M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3050 Press ~~Mis.~~

390-91

Dated 20 · 12 1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 20th December 1862.

No. 390. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel S. O. E. LUDLOW, R. E., Acting Consulting Engineer for Irrigation and Canal Company, to Colonel W. I. BIRDWOOD, R. E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 20th November 1862, No. 91:—

SIR,

1. The District Engineer of Kurnool in his report (dated 18th July 1862, No. 200) on the 7th Section of the Irrigation Company's works and in paragraph 5 thereof, objected to the proposed course of the Canal below the bund of the Bayapakodoor Tank, as the greater part of the land under wet cultivation would be so swallowed up by the Canal, thus causing loss to Government for compensation and by the destruction of land under cultivation, also inflicting a hardship on the occupants of the land so cut up.

Forwarded to Government with letter dated 20th October 1862, No. 74.

2. The District Engineer proposed that the Canal should be carried through the tank, which he supposed (erroneously I think) would be destroyed, and that the existing Nunjah cultivation should be supplied direct from the Canal.

3. The Chief Engineer in his No. 649, dated 8th August, said "that there could be no good "reason why the Company's water supply should be drawn "off while the tank is wantonly destroyed," and promised "to have a further examination of the spot made with a "view to removing the tank instead of the cultivation by carying the Canal within and close to "the tank bund, and making the upper embankment of the Canal the future bund of the "tank."

Forwarded to Government with letter dated 20th October 1862, No. 74.

4. In his letter* No. 917 to the Agent, he proposes a modification of the course of the Canal, in this part, in accordance with the view above indicated, and forwards for sanction an estimate amounting to 2,225 Rupees of the addtitional cost that will have to be incurred in consequence.

* Herewith forwarded.

5. I see no objection to the course now proposed. No irrigated land will be destroyed, but on the contrary, the intercepted portion of the bed below the Canal may be brought into cultivation as Nunjah.

6. The water will spread out behind the upper bank of the Canal, which will become in fact the tank bank, and the contents of the tank will not be diminished.

7. The barrel of the irrigation sluice will of course be carried under the Canal.

8. I beg to recommend the estimate herewith forwarded, for early sanction.

No. 391. ORDER THEREON, 20th December 1862, No. 3,050.

1. As the entire Estimate for the portion of the Canal referred to in this letter has been returned with the Proceedings of Government No. 3,045, dated 19th December 1862, the additional cost of the alterations provided for in the revised estimate now submitted should be included therein.

(Signed) W. I. BIRDWOOD, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

Consulting Engineer's Office
Irrigation & Canal Company
20th November 1862.

No 91-

From,
Lieut.-Col. A.C.G. Ludlow, R.E.
Actg Consulting Engr for I. & C. Co.

To
The Secretary to Government
Rep.t of Public Works.

Sir,

Fwd. to Govt with letter of 20 Oct 62 No 74.—

1. The District Engineer of Mussoorie in his Report dated 10th July 1862, No 200/ on the 7th section of the Irrigation Company's works as in para 5 thereof, objected to the proposed course of the canal below the bund of the Bayapalloor Tank, as the greater part of the land under cultivation, would be re-mained up by the canal, thus causing loss to Government for compensation & by the destruction of land under cultivation, also inflicting a hardship on the occupants of the land resumed.—

2. The District Engineer proposed, that the canal should be carried through the tank, which he supposed permanently, I think should be destroyed, and that the existing Nunjah cultivation should be supplied direct from the canal.—

3. The

3. The Chief Engineer in his № 649, dated 2nd Oct. 1852 Lord Dalhousie's letter of 20th October 1852 № 74.—
& Engineer, can "that there can be no good reason why the Company's water-supply should be drawn off while the Tank is undergoing repairs", and promised "to have a further examination of the spot made, with a view to removing the Tank inwards of the cultivation by carrying the canal within and close to the Tank Bund, and making the upper embankment of the canal the future Bund of the Tank."

4. In his letter № 917, Herewith Forwarded to the Agent he proposes a modification of the course of the canal, in this part, in accordance with the views above indicated, and forwards for sanction an Estimate amounting to Rupees 2225—— of the additional cost that will have to be incurred in consequence.—

5. I see no objection to the course now proposed.— No irrigated land will be destroyed, but on the contrary, the intercepted portion of the bed below the canal may be brought into cultivation as Nunjay.—

6. The water will spread out behind the upper bank of the canal, which will become in fact the Tank Bank, and the contents of the Tank will not be diminished.—

7. The barrel of the irrigation sluice will of course be carried under the canal.—

8. I beg to recommend the Estimate herewith forwarded, for early sanction.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. D. Anderson Lieut. Col.
Asst. Engineer in S. C.

Revd. Jno. Brock

Copy in a Letter to England
of 20th January No 10 of 1863.

No 390-391.

G.O. No 1862 Despatch
from Govt Dept
No 3509.
The Chief Engineer
Rl Engrs
To
The Secretary to Govt
of India
Dated 20th
Rec'd 21st November 1862
No 91.

Enclosure 2 returned
8th 1 Received Estimate
1 Sends letter with 1 Enc
Forwards revised
Estimate of the probable
Expense for the Excavation
and Embankment of the
Company's Mainline
from the 98 to the 103 Mile
or to the end of the
portion of the Line

Copy in Order
No 3050 20th December

No 3050

As the entire Estimate
for the portion of the Canal referred
to in this letter has been returned
with the Proceedings of Government
No 3045 dated 19th Dec 62, the
additional cost of the alterations
provided for in the revised Estimate
submitted should be included
therein.

Cheque
20 Dec 62
4 Copies.
To The Consulting Engineer for
J. & Comp with 2 Encls Dupls 22
4 " Agmt — do — do

No 87