M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair 20—7—52

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 894 Press / Mis.

9-10.

Dated 1—[illegible]—8[illegible] 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 1st May 1862.

No. 9. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. OUCHTERLONY, Acting Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 8th April 1862, No. 255, G/ 127 :—

SIR,

1 Letter from District Engineer Godavery, No. 1,877, dated 23rd February 1861.
1 Do. to do. No, 1,290, dated 6th June 1861.
1 Estimate.
1 Report.
2 Plans.

Item No. 63, Rupees 2,000.

1. I have the honor to submit herewith the estimate for the tunnel in the Injeram channel, Godavery District, called for in order No. 33, under date the 25th March 1862, amounting to Rupees 2,590.

2. This amount, it will be observed, exceeds the sum allotted to the work in question in the Budget for 1862-63 by Rupees 590, owing to the necessity as pointed out by Lieutenant-Colonel Rundall when in charge of this Office, of increasing the length originally assigned to the tunnel by the District Engineer, to provide for the enlargement of the Canal for navigation purposes.

* Rupees 590.

3. The additional sum required for this work* might be allotted out of the General Reserve Fund.

No. 10. ORDER THEREON, 1st May 1862, No. 894.

1. The estimate forwarded with the above letter is sanctioned. The sum of Rupees 590 additional to that allotted in the Budget of 1862-63, to the work in question, will be met from the General Funds at the disposal of the District Engineer for that year.

2. The Government observe that no provision has been made for excluding the river when in flood. The District Engineer's attention should be called to this point and instructions given for fixing a flap shutter or similar contrivance if necessary.

(Signed) W. H. HORSLEY, Lieut.-Colonel,
Secretary to Government.

Central Office of Public Works.

Chepauk 8th April 1862

No. 255

From Lieut.t Col.l J. Puchterlony
Ag.g Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle.

To Lieut.t Col.l W. H. Horsley
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the Estimate for the Tunnel in the Kyeram Channel Godavery &c.a, called for in order No. 33, under date the 25th March 62, amounting to ——— Rs. 2590.-

2. This amt. it will be observed exceeds the sum allotted to the work in question in the Budget for 1862/63, by ——— Rs. 590. owing to the necessity as pointed out to by Lt. Colonel Rundall when in charge of this Office, of increasing the length originally assigned to the Tunnel by the Dy. Engineer, to provide for the enlargement of the Canal for navigation purposes.

Item No. 23. Rs. 2000.

3. The additional sum required for this work* might be allotted out of the General Reserve Fund.

*Rs. 590.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed.t Sv.t

Puchterlony Lt. Col.l
Ag.g Dy. Chief Engr. N. C.

*31st Dec. 1861 pp 590-597.
Mis. 25th Jany. 1862 pp 52-53.

2- The Government observe that no provision has been made for excluding the River when in Flood - The District Engineers attention should be called to this point and instructions given for fixing a flap shutter or similar contrivance if necessary.

No. 8f.
1st May 1862
13 Copies dispatched
To Mr. Dy Chief Engr.
Northern Circle with
6 Encls. Distt. Engr.
" " Accountant Genl. 27-5-62
No. 9 - 10.

c 14 April 817

Pub. Works 1862 Departt.
Pro: of Govt. for May.
No. 1854

From
Lt. Col. J. Cheltenham
Offg. Dy. Chief Engr. N. C.
To
Lt. Col. W. H. Horsley
Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.
Fort Saint George.

Dated 8th April 1862
Rec'd 9th "

No. 255.

Encl. Returned.

Submitting an Estimate amounting to Rupees 2590 for the Tunnel in the Injeram Channel Godavery District and explains the reason of it exceeding the sum allotted to the work in question in the Budget of 1862/63.

No. 8941 Dated

Ruth'an Order.

not necessary to put a flap on this channel to keep out the flood? - If an embankment is necessary you must not make a permanent hole in it without having means of stopping it - a flap shutter would answer

No. 8941

The Estimate forwarded with the above letter is sanctioned.

The sum of Rupees 590 additional to that allotted in the Budget of 1862/63 to the work in question will be met from the general funds at the disposal of the District Engineer for that year.

Copies sent to Dy. Chf. Engr.

No. Circle with 6 Encls. Distt. Engr.