M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 34 — Press / ~~Mis.~~

65-66

Dated 9 - 1 - 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 65. Read the following letter from G. N. TAYLOR, Esq., Inám Commissioner, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Vellore, 20th December 1861, No. 73:—

SIR,

1. With reference to my letter of 18th November last, submitting for the approval of Government a draft of the instructions which I propose to issue for the settlement of Ináms in Tanjore, I have the honor to forward the accompanying copy of a communication which I have since received from the Collector of the District, containing his observations thereon.

2. Mr. Cadell only differs from me upon one point: he fails to recognise the propriety of the distinction between Ináms supported by Sikka Sanads and those held upon, what appear to me to be, less perfect grants. Both, no doubt, convey the same authority for the grant of the Inám, but the former class are supported by a formal deed of gift under the Royal Signet, the express terms of which are entitled to respect; whereas the latter, the Arzi or Dafter Ruka grants, are simple endorsements of the Rájá, expressed in general terms either upon the bare statements of the petitioners or upon the report of the Record Department in the palace. I contend, therefore, that the latter are imperfect in their character, as explained in paragraph 12 of the instructions to the Deputy Collectors, and I beg to adhere to my opinion that their holders have no claim as in the case of the Sikka Sanad Inámdárs, to be treated exceptionally.

From W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to G. N. TAYLOR, Esq., Inám Commissioner, Vellore, dated Vallam, 13th December 1861, No. 729.

SIR,

1. I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 23rd ultimo, No. 474, together with a copy of the instructions which you propose to issue for the guidance of the Deputy Collectors employed in the investigation of the Ináms of this District. In reply I beg to state, that except as regards the distinction between Ináms supported by Sikka Sanads and those supported by grants in other forms, I have no observations to offer on the several principles and rules therein laid down and which appear to me to be perfectly fair and judicious.

2. With respect to the distinction drawn between the two kinds of Inám above alluded to, I beg to observe that the reason for the same does not appear very obvious, inasmuch as the objections which might be raised in the case of the one would, in my judgment, be equally applicable to the other form of the grant.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) G. N. TAYLOR,
Inám Commissioner.

No. 66. ORDER THEREON, 9th January 1862, No. 34.

Ordered to lie over.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Copyin. a Letter to Engd
D/17th April Nr 22 of 1862

Pro: of Govt Nr 304 dated 9 January 1862.

From
The Chief Commissioner
To The Secretary to
Govt Revenue Departt
Fort St George.

Dated 20th December
Rec'd 23rd December
Further Rec'd 1861.

11 March 1863.
Nr 165-166
Rec. 34

Forwarding for the observations a Copy of a Letter from the Collector of Tanjore submitting his views with reference to the Instructions proposed by the Commissioner to be issued for the guidance of the Deputy Collectors engaged in the Trigonometrical Survey of that District.

To lie over.

Nos 165 & 166.