th May 1859 No 680 Page 909.

II The other cases are those of Mr. C. Fitzgerald — and Ganapathy Moodaly Draftsmen — engaged on the temporary establishment:—

The Controller's objection in these cases is that the Government Order having stated the rates per month, these rates must not be divided, although I may give less than the rates specified to the same number

of individuals — Thus —

Three Surveyors were sanctioned at 100 Rupees each including travelling allowance — also an estimate maker and a draftsman at 70 Rupees each: —

I have two Surveyors employed, one at the sanctioned rate, another at 40 Rupees per month —

Now, by the Controller's ruling I might employ a third if I could get him, but I must not employ more than three, even though they were willing to come for 40 Rupees a month and that I did not consider them worth more: —

The same rule is applied to the case of the Estimate maker and draftsman; — I may employ 2 individuals at any rate not exceeding 70 Rupees a month, but I must not employ 4 at 35 Rupees or any other number except two: —

I cannot get a Surveyor in Madras whom I consider worth 80 Rupees a month, or rather, those who are worth

80 Rupees won't come to my works, as they can get 150 or 200 Rupees else where.

The same remark applies to Estimate makers and Draftsmen. Those who are worth 70 Rupees won't take up temporary service: —

I would much rather employ the smaller number on the higher pay, if I could get men who were worth it; but, failing in this I got the best hands I could and have paid them what I considered they were worth, and what they were willing to take. —

4. The Controller has, of course, decided in accordance with the rules of which I was ignorant until the correspondence took place, but I trust that you will be good enough to confirm the arrangement I have made which are undoubtedly the best for the Government interest. —

5. What I have to request are —
I — To sanction the appointment of S. Appavoo Pillay as Writer in my Office.

To issue an order that I shall
be at liberty to modify the scale of salaries
on temporary service, within the limits
of the whole amount sanctioned.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours most Obedt Servant
William Bates
Spec. Sec. Europ

...

...

No. 3418 Pub: Nor R. Depts
 Proceedings of the Machas. J. Oremient
 Under date the 29th Nov/64
 Read the following

From Mr. Pinner Esq C.E.
 Special Co. Engineer.

Reporting that the Controller
 of M. Reck, has refused
 to audit the pay Abstracts
 of his Assistants, for the
 reasons therein stated requests
 sanction to confirm the
 arrangement he has made
 regarding their pay -

7th & 14th Nov/64 N^o 42

The Governor
 in Council declines
 to accede to the requests
 made in the foregoing
 letter.
 2. P. Appavoo Pillay
 having of his own accord
 resigned the appointment
 of Talook Maistr., is
 ineligible

18th Nov/63-2808:

To Mr. Pinner Esq C.E.
 Special Co. Engineer

From Mr. Pinner Esq C.E.
 Special Co. Engineer

18th Nov/64

Reporting that Controller
 of M. Reck, has refused
 to audit the pay Abstracts
 of his Assistants, for the
 reasons therein stated -
 and requests sanction to
 confirm the arrangement
 he has made regarding their pay -

18th Nov/63-2808:

18th Nov/64

ineligible for re-employment until he has passed the (un)covenanted Service Examination, and the Government sees no reason to exempt him from the rule.

3. With respect to the salaries of the temporary establishments, any modification of the sanctioned rates which Mr. Fraser may find it necessary to make should be submitted for the previous sanction of Govt.

4 The Government in Council disapproves of any portion of the amount sanctioned for the entertainment of a temporary establishment being diverted to enhance the pay of the writer and Accountant on the permanent establishment. The proceeding is in direct contravention of rules, and the money thus misapplied will be retrenched.

W. Fraser, Secy ✓
Controller, M. Works ✓
Govt. Genl
Lst. June 11-61

15
3

Staff 30th November

22nd 1864 & after

Young Good & for others

1864/18

Pro: of the In House

& 22nd Dec 1864

Letter from Mrs

W. Hunter, of Bristol

Esq. In regard to my action

in relation to the appeal

of C. S. Johnson & Co. of

as I wish to know

the balance and for

the modifying the

dealing of balance of

those on the company

of the same. - Mrs

W. Hunter, Esq.

1864/18