

(1) Number of pages 1

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	31657	Press
		Mis.
Dated	3-11-	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

98

T

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd November 1864.*

No. 69. Read the following letter from Captain F. J. MOBERLY, R. E., Superintending Engineer, 8th Division, to Colonel C. A. ORR, R. E., Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Madura, 24th October 1864, No. 1,557 :—

SIR,

7th September 1864.
14th October "

1. I have to express my regret at having troubled the Government with my letters Nos. 1,031 ; and 1,365, it was only on receiving No. 2,998, 18th instant, that I found that the proposal to transfer money from Tuticorin road to Satur bridge had emanated from my Office. I cannot trace how it happened. It is the custom of this Office that all draft papers shall be laid before me and initialed before they are fair copied, no rough draft of the statement given in G. O. No. 2,282 of 9th August can be found.

2. When I observed that no funds were allotted for the Satur bridge I drafted a letter to Government myself, one of my Accountants suggested that I ought to mention the fund from which I proposed to make a transfer ; but I pointed out to his notice that for going on with the work I had the authority of G. O. No. 381 of 6th February 1864, paragraph 109, and that as I had duly reported my proceedings I did not consider that it was my duty to show how these funds were to be provided. I am therefore at a loss to know how the entry in the final column was made.

3. My firm impression was that this had been left, as I desired, blank ; and therefore in my No. 1,031, 7th September, I begged that Government would allow me to procure the funds for Satur bridge, not from Tuticorin road, but from the Salt roads more particularly named in my No. 1,365, I trust that the Government will not object to allow this to be done now ; and that the allotment on the Palamcottah and Tuticorin road may be left undisturbed.

4. As regards paragraph 2 of No. 2,998, I beg to point out that the amount of Rupees 1,586-3-5 was actually paid in 1863-64, but as reported in my No. 7 of May 2nd, I recovered the sum and entered it as cash in hand, as I considered myself obliged to do, after receipt of No. 1,227, 28th April 1864. I beg respectfully to point out that this order censures me for ignorance of a rule which in Order No. 2,396, 20th August 1864, paragraph 5, the Government apparently disavow, and which the Government of India in paragraph 8, Secretary's letter No. 531 C-3,373, declare they never intended.

5. If the book adjustment cannot be made I shall require not Rupees 2,448 but Rupees 4,034, to be transferred : 3,000 Rupees from Oodangudee road and Rupees 1,034 from some other funds ; I am not yet prepared to say which.

No. 70. ORDER THEREON, 3rd November 1864, No. 3,165.

1. The Government of India having already, in accordance with Captain Moberly's recommendation, sanctioned the diversion of a sum of Rupees 4,034, from the Budget allotment

Road from Palamcottah to Tuticorin.

marginally noted, to the Satur bridge, the Government are unable to cancel the transfer.
2. In order, however, to increase the balance available for the road from Palamcottah to Tuticorin, the Governor in Council sanctions the diversion, to this work, of the sum of Rupees 3,000, provided in the Budget for the Salt road from Coolasegarapatam to Oodangudee.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, 8th Division.
" Controller of Public Works Accounts.
" Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No 1357.

Sup^r Engineer's Office 8th Bⁿ
Madison 24th October 1864.

From.

Captain J. J. Moberly J.E.
Superintending Engineer 8th Bⁿ

To.

Colonel V. A. Orr J.E.
Secretary to Government. M.H.

Public.

Sir, I have to express my regret at having
troubled the Government with my letter of
1031. and 1365. it was only on receiving
24778 - 18th instant, that I found that the
proposal to transfer money from Tubicon
road to Saker bridge had emanated from
my Office. I cannot trace how it happened. It
is the custom of this Office that all draft
papers shall be laid before me and initiated
before they are for Copied, no rough draft of
the statement given in G.O. No 2242 of 9th
August can be found.

When I observed that no funds were
allotted for the Saker bridge I drafted a
letter to Government myself. one of my
Accountants suggested that I ought to men-
tion the fund from which I proposed to make
a

7th September 1864
14th October 1864

a transfer; but I pointed out to his notice that for going on with the work I had the authority of G.O. No 381 of 6 February 1864 para 10.9, and that as I had duly reported my proceedings I did not consider that it was my duty to show how these funds were to be provided - I am therefore at a loss to know how the entry in the final column was made.

3 My firm impression was that this had been left, as I desired, blank; and therefore in my No 1031 of 7th September. I begged that Government would allow me to procure the funds for Sakur bridge, not from Tuticorin road, but from the Salt roads more particularly named in my No 1345 - I trust that the Government will not object to allow this to be done now; and that the allotment on the Palamcottah and Tuticorin road may be left undisturbed.

4 As regards para 2 of No 2998 I beg to point out that the amount of Rs 1586.3.5 was actually paid in 1863-64, but as reported in my No 7 of May 2^d. I recovered the sum & entered it as cash in hand, as I considered myself obliged to do, after receipt of No 1227

28th April 1864. I beg respectfully to point out that this order censures me for ignorance of a rule which in Order No 2376 of 25 August 1864 para 5 the Government apparently disavow. and which the Government of India in para 8 Secretary's letter No 531C of 3373 declare they never intended.

5 If the book adjustment cannot be made I shall require not Rs. 2,448 but Rs. 4,034 to be transferred, 3,000 Rs from Udianagudi road and Rs 1034 from some other funds, I am not yet prepared to say which.

I have &c

H. Hooper, Captain R.E.
Superintending Engineer 8th Division
of
Colonel. C. A. Orr. R.E.
Secretary to Government D.P.W.

Sup^y Engineer's Office
8th Divⁿ Madras
24th October 1864

Public Works Dept 51

No 3165

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 3rd ~~Sept~~ ^{Nov} 1864

Read the following letter
from the Supergr. & Co.

later that the statement given in
S.O. No. 2282 of 9th August
is wrong for the reasons as
signed and that if the Book
adjustment for the expenditure
of Rs. 1586. 3. 5 in 1863/64
cannot be made he shall
require Rs. 4034 to be
transferred from Godangudi
Road & some other funds
to the Salu Bridge

N^o 24th Oct/64 N^o 1557

The Government
Insd having already in
accordance with
Captain Moberly's
recommendation,
sanctioned

N. 1566 of 24th Oct
O.S. of Govt. Secy. to Govt.
11/10/64

Mr. J. P. P. P. P.

S. P. P. P.

Mr. J. P. P. P.

S. P. P. P.

24th Oct 1864

states that the statement
given in G.O. No. 2282 of 9th
August is wrong for the reasons
as assigned and that if the
Book adjustment for the
expenditure of Rs. 1586. 3. 5 in
1863/64 cannot be made he shall
require Rs. 4034 to be transferred
from Godangudi Road & some
other funds to the Salu Bridge
N^o 3165-2 of 24th Oct 1864

C. 1069

sanctioned the diversion
of a sum of Rupees 4034,
from the Budget allot-
ment marginally
involving, to the Sator
Bridge; the Government
are unable to cancel
the transfer.

Road from Palam.
 -cottah to Tuticorin. -

In order, how-
ever to increase the
balance available for the
Road from Talamcottah
to Tuticorin, the Governor
in Council sanctions
the diversion, to this
work, of the sum of
Rupees 3000 provided in
the Budget for the Salt
road from Colasegarapatan
to Odanjudi.

To S. E. 800 ✓
 To C. C. 100 ✓
 To A. 100 ✓

Dr. J. H. K. K. K.

1771864 & 4th
Proc. of Geo. 1st
Grove

1708168
Proc. of the House
of Reps. 1807/8

The Auction of Mr.
4024 to the late King
from the old Court
in the road from
Palmerston to
St. George, London
175. declined the sales
but having been granted
for the late King's
1754. 300. 100. 100. in
the House for the late
King's 100. 100. 100. 100.
to the House of Reps.

170869. 71