

(1) Number of pages 14(2) Date of receipt 12-9-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

CO. No. <u>82A</u>	Press <u>Mrs.</u>
<u>18-11</u>	
Dated <u>12-9-42</u>	<u>18</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st February 1864.*

No. 15. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue
Department:—

Communicating Despatch from the Home Government re-
garding the charge for water supplied by the Madras Irriga-
tion and Canal Company in Kurnool, &c. } (Here enter 26th January 1864, No. 137.)

No. 16. ORDER THEREON, 1st February 1864, No. 334.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
26th January 1864.*

No. 263. Read the following Despatch from the Right Honorable Sir C. WOOD, Bart., Secretary of State for India (Revenue), to His Excellency the Honorable the Governor in Council, Fort Saint George, dated India Office, London, 16th December 1863, No. 84:—

Sir,

1. I have considered in Council your letter, dated the 7th October last, No. 107, with which you forward copy of a petition regarding the charge for water supplied by the Madras Irrigation Company in Karnool, which has been issued in accordance with the instructions contained in my Despatch of the 30th May (No. 27) 1863.

2. In the accompanying correspondence the question whether the ryots are to be allowed the option of taking or refusing the water which may be brought within their reach by the Irrigation Company is discussed, and you have observed that the point was then under reference to me. My decision has already been communicated to you in my Despatch of the 24th November (No. 81) 1863.

3. You take this opportunity of asking whether, in the event of the Company failing to supply water, and thus compelling you to remit the land assessment, the Company are to be debited with the loss. The question does not seem to have arisen in practice, but considering that there is no provision for such a contingency in the contract with the Irrigation Company, I am of opinion that the liability for the latter must still rest with the Government, and not with the Company.

No. 266. ORDER THEREON, 26th January 1864, No. 137.

1. Communicated to the Board of Revenue, the Consulting Engineer Irrigation and Canal Company and the Director of Revenue Settlement. *Ex. 10. 1. 1864. Proceedings.*

2. The Despatch of the Secretary of State of 24th November 1863, No. 81, referred to in paragraph 2, was communicated to the authorities above specified with Proceedings of 16th instant, No. 99.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

" Consulting Engineer Irrigation and Canal Company.
" Director of Revenue Settlement.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

[illegible]

100931
Receivd