

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	5034	Press Mis.
Dated	30 June	1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 757.

Cotacamund 6th January 1862

From,

Captain A. R. Morgan
Offg. Conservator of Forests.

To,

J. D. Sim, Esq^r
Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Port St. George.

Sir,

Adverting to the Proceedings of
Government N^o 1226, dated 25th July 1860,
I have the honor to acquaint you that
all Orders of Government up to 31st De-
cember 1861, requiring answers have
been attended to.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt^l Servant.

A. R. Morgan Esq^r
Offg. Conservator of Forests

Dec 24 Dec 1/60 No 283

Nov 10 62 (1862)
Progr. for Day:
Nov 84

The 8th. Ind. of Cav.
to the 1st. of Cav.
to the 1st. of Cav.
to the 1st. of Cav.
to the 1st. of Cav.

Oct 10 62
Dec 10 62

No 707
Cavalry

Notes that all orders
of Cavalry to 31st Dec 1862
regarding matters have
been attended to.

Dec 31.

Colored

Nov 84 - Dec 1/82
30th Dec 1862

Nov 50

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 34

Press
~~Mis.~~

65-66

Dated

9 - 1 - 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th January 1862.*

No. 65. Read the following letter from G. N. TAYLOR, Esq., Inám Commissioner, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Vellore, 20th December 1861, No. 73:—

SIR,

1. With reference to my letter of 18th November last, submitting for the approval of Government a draft of the instructions which I propose to issue for the settlement of Ináms in Tanjore, I have the honor to forward the accompanying copy of a communication which I have since received from the Collector of the District, containing his observations thereon.

2. Mr. Cadell only differs from me upon one point: he fails to recognise the propriety of the distinction between Ináms supported by Sikka Sanads and those held upon, what appear to me to be, less perfect grants. Both, no doubt, convey the same authority for the grant of the Inám, but the former class are supported by a formal deed of gift under the Royal Signet, the express terms of which are entitled to respect; whereas the latter, the Arzi or Dafter Ruka grants, are simple endorsements of the Rájá, expressed in general terms either upon the bare statements of the petitioners or upon the report of the Record Department in the palace. I contend, therefore, that the latter are imperfect in their character, as explained in paragraph 12 of the instructions to the Deputy Collectors, and I beg to adhere to my opinion that their holders have no claim as in the case of the Sikka Sanad Inámdárs, to be treated exceptionally.

From W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to G. N. TAYLOR, Esq., Inám Commissioner, Vellore, dated Vallam, 13th December 1861, No. 729.

SIR,

1. I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 23rd ultimo, No. 474, together with a copy of the instructions which you propose to issue for the guidance of the Deputy Collectors employed in the investigation of the Ináms of this District. In reply I beg to state, that except as regards the distinction between Ináms supported by Sikka Sanads and those supported by grants in other forms, I have no observations to offer on the several principles and rules therein laid down and which appear to me to be perfectly fair and judicious.

2. With respect to the distinction drawn between the two kinds of Inám above alluded to, I beg to observe that the reason for the same does not appear very obvious, inasmuch as the objections which might be raised in the case of the one would, in my judgment, be equally applicable to the other form of the grant.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) G. N. TAYLOR,

Inám Commissioner.

No. 66.

ORDER THEREON, 9th January 1862, No. 34.

Ordered to lie over.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

