

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 16. 12. 43
(3) Initials of the Referencer ...

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public work, **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2978	Press
	Mis.
242-43	
Dated 12. 12.	1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

16. 12. 43

(11)

117

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
12th December 1862.*

No. 242. Read the following Endorsement of the Chief Engineer, dated Chepauk, 26th November 1862, No. 4,391 :—

Read the following Memorandum from the Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle, dated Chepauk, 20th November 1862, No. 301.

From Captain F. T. HAIG, District Engineer, Upper Godavery, to Lieutenant-Colonel S. O. E. LUDLOW, R. E., Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle, dated Dumagudiem, 8th November 1862, No. 278.

SIR,

1. With reference to your docket No. 275, of 23rd October 1862, calling for a report on the fitness of the Steamer "Prince" for the Upper Godavery navigation, I have the honor to state that I am unable at present to afford all the information called for by the Chief Engineer. I have not had sufficient opportunity of personally observing the working of the steamer, and as she has been employed this year in towing boats of rather unsuitable forms the results obtained would not be a fair test of her capabilities. I do not expect that until next year the detailed information now called can be supplied, but on the conclusion of the Transit operations, a statement will be submitted showing the *work done* by the steamer during the season and its cost.

2. As regards the general navigation of the river the unfitness of the "Prince" is sufficiently established by the fact that she would require Locks of a width of forty-five feet to pass through, whereas the Government have decided that the width is not to exceed 30 feet.

1. Submitted to the Chief Engineer, with reference to his letter No. 3,707, dated 17th October 1862.

1 Letter from the District Engineer, Upper Godavery, No. 278, dated 8th November 1862.

2. It will be observed that Captain Haig is at present unable to afford all the information called for by the Chief Engineer, but expects to be able to do so next year. On the completion of the Transit operations, however, he promises to submit a statement showing the work done by the Steamer "Prince" during the season and its cost.

3. In his second paragraph he exhibits the unfitness of the steamer, so far as the general navigation of the river is concerned owing to her too great breadth of beam.

(Signed.) S. O. E. LUDLOW, Lieut.-Colonel, R. E.,
Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle.

1 Original letter No. 301, dated 20th November 1862, with one enclosure No. 278, dated 8th November 1862.

Submitted to Government for information in continuation of the Chief Engineer's letter No. 3,602, dated 10th October 1862, embodied in the Proceedings of Government, No. 2,537, dated 24th idem.

(Signed.) W. I. BIRDWOOD, Colonel, R. E.,
Chief Engineer.

No. 243. ORDER THEREON, 12th December 1862, No. 2,978.

Ordered to be recorded.

(Signed.) W. I. BIRDWOOD, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

No. 278.

Upper Godavery
District Eng^r Office
Dumagudem
8th November/62

From

Captain F. S. Haig
District Engineer U. G.

To

The Deputy Chief Engineer
Northern Circle
D. P. W.
Madras

Sir,

1. With reference to your docket
No. 275 of 23rd October 1862. Calling for
a report on the fitness of the Steamer
Prince for the Upper Godavery navigation,
I have the honor to state that I am
unable at present to afford all the
information called for by the Chief
Engineer. I have not had sufficient
opportunity of personally observing
the working of the Steamer, and as she
has been employed this year in towing
boats of rather unsuitable forms
the results obtained would not
be a fair test of her capabilities.
I do not expect that until next
year

year the detailed information -
now called can be supplied, but
on the conclusion of the Transit
operations, a statement will be
submitted showing the work done
by the Steamer during the season
and its cost.

2. As regards the General Navigation
of the river the unfitness of the
"Prince" is sufficiently established
by the fact that she would require
locks of a width of 45 feet to pass
thru, whereas the Government have
decided that the width is not
to exceed 30 feet.

I have &c

W. A. G. Captain

District Engineer Hyderabad.

Northern District Office
Chepauk 20 November 1862

Memo.

N^o 301.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer

with reference to his letter N^o 3707, dated 17th October 1862.

2. It will be observed that, Captain Haig is at present unable to afford all the information called for by the Chief Engineer, but expects to be able to do so next year. On the completion of the Transit operations, however, he promises to submit a statement shewing the work done by the Steamer "Prince" during the season and its cost.

3. In his second para, he exhibits the unfitness of the steamer, so far as the general navigation of the river is concerned owing to her too great breadth of beam.

J. R. Maitland Lieut. Col. R.E.

Deputy Chief Engineer R.E.

To

Colonel W. F. Birdwood R.E.
Chief Engineer D. S. F.

Edw. H. Haffey

Enclosure 1.
Letter from the
Chief Engineer
dated 17th October 1862.

V. C. 1863.

From

The Rev. Eng.
Wm. Lloyd
J. R. Maitland
J. R. Maitland

Received 20 Nov 23 1862
J. R. Maitland
J. R. Maitland

Confer with reference
to the letter No 2737 of
23-10-62 that as
present unable
to afford all the
information called
for

20 Nov 23 1862

To the Chief Engineer R.E. N^o 301 dated
20 Nov 1862.
To Genl W. F. Birdwood R.E. 4391

N^o. 4391

Submitted to Government for
information in continuation of the Chief
Engineer's letter N^o. 3662 dated 10th Oct^r
1862 embodied in the Proceedings of
Government N^o. 2537 dated 24th Feb^r.

Central Office } W. H. P. H. H. H. Colonel R. E.
Cheper R. } Chief Engineer & Co.
26th Nov^r 1862.

To
The Secretary to Government
P. M. S.

Capt. J. H. P. H. H.

Received 26 Nov 62
No. 4391.

1862 Dec 1st
N^o. 22011

From
The Chief Engineer
to
The Chief Engineer
D. S. W.

20th Nov^r 1862
Received

N^o. 301
Encl. 1

Forwarding letter
No. 278, 278 & 278 from the
District Engineer Mr. H. P. H. H.
with reference to letter No.
3707, 271, 272 & 273, from
The Chief Engineer.

Received 26 Nov 62

